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ABSTRACT

The Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant is a jihadist militant group. The members of this militant group have committed criminal acts of unspeakable cruelty. These staggering criminal conducts are documented by the United Nations, international human rights organisations, and media. Besides, the group itself gives first-hand information through social media and its magazine. Having witnessed the atrocities committed by Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant, the United Nations Security Council affirmed that the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant’s conduct in Syria and Iraq is a threat to international peace and security. Therefore, the media and various role players have called for the intervention of International Criminal Court. This research paper analyses whether the International Criminal Court is a viable prosecutorial option to account the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant members for their crimes. For the Court to be a viable prosecutorial avenue, it must have a jurisdiction. Accordingly, this research paper critically examines whether the International Criminal Court has subject matter, personal and/or territorial jurisdictions to try the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant perpetrators. The study concludes that although the criminal conducts by Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant members constitute crimes under the Rome Statute, the Court, however, has limited jurisdictional reach over the perpetrators. It is submitted that with a limited and fragmented territorial and personal jurisdictional reach over the perpetrators, the Court is not a viable prosecutorial avenue.
**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbr.</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRC</td>
<td>United Nations Committee on the Rights of the Child</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>International Criminal Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICJ</td>
<td>International Court of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICTR</td>
<td>International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICTY</td>
<td>International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISIS</td>
<td>Islamic State of Iraq and Syria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHCHR</td>
<td>UN Office of High Commissioner for Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTP</td>
<td>Office of the Prosecutor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCIJ</td>
<td>Permanent Court of International Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC</td>
<td>Pre-Trial Chamber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>Trial Chamber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNAMI</td>
<td>UN Assistance Mission for Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNHRC</td>
<td>Human Rights Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNSC</td>
<td>United Nations Security Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Foremost, my sincere appreciation goes to God Almighty who has been my help in ages past and who is also my hope for years to come.

I greatly appreciate and acknowledge the funding I received from the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD). My special thanks also goes to my advisor, Professor Gerhard Werle, and Dr Moritz Vormbaum for their generous and thoughtful comments. I would like to thank Professor Lovell Fernandez and Professor Raymond Koen who imparted their knowledge in my LLM journey.

I am enormously grateful to Seada Hussien, Marshet Tessema, and Windell Nortje for all the supports and encouragements you have given me.

I happily take this opportunity to extend great thanks to my dear wife Israel Solomon for her love, support, patience, and encouragement. Special gratitude goes to my son Adriel Adi, and my daughter Nanati Adi for their patience. I thank all of my parents and friends for their endless love and support throughout this and every other stage of my lengthy student career.
# Table of Contents

DECLARATION ..................................................................................................................... II  
DEDICATION ...................................................................................................................... III  
KEY WORDS ....................................................................................................................... IV  
ABSTRACT .......................................................................................................................... V  
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .............................................................................................. VI  
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS ...................................................................................................... VII  

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION ...................................................................................... 1  
1.1 Background to the Study ............................................................................................ 1  
1.2 Problem Statement .................................................................................................... 4  
1.3 Research Questions ................................................................................................... 5  
1.4 Significance ................................................................................................................ 5  
1.5 Research Methodology ............................................................................................. 5  
1.6 Chapter Outline ......................................................................................................... 6  

CHAPTER TWO: OVERVIEW ON THE ISLAMIC STATE OF IRAQ AND SYRIA .......... 7  
2.1 Introduction ................................................................................................................ 7  
2.2 Establishment of ISIS ............................................................................................... 7  
2.3 Leadership and Structural Organisation of ISIS ....................................................... 10  
2.4 Attacks Committed By ISIS ....................................................................................... 13  
2.4.1 Atrocities in Syria and Iraq ................................................................................... 13  
2.4.1.1 Attacks against Ethnic and Religious Groups .................................................. 13  
2.4.1.2 Attacks against Population ............................................................................. 15  
2.4.1.3 Destruction of Cultural Heritages .................................................................... 18  
2.4.2 Attacks outside Syria and Iraq ............................................................................. 20  
2.5 Summary .................................................................................................................... 22  

CHAPTER THREE: SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION OF THE ICC OVER ISIS CRIMES ....................................................................................................................... 23  
3.1 Introduction ................................................................................................................ 23  
3.2 Genocide ..................................................................................................................... 24  
3.2.1 Material Element .................................................................................................. 25  
3.2.1.1 Protected Groups ............................................................................................ 25  
3.2.1.2 Individual Acts ............................................................................................... 28  
3.2.2 The Mental Element ............................................................................................. 29  
3.3 Crimes against Humanity .......................................................................................... 31  

VIII
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.3.1</td>
<td>Contextual Element of Crimes against Humanity</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3.1.1</td>
<td>Widespread or Systematic Attack on Civilian Population</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3.1.2</td>
<td>The Policy Element</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3.2</td>
<td>Individual Acts</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3.3</td>
<td>Mental Elements</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>War Crimes</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4.1</td>
<td>Armed Conflict</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4.2</td>
<td>Character of Armed Conflict</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4.3</td>
<td>Commission of Individual Acts</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4.4</td>
<td>Nexus between Armed Conflict and Individual Acts</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4.5</td>
<td>Mental Element</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Jurisdiction Basis of ICC</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.1</td>
<td>Territoriality</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.2</td>
<td>Nationality</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.3</td>
<td>UN Security Council Referral</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.4</td>
<td>Declaration of Acceptance of the Jurisdiction of the Court</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>The Way Forward: Prosecution of ISIS at the ICC or Other Prosecutorial Options?</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Conclusions</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Recommendations</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2.1</td>
<td>With Regards to Broadening the Jurisdictional Reach of the ICC</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2.2</td>
<td>Recourse to other Prosecutorial Avenues</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2.3</td>
<td>Additional Research on the Matter</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LIST OF REFERENCES ................................................................. 70
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the Study

The rationale for the inception of international criminal law relates to the atrocities exerted by individuals with the power to plan and execute heinous acts which are regarded as a crime against the international community in general. In doing so, the international criminal law protects ‘peace, security and [the] well-being of the world’ as the common values of the world community.

Mass atrocities, which have become the rationale for its inception, have continued to exist unabated in recent times. The heinous acts of the ‘Islamic State of Iraq and Syria’ (hereafter: ISIS) is one example of such large-scale atrocities. ISIS is a jihadist militant group which controls land stretching from Iraq to Syria with major operations in Iraq, Syria, and Libya. The group has nationals of Iraq and Syria as well as foreign fighters from different continents as its militants.

---

These militants had committed different atrocities in different parts of the world. ISIS has targeted religious groups.\(^6\) In addition to attacks against the special groups, attacks against civilians as such and their cultural heritages are also committed.\(^7\) Different kinds of heinous abuses are committed against women and children.\(^8\) Outside Syria and Iraq attacks committed by ISIS include the Paris and Nice attacks in France, the beheading of Egyptians and Ethiopians in Libya, and explosions in Brussels.\(^9\) Accordingly, the world is currently facing a crisis caused by ISIS and against which a strong measure need to be undertaken.

The UN High Commissioner for Human Rights called on the UN Security Council (hereafter: UNSC) to refer the situation involving ISIS to the International Criminal Court (hereafter: the Court/the ICC).\(^{10}\) The Commissioner has also called upon the government of Iraq to become

---


a member state of the ICC to enable the prosecution of the perpetrators of mass human rights violations.\textsuperscript{11}

Currently, the ICC exercises jurisdiction over the crimes of genocide, war crimes, and crimes against humanity.\textsuperscript{12} However, not everyone who committed such crimes can be automatically subjected to the jurisdiction of the Court since it has no universal jurisdiction. This raises the question whether or not the ISIS militants can be subjected to the jurisdiction of the Court. The Court has jurisdiction if the perpetrator is a national of a member state to the ICC Statute or if the criminal conduct took place in these countries’ territory.\textsuperscript{13} As an exception, however, the referral of the UNSC or a declaration of acceptance could prompt the Court’s jurisdiction over territories or nationals of non-state parties.\textsuperscript{14}

Syria and Iraq are not State Parties to the ICC Statute. However, the atrocities in these places are carried out also by foreign nationals including nationals of State Parties to the ICC Statute. The attacks outside these two countries are committed including in state parties to the ICC. In addition, the situation in Libya has been referred to the ICC by the UNSC.\textsuperscript{15} The Court’s current chief prosecutor said however that the Court did not have jurisdiction to investigate the crimes of ISIS based on the principle of territoriality and also the jurisdiction based on the nationality of perpetrators was too narrow to intervene without UNSC


\textsuperscript{12} Arts 5-8 of the ICC Statute.

\textsuperscript{13} Art 12 (2) of the ICC Statute. See also Wagner M ‘The ICC and its Jurisdiction-Myths, Misperceptions and Realities’ (2003) 7(1) Max Planck Yearbook of United Nation Law 482-3.

\textsuperscript{14} Art 13 (b) the ICC Statute.

referral.\textsuperscript{16} She also added the need for identifying a viable avenue to bringing to justice those perpetrators. There is, however, an on-going academic debate on the possibility of prosecution of ISIS under the ICC statute.

1.2 Problem Statement

One of the problems in international criminal law is the lack of institutional enforcement mechanisms. Now that there is the ICC, it is of the essence to measure whether the conducts exerted by the ISIS members can be prosecuted under the Rome Statute. This requires an examination into the conducts of ISIS. More specifically, this paper makes a determination of whether the ISIS members’ conducts constitute genocide, crimes against humanity and/or war crimes.

Following the above determination, scrutiny into existence of jurisdiction over the members of ISIS is made by making reference to the decline of the ICC’s Prosecutor to exercise jurisdiction over ISIS members in Syria and Iraq. Although the main focus of this research paper is the atrocities in Syria and Iraq, a passing reference is made to the atrocities in Libya and France as well. The role of the UNSC referral and any future declaration of acceptance of the jurisdiction of the Court by the concerned States are also analysed.

1.3 Research Questions

General Research Question

The general research question to be examined in this research paper is whether or not it is possible to prosecute crimes committed by ISIS members under the ICC Statute.

Specific Research Questions

The three specific research questions analysed in this study are:

1. Does the ICC have subject matter jurisdiction over the criminal conducts of ISIS?
2. Is the jurisdictional basis for an ICC intervention against the criminal conducts of ISIS satisfied?

1.4 Significance

This examination into the above issue seeks to contribute to the literature concerning possibilities of prosecution of ISIS perpetrators or future possible militant groups under the Rome Statute. The research reveals the potential of the international criminal law in dealing with this type of atrocities. The findings of this study will contribute as a further reference on the matter.

1.5 Research Methodology

This research is carried out by utilising desktop research techniques or methodologies. As such, primary and secondary sources are evaluated. The primary instruments include, inter alia, the Court’s legal texts, international treaties, customary international law, UN Resolutions and case laws. As a secondary source, it consults books, journals, and other
relevant publications including internet sources. The key analytical steps followed are first, the facts of the issues under current consideration are identified. Secondly, after identifying the issue, the researcher scrutinised the relevant jurisdictional rules of law regulating the matter at hand. Finally, based on the analysis made the pertinent conclusions are reached.

1.6 Chapter Outline

The research paper is organised into five chapters. Chapter one of this research provides the background information on the research paper. Accordingly, statement of the research problem, research questions, the significance of the research, and chapter outlines are indicated.

The second chapter discusses the factual background of ISIS. This part also lays down different individual acts committed by ISIS relying on reports of international human rights bodies.

Chapter three deals with the determination of whether or not the individual criminal conducts perpetrated by the ISIS members constitute genocide, crimes against humanity or war crimes as stipulated under the Rome Statute.

Chapter four analyses the ICC’s jurisdiction over ISIS members based on the territoriality and passive personality principle. The decision of the Office of the Prosecutor declining preliminary examination on the issue and the effects of any future UNSC referral and declaration of acceptance of jurisdiction by the concerned state is analysed. In this part an analysis of whether the Court is a viable prosecutorial option is also indicated.

The fifth chapter draws conclusions and recommendations.
CHAPTER TWO: OVERVIEW ON THE ISLAMIC STATE OF IRAQ AND SYRIA

2.1 Introduction

The ‘Islamic State of Iraq and Syria’ (ISIS) also known as the ‘Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant’ (ISIL), ‘Islamic State’ or its Arabic language acronym ‘Daesh’, is a jihadist militant group with the aim of establishing a caliphate that will have authority over the entire Muslim community in the world. A caliphate is a claimed political leadership of a Muslim community through the utilisation of Sharia laws. In the section that follows, an introduction on the establishment of ISIS and the atrocities they have committed are pinpointed.

2.2 Establishment of ISIS

The ISIS jihadist militant group has been operating under different names and leaders. Abu Musab Al-Zarqawi formed this group in 1999. Before 1999, Abu Musab Al-Zarqawi was in a Jordan prison for illegally possessing a weapon and because of his membership in government banned a Jordanian militant organisation called Bayat al-Imam. After his release, he managed to establish a jihadist group called ‘Jama’at al-Tawhid wal-Jihad’ that means ‘unity and Jihad group’. From 1999 to 2004 this group wielded terrorist attacks in

17 Gerges FA (2016) 1.
Iraq including the killing of Iraqi civilians, attacks on government institutions and foreign soldiers in Iraq.\(^{23}\)

In 2004, Abu Musab Al-Zarqawi and his jihadist group vowed submission to Osama Bin-Laden.\(^{24}\) Following pledging allegiance to Osama Bin-Laden, the group’s name was changed to ‘Al Qaeda fi Bilad al-Rafiday’ or ‘Al Qaeda in Iraq’ (AQI).\(^{25}\) With the aim of establishing a caliphate, the attacks committed by them continued with the same intensity and with the plan to expand attacks in collaboration with Al Qaeda.\(^{26}\) Shortly before Abu Musab Al-Zarqawi was killed during a 2006 US airstrike, they allied with other smaller jihadist groups in Iraq under the umbrella group called Mujahideen Shura Council (MSC).\(^{27}\)

Following Al-Zarqawi’s death on 7 June 2006, the MSC is led by Abu Ayyub Al-Masri.\(^{28}\) Under the latter’s leadership, it was merged with other smaller groups in Iraq, and a new alliance was formed, called the ‘Islamic State of Iraq.’ Abu Omar Al-Baghdadi was named as the new leader (Emir) of the group while Abu Ayyub Al-Masri served as minister of war.\(^{29}\) Within this period, the group continued its attacks in Iraq.\(^{30}\) The US and Iraq joint action against the group resulted in the death of the two top leaders of the group, namely Abu Omar Al-


\(^{24}\) Flannery FL *Understanding Apocalyptic Terrorism: Countering the Radical Mindset* (2015) 127.


\(^{28}\) Kilcullen D *Blood Year: The Unravelling of Western Counterterrorism* (2016) 73.

\(^{29}\) Kilcullen D (2016) 73.

\(^{30}\) Kilcullen D (2016) 73-5.
Baghdadi and Abu Ayyub Al-Masri, on 18 April 2010. On 16 May 2010, however, the group appointed Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi as the new leader of the group, which has since been led by him.

Al-Baghdadi filled the group with new leaders and committed different atrocities in different parts of the world. This jihadist militant group instantaneously grew in numbers and became more powerful by including members of former US military detainees in Iraq. In April 2013, the group announced its merger with another group fighting against Syrian government called Al-Nusra Front which resulted in the establishment of Islamic State of Iraq and Syria /the Levant. This resulted in the extension of this operation to Syria and also enabled ISIS to widen its territorial control to areas like oil fields that serve as key financial means.

Although the name of the group is rejected by different governments and muslim leaders, the group renamed itself as the ‘Islamic State’ with the ambition of extending its authority

---


beyond Syria and Iraq in June 2014. Consequently, the group proclaimed itself as a Caliphate whilst *Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi* is proclaimed as a Caliph.

Although Al-Qaida regarded ISIS as too extremist, which later led to rejection of pre-existing allegiance by former, other militant and jihadist groups in different parts of the world have submitted their fidelity or pledge of allegiance to the ISIS, including Boko Haram in Nigeria, Ansar al-Sharia in Libya, Jahba East Africa in Somalia and different groups in Sudan, Syria, Algeria, Iraq, Pakistan, and the Philippines. With the wide participation of the militant groups, the members of ISIS and their affiliates have committed different atrocities across the world.

### 2.3 Leadership and Structural Organisation of ISIS

ISIS has the goal of establishing an Islamic state allegedly ruled by Sharia law. In line with the *raison d’être* for its establishment, ISIS is a state-like organisation with a bureaucratic hierarchy. Unlike other terrorist groups, ISIS controls territory and has a population under its administration with a conventional army. A governmental authority exercised by

---

38 Rashed A (2015) 47.
this militant group includes the collection of taxes and sale of oil and gas reservoirs.\textsuperscript{45} In some parts where the group has control, they are availing municipal services to its supporters including maintaining electricity, paying municipal salaries, sewage services, health care and education.\textsuperscript{46}

ISIS is also organised in its leadership structure. It is organised into commander in chief, cabinets, \textit{Shura} council, the military council, and media apparatus.\textsuperscript{47} The group is led by the self-proclaimed caliph Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi.\textsuperscript{48} He has two deputies. While one is the ISIS governor for territories held by the group in Syria, the other deputy is the ISIS governor for territories held by the organisation in Iraq.\textsuperscript{49} The cabinet encompasses the general management, prisons, security, finance, communications, foreign fighters and suicide bombers.\textsuperscript{50} The \textit{Shura} (Consultative) Council is mandated to appoint leaders and

---


\textsuperscript{46} Yambert K (2016) 274-5.


\textsuperscript{48} Rasheed A (2015) 47.

\textsuperscript{49} CNN 14 January 2015.

theoretically even has the power to recall the caliph.\textsuperscript{51} In addition to this, the group also exercises judicial power over the territory under its control.\textsuperscript{52}

The above factors lead to conclude that ISIS is a state-like organisation with all its format and effective control over its members through the bureaucratic structure it imposes. It follows a decentralised form of governance.\textsuperscript{53} Since the group is well organised and financed as a result of the appropriation of significant funds and military equipment, it has serious military capacity.\textsuperscript{54} With the above form of structural, financial, human resource, and military capacity, the group is able to commit atrocities in different parts of the world, which led the UN Security Council to regard this group’s conduct as an unprecedented threat to international peace and security.\textsuperscript{55}

Accordingly, in addition to the war between the ISIS jihadist militant group, and the government of Iraq and Syria, the international community also intervened in the fight


\textsuperscript{55} Preamble of the UN Security Council Resolution 2253 (2015).
against ISIS.\textsuperscript{56} The ruthless attacks by ISIS against targeted victims are still ongoing unabated.

2.4 **Attacks Committed By ISIS**

Reports indicate that ISIS has committed atrocities in Asia, Africa, and Europe.\textsuperscript{57} The atrocities being carried out by this group have been reported by UN human rights bodies, news media and also, the group itself is giving first-hand evidence of the barbaric acts done by members through different social media outlets.

2.4.1 **Atrocities in Syria and Iraq**

2.4.1.1 **Attacks against Ethnic and Religious Groups**

According to the report of the UN Human Rights Council (hereafter: UNHRC), in the territories under the control of ISIS, civilians belonging to some ethnic and religious groups are specifically threatened and attacked.\textsuperscript{58} The ethnic and religious groups that were targeted by the ISIS include the Shi’a Muslims, Christians, Yezidis, Kurds, Sabea-Mandeans, Turkmen, and Kaka's.\textsuperscript{59} Attacks against Yezidis, for instance, involve killing and serious bodily injury to the members.\textsuperscript{60} According to the account of those victims who have survived the


\textsuperscript{57} CNN 29 April 2016.


execution *en masse* being shielded by the bodies of other victims, ISIS separated men and women resulting in the summary execution of the men.\(^{61}\) It is of essence to mention that in some cases the entire village is cleared of their Yazidi population.\(^ {62}\) According to the eyewitnesses, the ISIS combatants were acting under direct order via telephone.\(^ {63}\) Yezidis’ are also abducted, detained, and forced to convert to Islam.\(^ {64}\)

In addition to Yezidis, targeted attacks were also perpetrated against Christians, including pillaging and destroying historic Christian cathedrals and churches.\(^ {65}\) As a result, an estimated 200,000 people belonging to Christian are subjected to displacement.\(^ {66}\)

Attacks against Shi’a Muslims specifically targeted the members of this religious group. According to the UNHRC report, the militant group targeted the Shia Muslims by attacking their villages, killing members, burning and destroying their houses, their mosques, summarily executing members, abducting members and by subjecting them to inhumane living conditions, at least 15,000 people suffered as a result of limited access to basic necessities including food and water.\(^ {67}\) Survivors of the horror recounted that after taking over government prison, ISIS separated prisoners according to their ethnic and religious

---


affiliation and killed 600 prisoners mainly of Shia. In general, the members of the above-mentioned targeted groups are subjected to summary execution, abduction, forced displacement, and deprivation of property.

2.4.1.2 Attacks against Population

The recent report by the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) indicates that large numbers of people are killed in Iraq and Syria where ISIS exercises control over the territory. Individuals have sustained different kinds of abuse and human right violations. First of all, anyone who is regarded as affiliated with the Iraqi or Syrian government in any capacity becomes the target of attack. Relying on the list from government offices, ISIS killed and summarily executed several persons searching in the areas they occupy or on checkpoints. UNHRC reported that a former police officer, who survived the attack, said that at the checkpoint ISIS militant, and killed his father, his five-year-old boy, and five-month-old daughter, leaving him alive to feel the pain of losing his loved ones. On 12 June

---


2015, it is alleged that between 1,500 and 1,700 members of the Iraqi armed forces were summarily executed after they surrendered.\footnote{UNHRC Report A/HRC/28/18 (2015) Para 32.}


According to the UN report, after civilians are crucified in public in the presence of children, their bodies are displayed for up to three days to serve as a warning to others.\footnote{UNHRC Report A/HRC/27/60 (2014) Paras 32-34} Beheading is common in the ISIS-controlled areas.\footnote{UNHRC Report A/HRC/27/60 (2014) Paras 32-34.} Even in the case of beheading, the heads of the deceased were displayed on sticks for some days.\footnote{UNHRC Report A/HRC/27/60 (2014) Para 43.}

An act of hostage-taking, enforced disappearance, occupation of hospitals outside its humanitarian function, detention of medical personnel, and forcible displacement resulted from the conducts of ISIS.\footnote{UNHRC Report A/HRC/27/60 (2014) Paras 42, 51, 112 and 133.}
Whenever atrocities of such unspeakable scale are exerted, mostly women and children are the victims. At least that is the obvious case in Iraq and Syria. In these attacks against civilians, ISIS mainly targeted women and children.\(^{81}\)

Sexual violence is perpetrated against women and children in these countries. According to the report of UNHRC, sexual violence is exerted against women, especially Yazidi women, including rape, sexual and other forms of enslavements, and sale. In one case, an ISIS doctor sat on a Yazidian woman’s stomach hoping to kill the child in the victim’s womb. In the same case, ISIS also forced the women to abort and they were later sold as sexual slaves.\(^{82}\) Women who appeared without covering their faces and hair in public, were lashed.\(^{83}\) Children starting from 6 years of age are subjected to repeated rape and sold over to another person for further abuse.\(^{84}\) Subsequently, victims are severely traumatised which also has resulted in an increase in suicide among victims.\(^{85}\) The abuse against children includes mass execution and crucifixion of children in public, burying them alive, using them as child soldiers and also using mentally handicapped


children for suicide bombing. For the purpose of conscripting and enlisting child soldiers, ISIS established a military training camp.

2.4.1.3 Destruction of Cultural Heritages

The other forms of attacks committed by ISIS include attacks on world heritages in Syria and Iraq. Having condemned this conduct, the UN Security Council in its Resolution 2199, stated that ISIS is destroying cultural heritages. It should be emphasised that the group is engaged in the destruction of cultural heritages as part of a terrorism strategy.

One among the destroyed heritages was the ancient city of Palmyra which is registered as world heritage site in Syria. This archaeological site is estimated to be 2,000 years old; its existence is even indicated in the Bible.
Temple of Bel (Baal Shamin) which is also known as the ‘Pearl of the Desert’, was destroyed by ISIS using explosives.\(^9^2\) In addition to this, Hatra, an ancient city from second and third century BC in Iraq, was destroyed by ISIS using sledgehammers and guns.\(^9^3\) Another act of destruction of world heritages is the destruction of the sixth century ancient city of Aleppo.\(^9^4\) The city of Aleppo included 7\(^{th}\) to 12\(^{th}\)-century monuments and mosques, including Great Mosque of Aleppo, which was destroyed by ISIS.\(^9^5\) It should be taken into account that this place was the oldest constantly inhabited cities in the world.\(^9^6\) The militant group is also engaged in the destruction of other cultural heritages in Iraq and Syria, such as archaeological sites, museums, libraries, and archives.\(^9^7\) Ancient treasures such as artworks, manuscripts, and seals and coins are smuggled from Iraq and Syria by the militant.\(^9^8\)

---


\(^9^3\) The Independent 01 September 2015.

\(^9^4\) The Independent 01 September 2015.

\(^9^5\) The Independent 01 September 2015.


Alongside the destruction, the militant group embarked on the pillaging and smuggling of the heritages for the purposes of funding its terroristic and other criminal activities. For instance, the funding from the sale of these pillaged cultural heritages is spent for the purpose of member’s recruitment, group’s operational capability building, and terrorist attacks.

2.4.2 Attacks outside Syria and Iraq

The exact number of people killed by ISIS per se in Syria and Iraq is yet to be determined. Outside Syria and Iraq, however, in 90 targeted attacks ISIS and its affiliates have killed 1, 400 people in 21 countries up until 29 April 2016. These include the Paris attacks in 2015, the beheading of Egyptians and Ethiopians in Libya in 2015, and the recent airport explosion in Brussels in 2016. The November 2015 Paris attack resulted in 130 causalities. They were carried out simultaneously at different spots by gunmen and suicide bombers. The locations of the attacks include a concert hall, a stadium, restaurants, and bars. More than one hundred people were seriously injured making the attacks the worst of their kind that have happened in France since Second World War. In these attacks, the nationals of France and Belgium have participated and the ISIS leadership also claimed responsibility.
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101 CNN 29 April 2016.
102 CNN 29 April 2016.
103 CNN 29 April 2016.
Recently, an ISIS soldier also killed 84 people and injured more than 300 by driving cargo into the crowd in Nice, France on 14 July 2016.105

According to Human Right Watch’s interview with residents, the militant group made life in Libya unbearable.106 Currently, they are in control of the east and west parts of Sirte, Libya. The acts committed against the Libyans include the murder of civilians, execution without a trial, public beheadings, hanging, crucifixions, flogging, looting, destroying homes, killing wounded or captive fighters, and failure to guarantee access to basic necessities.107

Belgium has also been affected as a target for an attack. The explosions at Brussels Airport and in a subway station resulted in more than 30 causalities and dozens of injuries.108 In these attacks, the nationals of Belgium and France have allegedly participated in the planning and execution of the attacks, while ISIS also claimed responsibility.109 Thus, the


107 Human Right Watch (2016) 1-3


horrific natures of atrocities that the group have been committing attest to the fact that it is a threat to world peace.

2.5 Summary

This chapter explored the origin of ISIS to its contemporary status. It pinpointed the leadership and structural setup of the ISIS jihadist militant group. Besides, the chapter indicated the threat posed by the group by exploring the military and financial capacity of ISIS. Relying mostly on reports from UN bodies, acclaimed international NGOs like Amnesty International and Human Rights Watch, worldwide media, the atrocities exerted by ISIS is outlined in this chapter. It is concluded that the group became a threat to international peace and security as indicated in the UNSC Resolution. The egregious conducts of the ISIS are explored indicating the attacks and human rights violations committed in Syria, Iraq, France, Belgium, Libya, and elsewhere.
CHAPTER THREE: SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION OF THE ICC OVER ISIS CRIMES

3.1 Introduction

Currently, the crimes subject to the ICC jurisdiction are the crimes of genocide, war crimes and crimes against humanity.110 These crimes are the most serious concern for the international community.111 The facts of ISIS are normally described as terrorism. Terrorism *per se* as an independent crime, however, is not within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Court. At the time of negotiation of the Rome Statute, the issue of including terrorism under the jurisdiction of ICC was deliberated upon.112 A lack of a standard definition of crimes of terrorism and its political character led to the exclusion of the crime.113 This does not, however, exclude the prosecution of conducts constituting terrorism under the auspices of other crimes included in the Rome Statute. As examined in this chapter, if the requirements provided for any of the three core crimes under the Rome Statute are met, terrorist conducts can successfully be prosecuted by the ICC. In the section that follows, the elements of the three core crimes under the Rome Statute are briefly discussed.
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110 Arts 5-8 of the ICC Statute.
111 Preamble and Art 1 of the ICC Statute.
3.2 Genocide

The term ‘genocide’ was coined by Raphael Lemkin from Greek and Latin words in 1946. These include ‘genos,’ a Greek word for race and ‘caedere’, a Latin word for killing. It was used to describe the Nazi atrocities against the Jews. In the aftermath of the Nazi atrocities, the UN General Assembly adopted resolution 96(1) followed by the Genocide Convention which was adopted in 1948. This was the first time that genocide was codified in a legal instrument. Later, genocide was prosecuted under the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (hereafter: ICTY) and International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (hereafter: ICTR) Statutes which adopted verbatim the definition of genocide under the 1948 Genocide Convention. In addition to acquiring customary international law status, genocide is one of the core crimes adopted in the Rome Statute. Elements of the crimes of genocide are provided in Article II of the Genocide Convention which provides for authoritative meaning for the crime. The same provision is

incorporated *verbatim* in most of the national and international legal instruments, including the Rome Statute.\textsuperscript{120}

### 3.2.1 Material Element

#### 3.2.1.1 Protected Groups

Genocide requires an attack targeting the protected groups ‘as such’ with the intent to destroy them in whole or in part.\textsuperscript{121} According to Article 6 of the Rome Statute, the protected groups include ‘national’, ‘racial’, ‘ethnic’, and ‘religious’ group. Both a subjective and an objective approach are used to determine what constitutes a protected group.\textsuperscript{122} The objective criterion encompasses identification of the group based on commonality of tradition, language, faith, and physical characters.\textsuperscript{123} The perception of others towards the group, especially the perpetrators and the victim themselves, is used as a subjective criterion.\textsuperscript{124}

Religious groups in Syria and Iraq are targeted.\textsuperscript{125} Religious groups are groups “whose members share the same religion, denomination or mode of worship”\textsuperscript{.}\textsuperscript{126}


\textsuperscript{121} Art 6 of the Rome Statute.


\textsuperscript{126} *Prosecutor v Jean-Paul Akayesu*, Trial Judgement, ICTR-96-4-T, ICTR (1998) Para 513.
religious groups in these countries include Shi’a Muslims, Christians, and Yezidis in Syria and Iraq.\textsuperscript{127} They are subjected to attacks by the group for the mere fact of belonging to these religious groups.\textsuperscript{128} For instance, in some cases, entire villages have been cleared of their Yazidi population.\textsuperscript{129} The ISIS combatants refer to the Yazidi as ‘devil worshippers’ or ‘infidels’.\textsuperscript{130} As mentioned before, an ISIS doctor who repeatedly raped a pregnant Yazidi woman sat on her womb to abort her pregnancy telling the victim that ‘his baby should die because it is an infidel…’\textsuperscript{131} moreover, Yazidi women and children are regularly forced by ISIS to change their religion to Muslim.\textsuperscript{132}

Once a person is identified as belonging to either the Christian church or Shi’a Muslim, he or she will automatically be slated for summary execution in Syria and Iraq.\textsuperscript{133} ISIS acts of separating prisoners according to their religious affiliation and killing 600 prisoners mainly of Shia Muslims, prove the fact that the victims are subjected to such measure for the mere fact of belonging to such protected religious groups.\textsuperscript{134}

The victims are, therefore, targeted because of ‘what they are’. Defining a group based on what ‘they are not’ constitutes a negative definition of protected groups.\(^{135}\) The negative approach to defining a protected group is defining a group as any other group except the group perpetrating the attack.\(^{136}\) This approach is consistently rejected. For instance, the ICTY and the International Court of Justice (hereafter: ICJ) also confirmed the same.\(^{137}\) In the case of ISIS, the Christian, Yazidi, and Shia groups are targeted in Syria and Iraq, indeed, because of their religious faith. They are regarded as infidels in the perpetrator's mind; that is an attack for what they are, and constitutes a positive approach to defining a protected group.

The issue that needs further examination at this juncture is whether the Shia Muslims in these countries constitute a protected group or not taking into account the fact that the offenders themselves belong to the muslim religion. It is true that Shia Muslim also belongs to the muslim religion. However, there is a difference between Shia Muslim and Sunni
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Muslim in their belief systems.\textsuperscript{138} Hence, ISIS treats the Shia Muslim as infidels indicating perpetrators perception of the Shia Muslim as belonging to an independent group that deserves protection. As such, it can be concluded that Shia Muslim is also a protected group. Consequently, on the basis of subjective and objective criteria, it is submitted that the above-mentioned religious groups are protected groups within the meaning of Article 6 of the Rome Statute.

3.2.1.2 Individual Acts

ISIS members have been committing all of the five individual acts under Article 6 of the Rome Statute. Members of Shi’a Muslims, Christians, and Yezidis in Syria and Iraq have been killed and summarily executed by ISIS members for the mere fact of belonging to this group.\textsuperscript{139} ISIS militants have also inflicted serious bodily or mental harm by committing rape and sexual violence, including sexual slavery against the victims, enslavement, torture and other inhumane treatment in violation of Article 6 (b) of the Statute.\textsuperscript{140}

 ISIS militants have also caused destructive conditions of life by adopting the so-called slow death measures.\textsuperscript{141} This includes an act of depriving basic necessities, such as food, medical facilities, and shelter. For instance, on 3 August 2014, ISIS surrounded Yazidis who had fled

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
\item\textsuperscript{139} UNHRC Report A/HRC/28/18 (2015) Paras 17 - 18
\item\textsuperscript{141} See Art 6 (c) of the Rome Statute.
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
to the upper slopes of Mount Sinjar.\textsuperscript{142} ISIS intentionally prohibited access to food to those on the upper slopes of the mountain by attacking planes and helicopters coming to drop food and medical aid leaving them for death at temperatures of up to 50 degrees Celsius.\textsuperscript{143}

ISIS members have also imposed measures to prevent birth by Yazidi women. The measures include sterilisation and separation of the sexes.\textsuperscript{144} The militants have also forcibly transferred children to other groups. For instance, the Yazidi and Christian children in Syria and Iraq are abducted from their families and forced to learn Sharia and jihadist ideologies.\textsuperscript{145} In view of that, all of the material elements of the crime of genocide are fulfilled.

\subsection*{3.2.2 The Mental Element}

The legal definition of genocide indicates that it is an act committed by perpetrators with intent to destroy in whole or part of a protected group.\textsuperscript{146} Accordingly, what is required is intent to destroy but not necessarily an actual destruction of the group. Genocide might be committed without even killing a single person as far as the individual criminal acts are perpetrated against protected group with genocidal intent. Similarly, the killing of a single

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{142} UNHRC Report A/HRC/32/CRP.2 (2016) Para 27.
\item \textsuperscript{143} UNHRC Report A/HRC/32/CRP.2 (2016) Para 27.
\item \textsuperscript{144} UNHRC Report A/HRC/32/CRP.2 (2016) Para 142.
\item \textsuperscript{146} Art 6 of the ICC Statute.
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
member of a protected group would amount to genocide as long as the genocidal intent exists.

Intent to destroy is inferred from the conduct of the perpetrators including their statements.\(^{(147)}\) Thus, the intent to destroy Yazidi, Christian, and Shia Muslim in Syria and Iraq can be gathered from their conduct and their statements. According to the ideology of ISIS, the world must be emptied of religions not conforming to the concept of ‘pure Islam’.\(^{(148)}\) This includes Christians who are in different denominations; Yazidi’s who are regarded as devil worshipers; and Shia Muslims who are not followers of ‘pure Islam’.\(^{(149)}\) They have declared that they intend to destroy these groups upon the latter’s failure to convert to ‘pure Islam’ as they claim.\(^{(150)}\) The genocidal intent of the group can easily be grasped from one testimony on the UNHRC report in which a Yazidi child is told by ISIS jihadist that “even if you see your father, if he is still Yazidi, you must kill him”.\(^{(151)}\) Following the decision of the


ICTY in the Kristic case, destruction of cultural heritages in Syria and Iraq owned by these protected groups or utilised as a place of worship also indicates the genocidal intent.\textsuperscript{152}

Thus, the cumulative requirements of genocide are met in the case of ISIS atrocities and through further investigation, genocidal intent of individual perpetrators needs to be identified. In conclusion, it is submitted that the ISIS militants can be said to have committed acts of genocide in Syria and Iraq.

3.3 Crimes against Humanity

Unlike the crime of genocide, crimes against humanity have never been codified in a single treaty.\textsuperscript{153} Individuals were held liable for crimes against humanity in the Nuremberg trial for the first time.\textsuperscript{154} Since then crimes against humanity evolved in different international and national criminal trials.\textsuperscript{155} Crimes against humanity can be committed during times of war or peace.\textsuperscript{156} According to Article 7 of the Rome Statute, what constitutes crimes against humanity is the existence of attack which is widespread or systematic when committed in furtherance of a state or organisational policy to commit such a crime.


\textsuperscript{153} Andrew Novack International criminal Court (2015) 45.

\textsuperscript{154} Schabas (2011) 107.


\textsuperscript{156} The nexus requirement between war and commission of crimes against humanity is omitted in Article 7 of the Rome Statute. See Schabas W The International Criminal Court: A Commentary on the Rome Statute (2010) 144-7.
3.3.1 Contextual Element of Crimes against Humanity

3.3.1.1 Widespread or Systematic Attack on Civilian Population

An attack against the civilian population is the multiple commissions of the individual criminal acts recognised under Article 7 of the Rome Statute against the civilian population.¹⁵⁷ It will be regarded as multiple if one individual criminal act is committed more than once or if different individual acts are committed at the same time.¹⁵⁸ These attacks should be targeted against the civilian population.¹⁵⁹ A civilian population refers to non-combatants.¹⁶⁰ As the aim of regulating crimes against humanity is to afford protection to the helpless and defenceless, the victim’s need for protection should be central in determining ‘who is a civilian’.¹⁶¹ It should, however, be taken into consideration that the mere existence of some militants among the civilians populations does not negate the protection for civilians.¹⁶²

For instance, ISIS executed former police and military members of Iraqi and Syrian governments even though, at the time of capture, these members were not involved in hostilities or declared hors de combat.¹⁶³ Consequently, Iraqi, Syrian, and soldiers of other

---

¹⁵⁷ Art 7 (2) of the Rome Statute.
countries who are no longer taking part in hostilities should be regarded as civilians considering the victim’s need for protection.

According to Article 7 of the Rome Statute, the attack against a civilian population should be widespread or systematic. As can be discerned from the wording, these requirements are alternative. The widespread nature of the attack refers to the quantitative nature of the attack. Consequently, it is regarded as widespread either in terms of geographic coverage or number of victims involved. For instance, the ICC Pre-Trial Chamber I in the Al Bashir case reasoned that even if the term "widespread" and "systematic" are not defined in the Statute, it excludes random or isolated acts of violence. The Court held that, a criminal act can be regarded as "widespread" based on the ‘large-scale nature of the attack and the number of victims.’ In the Jean-Pierre Bamba case the Court also ruled that it can be regarded as widespread if the attack is massive, occurred in a frequent manner, serious, and if it involves multiple victims. The alternative term "systematic" refers to the qualitative nature of the attack. It denotes the organised nature of the attack. If the attack is committed with a certain degree of

166 Arts 7 of the ICC Statute. See also Werle G & Jessberger F (2014) 339.
169 Prosecutor v Bemba, Decision Pursuant to Article 61 (7) (a) and (b) of the Rome Statute on the Charges of the Prosecutor Against Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo, ICC-01/05-01/08-424 (2009) Para 83.
organisation and planning, it can be referred to as systematic attack.\textsuperscript{171} The ICC Pre-Trial Chamber I (hereafter: PTC) stated that systematic nature concerns the organised nature of the attack and the fact that the said attack is improbable for its random occurrence.\textsuperscript{172} Consideration can also be given to the specific pattern of the attacks.\textsuperscript{173}

ISIS attacks are both widespread and systematic. They are widespread in terms of its geographic coverage and the number of victims involved. ISIS controls broad territory and has a large population under its control. In all those territories and other places, it has committed several attacks in Syria and Iraq encompassing hundreds of villages.\textsuperscript{174}

Even from the perspective of number of victims, the ISIS attacks can be regarded as widespread. According to an estimation by the UN in January 2016, the death toll of ISIS-linked violence reached 18,800 in Iraq alone.\textsuperscript{175} An independent organ did not estimate the number of casualties in Syria. However, there is no doubt that a significant or a comparable number of people have been killed. The attacks are also systematic as they are committed in an organised and planned manner. ISIS has a conventional army with all state like organisational and command structure, with a clear aim and goal of establishing an Islamic State. They also have the military capacity to launch serious waves of attacks. With such features, they have targeted and attacked civilians. These attacks are not a random target or

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{171} Werle G & Jessberger F (2014) Para 896.
\item \textsuperscript{173} Werle G & Jessberger F (2014) Para 896.
\item \textsuperscript{174} UNHRC Report A/HRC/32/CRP.2 (2016) Para 167.
\end{itemize}
sporadic violence. Rather they are planned and well organised. The organised nature of its attacks can also be grasped from the identical nature of the attacks committed by ISIS members.\textsuperscript{176} Thus, the attacks by ISIS are both widespread and systematic.

### 3.3.1.2 The Policy Element

Unlike the ICTY and ICTR Statutes, the Rome Statute requires a policy element.\textsuperscript{177} The policy element requires the attack against a civilian population to be committed ‘pursuant to or in furtherance of a state or organisational policy to commit such attack’.\textsuperscript{178} The policy need not necessarily be predetermined or clearly stipulated by the highest officials.\textsuperscript{179} The important thing here is to avoid spontaneous, isolated acts not to form part of crimes against humanity.\textsuperscript{180} The existence of a policy to commit such atrocities can be grasped from total circumstances, including ISIS statements and conducts.

The meaning and scope of the organisation under Article 7 of the Rome Statute are not clear. Cherif Bassiouni, who chaired the drafting committee at the Rome Conference, argues a crime against humanity is not applicable to non-state actors and further states that:

\begin{quotation}
The question arose after 9/11 as to whether a group such as al-Qaeda, which operates on a worldwide basis and is capable of inflicting significant harm in more than one state, falls within this category. [He opined that], such a group does not
\end{quotation}

\begin{footnotes}
\item[178] Art 7 (2) (a) of the ICC Statute.
\end{footnotes}
According to the jurisprudence of the ICC, however, the organisation is a group of individuals who are capable of committing an attack against the civilian population in a systematic or widespread manner. Gerhard Werle argues that there is no reason for restrictive interpretation. He further notes that if the law wanted to refer to a state-like organisation, the law could have provided it in an expressive manner like the reference under Article 6 of the Rome Statute.

On the basis of the ICC jurisprudence, there is no doubt that ISIS fulfils the requirement of ‘organisation’ as the group has already shown the capacity to commit atrocities in a systematic and widespread manner. ISIS controls territory, has a population under its administration, has a conventional army, exercises governmental authority in the territories it controls, and it has serious military capacity including manpower with organised military hierarchical structures. ISIS operates under one group name i.e. Islamic State of Iraq and Syria. They have shared the political view of establishing an Islamic State. Based on these natures of the ISIS, it is safe to conclude that the group does not only possess the capacity to attack, but also has a state like feature, although there is no legal requirement under the
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The Rome Statute for the organisation to look like a state. In sum, ISIS meets the policy element of crimes against humanity.

The ISIS jihadist militant group has declared the establishment of a caliphate ruled by the caliph.\textsuperscript{185} The attacks by ISIS are committed pursuant to an unequivocal ideological policy of the terrorist group.\textsuperscript{186} The group aims at establishing Islamic State by abolishing all other religious groups and with all criminals means available. The attacks are committed against anyone who does not share their ideological beliefs. Their radical religious interpretation does not allow for the existence of other groups within the territory it controls. Therefore, the attacks are committed based on the premise of ISIS’s stated organisational policy to commit an attack.

3.3.2 Individual Acts

The Rome Statute under Article 7 (1) provides for individual criminal conducts that constitute crimes against humanity. This includes murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation or forcible transfer of population, imprisonment, torture, sexual violence, persecution, enforced disappearance, apartheid, and other inhumane acts. ISIS has committed all the above crimes except the offence of apartheid. As an example, ISIS has committed murder and extermination as crimes against humanity by slaughtering children, burning and crucifying men, and killing men by throwing them off buildings.\textsuperscript{187} They have

\textsuperscript{185} Rashed A (2015) 47.
\textsuperscript{186} Dabiq Magazine Issue 8 at 5.
also committed sexual violence against victims as young as six.\textsuperscript{188} They kidnapped women, sold them as sex slaves and forced them to marry ISIS fighters.\textsuperscript{189} Other atrocities committed by ISIS include acts of torture, other inhumane acts, and severe deprivation of liberty.\textsuperscript{190}

\subsection*{3.3.3 Mental Elements}

In addition to the intent to commit the underlying crime required for the particular offence, the Statute requires the individual acts to be committed with knowledge of ‘broader context in which his actions occur.’\textsuperscript{191} Knowledge, as defined in the Statute, is ‘awareness that a circumstance exists, or consequence will occur in the ordinary course of events’.\textsuperscript{192} The perpetrator must be aware that there is an on-going systematic and widespread attack against the civilian population and his criminal conducts form part of such attack.\textsuperscript{193} Whenever there is well-known widespread and systematic attack against the civilian population, it is inconceivable to deny the existence of knowledge of on the part of perpetrators. Most reasonable people are presumed to know about ISIS and the danger that

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{enumerate}
\item Art 7 (1) of the Rome Statute.
\item Art 30 (3) of the Rome Statute.
\item Art 7 (1) of the Rome Statute. See also Werle G & Jessberger F (2014) 913-5.
\end{enumerate}
\end{footnotesize}
they pose to human security. For that reason, the perpetrators who are participating as combatants in an ISIS attacks are expected to have knowledge of the existence of the systematic and widespread attack against the civilian population. In general, knowledge of ISIS members can be inferred from the overall circumstances. There is no need for the perpetrators to share the purpose of the attack. Rather knowledge of the context suffices. This is because it is said that not all foreign fighters from the different continent have joined ISIS believing in their ideology. As a result, no consideration is given to the personal motive or opportunistic personal reasons. Instead, an obvious knowledge of the context of the attack is sufficient for the mental element. For the purpose of establishing individual guilt, specific evidence must be adduced to prove the existence of knowledge on the part of that specific suspect. It should be noted, however, that the requirements as described above will be frequently satisfied.

3.4 War Crimes

War crimes are the oldest of the crimes under the jurisdiction of the ICC. Criminal liability for serious violations of humanitarian law extends to both international and internal armed conflicts. Law of armed conflict regulates conducts of war. Not all violations of international humanitarian law constitute war crimes. The sorts of conducts which are regarded as a war crime are regulated under Article 8 of the Rome Statute which incorporated the two areas of laws of war i.e. Law of Geneva which protects persons not

195 See Art 8 of the Rome Statute.
taking part in hostilities and Law of the Hague which protects soldiers by regulating means and method of warfare. The elements of war crimes require the serious violations of humanitarian law during an international or internal armed conflict with an adequate nexus to an armed conflict as examined herein below.

3.4.1 Armed Conflict

For the law of war to apply there must be an armed conflict.\(^{198}\) According to the jurisprudence of the ICTY Appeal Chamber, an armed conflict is said to exist if there is ‘resort to armed force between States or protracted armed conflict violence between governmental authorities and organised armed groups or between such groups within a State’.\(^{199}\) In the *Lubanga* case, the ICC Trial Chamber recognised the same meaning for an armed conflict.\(^{200}\) For the international humanitarian law to apply in an internal armed conflict, a certain level of intensity is required while any resort to armed conflict between States calls for the application of the international humanitarian law from the moment when the first shot is fired or when a war is declared.\(^{201}\) A question of the existence of an armed conflict in the case of ISIS atrocities and its characteristics are analysed below.


\(^{200}\) *Prosecutor v Lubanga Dyilo*, Judgment Pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute, ICC-01/04-01/06 (2012) Para 531.

3.4.2 Character of Armed Conflict

The kind of liability under Article 8 of the Rome Statute relies on the distinction between the international vis-à-vis internal armed conflicts. Though both types of armed conflict are recognised in the Rome Statute, the conducts which entail individual criminal responsibility differs depending on the type of armed conflict. The regulatory regimes for the international armed conflict and internal armed conflict are converging from time to time. At this juncture, however, the Rome Statute does not provide identical rules for both armed conflicts. Thus, there is a need to determine the nature of the ISIS armed conflict.

The international armed conflict involves an armed conflict between two or more states. In this case, the scale of the armed conflict is irrelevant. The internal armed conflict might be internationalised armed conflict i.e. involvement of other states in the internal armed conflict. In Lubanga, ICC PTC II ruled that the conflict is internationalised when the third state participates “in organising, coordinating or planning the military actions of the military group, in addition to financing, training and equipping or providing operational support to that group”.

There are States other than Iraq and Syria that are involved in the war against ISIS. In the US-led coalition, Bahrain, Jordan, France, Australia, and Turkey launched airstrikes against

IS-targets and Russia has also participated in the war against ISIS.\footnote{BBC ‘Islamic State group: Crisis in Seven Charts’ 3 August 2016 available at \url{http://www.bbc.com/news/world-middle-east-27838034} (accessed 7 August 2016).} For the war to be regarded as internationalised, the overall control by other states should be in support of ISIS. However, all of the above countries are involved in the conflict not in support of this group but against the group. Accordingly, the ISIS conflict cannot be regarded as internationalised.

In the case of internal armed conflict, the level of intensity must be high.\footnote{Ambos K (2014) 123.} In \textit{Tadić}, the ICTY stated that an internal armed conflict exists whenever there is ‘protracted armed violence between governmental authorities and organised armed groups or between such groups within a State’.\footnote{Prosecutor v Dusko Tadic aka (1995) Para 70.} It is protracted armed violence if it is prolonged armed conflict in its nature.\footnote{Triffterer O (2 ed) (2008) 292.} The intensity of the armed conflict should not be determined from a temporal perspective \textit{per se}.\footnote{Werle G & Jessberger F (2014) Para 1099.} The fact that the intensity of the conflicts affects the interest of international community should be considered.\footnote{Werle G & Jessberger F (2014) Para 1099.} However, internal disturbances like riots and isolated acts of violence cannot be regarded as an armed conflict for the law of war to apply.\footnote{Art 8 (2) (d) (f) of the Rome Statute.}

In this regard, the ISIS conflict can be considered as protracted both in Syria and Iraq. It is protracted as it has been actively going on for nearly three years. In comparison, the war in
Syria is more intensified than the war in Iraq. The intensity of war in Iraq also justifies the application of the law of war. The Iraqi government lost wide territory to ISIS. ISIS controlled cities including Mosul, Tikrit, Ramd, Amerli, and Albaghdadi killing thousands of soldiers. Among the list, Mosul is Iraq’s second largest city. Al-Anbar the largest province in Iraq also fell into the hands of ISIS. In 2014, ISIS was in control of nearly a third of Iraq alone. The total area under control of ISIS in Syria and Iraq was greater that the territory of Israel and Lebanon. The intensity of the war can also be grasped from the causalities sustained. According to estimation by UN, from January 2014 to October 2015, UN recorded 55,047 civilian casualties in Iraq alone. From this, the death toll of the ISIS-linked violence reached 18,802. More than 3 million people are internally displaced in Iraq.

Thus, the war against ISIS is protracted both in the sense that it is prolonged and intensified. The purpose of requiring ‘intensity of war for the law of war to apply’ is to exclude internal
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disturbances such as a riot or isolated acts of violence.\textsuperscript{222} At least, the war against ISIS in Iraq and Syria cannot be regarded as a riot or isolated acts of violence when properly structured soldiers are fighting against government forces, other militia forces, and international allied forces.

According to the ICC Trial Chamber, the organised nature of the group can be deduced from factors such as internal hierarchy within the group, the command structure, military capacity of the group, and intensity of the armed conflict.\textsuperscript{223} Whether a belligerent group is party to an armed conflict which is liable under war crime should be assessed on a case by case basis.\textsuperscript{224} Some scholars, for instance, rejected a claim that the Al-Qaeda terrorist group constitute party to the armed conflict.\textsuperscript{225} The reason given by Kai Ambos is the fact that the \textit{Al Qaeda} terrorist group lacks the required organisation or chain of command.\textsuperscript{226}

In contrast to the Al-Qaeda terrorist group, however, the ISIS terrorist group possesses the required hierarchical structure which is set up in such a way that it resembles a state bureaucratic structure with sufficient chain of command.\textsuperscript{227} It is well organised, comprising of the commander-in-chief, cabinets, Shura Council, the military council, and media apparatus.\textsuperscript{228} The group also has serious military capacity and has engaged in intensified

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{222} Art 8 (2) (d) (f) of the Rome Statute.
\item \textsuperscript{223} \textit{Prosecutor v Lubanga Dyilo} (2012) 236.
\item \textsuperscript{224} Werle G & Jessberger F (2014) Para 1079.
\item \textsuperscript{225} Ambos K & Alkatout J ‘Has ‘Justice Been Done’? The Legality of Bin Laden’s Killing Under International Law’ (2012) 45(02) \textit{Israel Law Review} 346 seq.
\item \textsuperscript{226} Ambos K (2014) 138.
\item \textsuperscript{227} Stakelbeck E (2015) 54.
\item \textsuperscript{228} CNN 14 January 2015.
\end{itemize}
armed conflict in Syria and Iraq. Accordingly, both requirements of intensity and organisation are fulfilled for the application of the law of war in the ISIS conflict.

War crimes during an international armed conflict are regulated under Article 8(2) (a) and (b) while for the non-international armed conflict they are regulated under Article 8(2) (c) and (e) of the ICC Statute. Accordingly, in this scenario the applicable provisions regulating the ISIS situation is the rules for non-international armed conflict which are provided under Article 8(2) (c) and (e).

3.4.3 Commission of Individual Acts

The ICC Statute includes an extensive list of offences which constitute war crimes. It should, however, be observed that not all offences under the customary international law are included in the Rome Statute. Thus, the list of crimes enumerated under Article 8 (2) (c) and (e) of the Rome Statute, are only exhaustive as regards the jurisdiction of the Court as other war crimes exist under customary international law.

ISIS members have committed different crimes enumerated under Article 8 (2) (c) and (e) of the Rome Statute. The individual acts committed against persons by ISIS include killing and wounding persons not involved in combat, mistreatment, sexual violence, hostage taking, and use of child soldiers are the major ones. ISIS has also committed attacks against the
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property by destructing cultural and religious heritages. Destruction of the 2,000 years old ancient city of Palmyra,\(^{232}\) the 2,000-year-old Temple of Bel (Baal Shamin), which is also known as ‘Pearl of the Desert’,\(^{233}\) Hatra, an ancient city from second and third century BC in Iraq,\(^{234}\) and the destruction of the sixth century ancient city of Aleppo,\(^{235}\) are all examples of cultural heritages destroyed by ISIS.

### 3.4.4 Nexus between Armed Conflict and Individual Acts

In order to say that ISIS committed war crimes, there must be a nexus between armed conflict and individual acts perpetrated by the group.\(^{237}\) The purpose of requiring a nexus is to differentiate the war crime from other core crimes and ordinary crimes like murder.\(^{238}\) The nexus between the two should be an ‘evident’ one.\(^{239}\) The link between the ‘war’ and the ‘individual act’ must be closely and obviously related.\(^{240}\) It should be noted that the requirement of nexus is not strict causal, and even the perpetrator is not required to be allied to the ISIS group. The functional relationship between the act and armed conflict is
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required.\textsuperscript{241} It is said to have related to the armed conflict if the armed conflict plays a substantial role in the offender's ability, decision, and manner to commit the act.\textsuperscript{242}

In accordance with the jurisprudence of the ICTY, the following facts about ISIS establish the close and obvious nexus between the acts committed and the armed conflict. The perpetrators are combatants of ISIS who are killing the civilians and soldiers who have surrendered by beheading, shooting, burning alive and bulldozing. Most of the victims are non-combatants as well as those who have surrendered to ISIS. The perpetrator's position and the victim's identity is an indicative factor of the nexus between the ISIS armed conflict and their individual criminal acts.

3.4.5 Mental Element

The Rome Statute requires the offenders to be aware of the 'factual circumstances that established the existence of an armed conflict' and the fact that the victim belongs to a protected person.\textsuperscript{243} This is not a requirement of awareness of character of armed conflict.\textsuperscript{244} Rather, it is the awareness of a factual scenario of the armed conflict. The required mens rea for individual conducts should also be fulfilled. Article 30 of the Rome Statute provides for a mental element which requires intent and knowledge concerning acts and consequences. Different mental element standards departing from Article 30 of the Statute are provided for some acts.

\textsuperscript{241} Ambos K (2014) 141.


\textsuperscript{243} The Introduction to the Elements of Crimes for Article 8 of the ICC Statute.

\textsuperscript{244} The Introduction to the Elements of Crimes for Article 8 of the ICC Statute.
Looking at the overall conduct of ISIS, it can be said that most of the attacks are committed by the members of ISIS who are parties to the armed conflict. Accordingly, it can be said that they are aware of the probable factual circumstance of existence armed conflict. When it comes to the individual acts, the atrocities committed in Syria and Iraq of attacks against protected persons and attacks against property are committed intentionally following the plan and policy of the group. Though this mental requirement needs to be supported by other evidence to determine individual guilt, in general however, the attacks by members of this group are committed with the requisite mental element.

Thus, all the required elements of war crimes under the Rome Statute are fulfilled and it is hereby submitted that ISIS militants should be charged with war crimes.

3.5 Summary

For the ICC to be a viable prosecutorial avenue, first of all, the acts giving rise to prosecution should fall within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Court. Accordingly, this chapter determined whether the violent conduct of ISIS constitutes one of the core crimes under the Rome Statute. Relying on the reports by human rights bodies, this chapter concluded that the current atrocities exerted by ISIS constitute crimes of genocide, a crime against humanity, and war crimes. It should be observed that the mere fact of having subject matter jurisdiction does not make the ICC a viable prosecutorial avenue. As such, based on the jurisdictional basis provided by the Rome Statute and other factors as well, the next chapter determines whether the ICC can be regarded as a viable prosecutorial avenue for ISIS atrocities.
CHAPTER FOUR: PERSONAL AND TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION OF THE ICC OVER ISIS CRIMES

4.1 Introduction

The States Parties to the Rome Statute accept the jurisdiction of the Court upon ratification of the Statute. Corporations, persons without the capacity for criminal responsibility, or those under 18 years of age at the time of committing the crime are exempt from the jurisdiction of the Court. This chapter scrutinises whether the ICC has personal and territorial jurisdiction against the ISIS perpetrators in Syria and Iraq.

4.2 Jurisdictional Basis of ICC

During the negotiation of the Rome Statute, different proposals were presented to set the jurisdictional basis of the ICC. On the one hand, Germany proposed universal jurisdiction while the United States advocated for requesting the consent of both the territorial and nationality state. A compromise between negotiating states resulted in the formation of jurisdictional bases as enumerated under Article 12 of the Rome Statute. Accordingly, the territoriality and nationality principle are the jurisdictional basis of the Court. Both of these situations presuppose being a party to the Rome Statute. As an exception, however, the UN Security Council referral and declaration of acceptance of the jurisdiction of the Court by concerned States are also the jurisdictional basis for the ICC against non-state members.

245 Art 12 (1) of the Rome Statute.
246 Arts 25 (1), 26, and 31 of the Rome Statute.
4.2.1 Territoriality

The first jurisdictional basis of the ICC is grounded on the requirement of the place of occurrence of the crime i.e. territorial jurisdiction or *ratione loci* jurisdiction. The ICC can exercise jurisdiction over crimes which took place in the territory of States Parties to the Statute.\(^{248}\) Jurisdiction based on the territoriality principle extends to crimes occurring on board-vessels or aircraft registered in the States Parties.\(^{249}\) It is on the basis of this principle that the Union of Comoros referred the Israeli interception of a humanitarian aid committed on board vessels.\(^{250}\)

There are two types of territorial jurisdiction i.e. subjective territoriality and objective territoriality. A certain court will have a jurisdiction based on subjective territoriality when a crime has “commenced within the State but completed or consummated abroad.”\(^{251}\) In the case of objective territoriality, the conduct commences abroad but completes within the state territory.\(^{252}\) In the *Lotus case*, the Permanent Court of International Justice ruled that a state may exercise jurisdiction when “a direct physical result which is itself a constituent or essential element in the offence charged” occurs in its territory.\(^{253}\)

The Rome Statute provides that if “the conduct in question occurred” in the territory of the States Parties, the ICC will have jurisdiction. The conduct in question refers to the act or
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omission giving rise to the criminal responsibility.\textsuperscript{254} Hence, the ICC can exercise jurisdiction when an act or omission including preparatory acts, attempts, and consequences occurs in the territory of States Parties.\textsuperscript{255} In other words, the ICC exercises jurisdiction based on both subjective and objective jurisdictional bases.\textsuperscript{256} According to Kai Ambos, such broad interpretation of the Rome Statute enables the Court to exercise jurisdiction over transnational non-party state actors like ISIS for crimes they commit in the territory of States Parties without being physically present.\textsuperscript{257}

The Court exercises jurisdiction irrespective of the nationalities of the perpetrators as far as the crime occurred in the territory of States Parties. This complies with the principle of sovereignty as the states can subject anyone committing crimes in their territory except persons enjoying immunities. The change in the case of the ICC is the fact that the Court is exercising this delegated power of each States Parties.

To date, the principal jurisdictional basis of the ICC has been a territorial principle.\textsuperscript{258} States are not allowed to make a reservation to the territorial jurisdiction of the Court. The power to exercise jurisdiction based on the territorial principle operates automatically upon the ratification of the Rome Statute by the territorial state.

\textsuperscript{255} Ambos K (2016) 1887.
\textsuperscript{257} Ambos K (2016) 1888.
\textsuperscript{258} Rastan R in Stahn C (2015) 165.
Only States Parties are obliged to cooperate with the Court. This means that if the suspect is residing in a country which is not a member of the ICC after committing a crime in the territory of State Party, his country of nationality or custodial State are not obliged to cooperate with the Court unless they are a State Party.

The question then is whether the ICC can exercise jurisdiction over ISIS atrocities. The atrocities committed by ISIS are mostly committed in Syria and Iraq. Unfortunately, however, these two countries are not States Parties to the ICC. Accordingly, the Court cannot exercise jurisdiction over the atrocities committed in these countries based on the territorial jurisdiction or ratione loci jurisdiction.

As already anticipated by Rod Rastan, there might be a circumstance in which the ICC might exercise partial territorial jurisdiction over a certain incidence of attacks crossing more than one territory. Although the focus of this research is Syria and Iraq, it is important to note that ISIS leaders in Syria and Iraq have also committed widespread attacks in France. France is a member of the ICC. Accordingly, Kai Ambos argues that irrespective of the
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physical presence of the ISIS perpetrators, the ICC will have jurisdiction over crimes which took place in France.  

This, however, partially captures the atrocities by ISIS as the perpetrators can only be tried for what they have done in the territory of the State Party to the ICC without the inclusion of major atrocities in Syria and Iraq. Thus, proceedings to prosecute the ISIS members based on the territorial jurisdictional basis results in fragmented jurisdictional reach over the ISIS atrocities as the jurisdiction of the ICC will not extend to the major atrocities committed in Syria and Iraq.

4.2.2 Nationality

The second jurisdictional basis for the ICC is the nationality principle. As opposed to the passive personality principle in which courts exercise jurisdiction when the victims are national of the State Party, the ICC exercises jurisdiction when the perpetrators are national of a State Party. This principle is in compliance with the sovereign power of States as they can subject their citizens to their jurisdiction or delegate their power to the ICC. This kind of jurisdictional base is prevalent in civil law countries while it is also exercised in common law countries for most serious crimes. It should be noted that the ICC will exercise jurisdiction over crimes occurring anywhere in the world as long as the perpetrator of the
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264 Art 12 (2) (b) of the Rome Statute.


crime is a national of a State Party. In such cases, all Member States are duty bound to cooperate with the court in apprehending or extraditing the suspect including all other forms of co-operation. An example of investigation based on this jurisdictional base is the current investigation against the United Kingdom for the alleged criminal conduct in non-party state Iraq in 2003.

The question that needs examination at this stage is about the nationality of the ISIS perpetrators which could give rise to the jurisdiction of the ICC. In the commission of atrocities, foreign fighters from different continents including Europe and America have joined ISIS. In 2014, the member combatants of ISIS were estimated to be 30,000. These members joined ISIS from including but not limited-to, Libya, Tunisia, Egypt, Lebanon, Morocco, France, Turkey, Jordan, Russia, Saudi Arabia, United States, UK, Belgium, France, Germany, and Denmark. From this, we can understand that the ISIS offenders are not only nationals of non-party States but also from States Parties to the ICC. Accordingly, the ICC has jurisdiction against the perpetrators from States Parties.

Prosecutor Fatou Bensouda has already considered prosecution of ISIS based on nationality principle. On 8 April 2015, she said the jurisdiction based on the nationality of the offenders can be pursued but it cannot be against the most responsible perpetrators.

According to her, this is because foreign fighters are not within the leadership structure. She added that the jurisdictional basis for the ICC at this stage is too narrow.

Most of the ISIS offenders’ who are national of States Parties might be engaging at a lower level. It is not correct to assume, however, that they are not the most responsible. There are times when the ISIS offenders at a lower level are notorious for their heinous acts of beheading and burning people alive. Accordingly, even if a perpetrator is not in the hierarchy of leadership, he might still be the most responsible for the atrocities. For instance, by the time the ICC Prosecutor rejected the investigation against ISIS, there are perpetrators who have committed atrocities. For example, the United Kingdom militant Mohammed Emwazi, known as Jihadi John was behind the beheading of captives from 2014 to until his death on 12 November 2015.

In addition to this, there were also ISIS commanders nationals of States Parties. The best example is the Georgian national called Abu Omar al-Shishani who was later killed on July 10, 2016. 271 By the time the ICC Prosecutor rejected the investigation against ISIS, he was ISIS Chief of Syria military operations. The military commander of Nineveh, who is a German national, is still alive. 272 The point here is that as far as the nationals of States Parties are
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participating as ISIS militants, the ICC will have jurisdiction and the question of who is most responsible comes next among the indicted individual who is national of a State parties.

The problem with this base of jurisdiction, however, is that it results in a fragmented jurisdictional reach, as the jurisdiction based on nationality would remain intact for States Parties nationals, while it would not extend to nationals of non-States Parties.

4.2.3 UN Security Council Referral

As part of the mandate of preserving the international peace and security, UNSC can establish international tribunals authorised to prosecute international crimes.\textsuperscript{273} In addition to this, the Rome Statute gave the UNSC a power to refer a situation to the Court.\textsuperscript{274} UNSC exercises this power in accordance with Article 13 (b) of the Statute and Chapter VII of the UN Charter.\textsuperscript{275} To date, the situation in non-party state Libya and Sudan have been referred to the Court by UNSC.\textsuperscript{276} As the referral of the situation is made as part of maintaining international peace under the UN Charter, the referral extends the ICC jurisdiction against non-party states. Accordingly, this power of the UNSC serves as a jurisdictional provision.\textsuperscript{277}

Before referring the situation to the Court, however, the UNSC needs to determine whether the conducts of ISIS-related conflict constitute ‘a threat to international peace and security’.

\textsuperscript{273} Chapter VIII of the Charter of the United Nations (1945).
\textsuperscript{274} Art 13 (b) of the Rome Statute.
\textsuperscript{275} Art 13 (b) of the Rome Statute. See also the General Assembly Resolution 58/318.
\textsuperscript{277} Triffterer O (2008) 569.
The UNSC has already held that the ISIS attacks constitute an unprecedented threat to international peace and security.\textsuperscript{278} Though the Rome Statute is silent on the issue, according to the jurisprudence of the ICTY Appeal Chamber, the Court can determine whether the situation is a real threat to international peace.\textsuperscript{279} Thus, if the UNSC referral is not made in accordance with Chapter VII of the UN Charter, it should be treated as a mere communication.\textsuperscript{280}

Even if the UNSC refers the situation, still the Office of the Prosecutor (OTP) has the right to determine whether there exists a reasonable basis to proceed with the investigation.\textsuperscript{281} In the case of the OTP, to proceed with the case, the UNSC can request for review of the decision by the Pre-Trial Chamber.\textsuperscript{282} It should be observed that even if the ICC refused to prosecute the case referred, the UNSC can establish an international tribunal like the ICTY or ICTR.\textsuperscript{283}

There is a difference between a referral of a situation and a case. The situation is defined by ‘temporal, territorial and in some cases, personal parameters’, while a case is about a specific incident committed by ‘identified suspects’.\textsuperscript{284} The UNSC can only refer a situation,

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{278} Art 13 (b) of the ICC Statute.
\item \textsuperscript{279} Triffterer O (2008) 573.
\item \textsuperscript{280} Olasolo H \textit{The Triggering Procedure of the International Criminal Court} (2005) 92.
\item \textsuperscript{281} Art 53 of the ICC Statute.
\item \textsuperscript{282} Art 53 (3) (a) of the ICC Statute. Rule 107 of the ICC Rules of Procedure and Evidence (2002).
\item \textsuperscript{283} Olasolo H (2005) 92.
\item \textsuperscript{284} Pre-Trial Chamber I, Decision on the Applications for Participation in the Proceedings of VPRS 1, VPRS 2, VPRS 3, VPRS 4, VPRS 5 and VPRS 6 (2006) Para 65.
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not a case. There are instances in which the UNSC referred a situation excluding jurisdiction over a national of a non-party state to the ICC. In the referrals of the Darfur and the Libyan situations, nationals of non-party states were excluded except for the referred states even if they have committed a crime in those territories. This, however, is contrary to the ICC Statute. For instance, in the Mbarushimana case ICC PTC I ruled that investigation can be conducted extending to all parties in a situation as long as that situation is triggered.

The ISIS related conflict can either be referred in temporal basis or in terms of territory or other personal basis. The subsequent effect of this is that the ISIS conflict in Iraq and Syria includes the other parties in the conflict, including the two governments, other militant groups, and states in the war against ISIS if they committed a crime in Syria or Iraq. According to Bill Schabas, there is no rule which prohibits the UNSC to refer ISIS to the ICC. However, this might again be interpreted by the prosecutor and the Court to include all parties to the conflict.
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Human rights bodies including the UN Human Rights Committee advocated for the referral of these atrocities to the ICC. The UNSC as a political body is affected by problems of selectivity. The Syrian case, for instance, was vetoed from being referred to the ICC because of the political affiliation of the party committing the crime with the permanent member countries of the UNSC.\(^{290}\) Though it is rigorously discussed, to date, the ISIS related conflict either in Iraq and Syria is not referred to the ICC irrespective of the kind and extent of atrocities committed in these two countries.\(^{291}\) This is happening because of the difficulty of finding political consensus between the members of the UNSC. The previous attempts to refer the Syrian situation failed due to Russian and Chinese veto to protect the Assad government.

A comprehensive referral of atrocities in which ISIS is involved should encompass the territorial, temporal and personal parameters. In terms of territory, Iraq and Syria should be included while the temporal parameter should consider the time which ISIS atrocities emerged. ISIS members do not have one nationality which makes defining the personal parameter of ‘situation’ as referring to nationality difficult. Defining the personal parameter as including all the members of ISIS is the only way to refer the ISIS atrocities in Syria and Iraq.
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The UN Security Council meeting held on 27 March 2015.
As it stands, the referral of the UNSC of the situation of ISIS remains impossible. In passing, it should be noted, however, that the situation in Libya is different as atrocities in this country are already under UNSC referral. While reporting to the UNSC on the status of Libyan situation, the ICC Prosecutor stated that her office is considering to prima facie extending to the beheading of Egyptians and Ethiopians in Libya. The ICC has jurisdiction over crimes under Rome Statute committed in Libya since 15 February 2011, in accordance with the UN Security Council resolution 1970.²⁹² In view of that, a referral of a situation to the ICC is defined based on temporal and territorial bases. As long as the ISIS crimes are committed after the defined date in the territory of Libya, the ICC will have jurisdiction to try ISIS atrocities in Libya while it will not have jurisdiction emanating from the UNSC referral for the atrocities in Iraq and Syria.

4.2.4 Declaration of Acceptance of the Jurisdiction of the Court

Non-party states can make a declaration of acceptance of the jurisdiction of the Court. In doing so, non-party states are given an opportunity to participate in the fight against atrocities committed on their territory or by their nationals.²⁹³ This ad hoc jurisdiction is a form of voluntary self-referral and the States submitting such a declaration are referred to as ‘accepting State’.²⁹⁴ The accepting states are required to cooperate with the Court.

²⁹³ Art 12 (3) of the ICC Statute. See also Novak A The International Criminal Court (2015) 48
²⁹⁴ Art 12 (3) of the ICC Statute.
Ivory Coast, the Palestinian National Authority, and Ukraine have submitted a declaration of acceptance to the registrar of the Court.\textsuperscript{295} As the ICC is a treaty-based Court, the consent of non-party states is required to prosecute their nationals unless they have committed the crime in the territory of States Parties or the situation is referred by UNSC. Declaration of acceptance of the jurisdiction of the Court is a precondition to the exercise of jurisdiction in non-party states territory or against their national.\textsuperscript{296} After submitting this declaration, the investigation is initiated through the triggering mechanisms provided under the Rome Statute, the relevant one being \textit{proprio motu}.\textsuperscript{297}

The problem with the Rome Statute regulating the declaration of acceptance of the jurisdiction of the Court is the fact that the law did not make clear whether the accepting State can accept jurisdiction in relation to the specific case or situation or even specific crime or all crimes under the Statute.\textsuperscript{298} The ICC Trial Chamber (hereafter: TC), however, decided that accepting States accepts the jurisdiction of the court in respect to all crimes under the Statute.\textsuperscript{299} Accepting jurisdiction in relation to a single crime is not possible.


\textsuperscript{296} Art 13 and 12, ICC Statute see also Müller AT & Ignaz S (2010) 1277.

\textsuperscript{297} Müller AT & Ignaz S (2010) 1277-1278.


The UN Human Right Committee has called upon the Iraqi and Syrian governments to join the ICC. Neither State, however, showed any interest of that sort. The other option is that Iraq or Syria can submit a declaration of acceptance of the jurisdiction of the Court to the Registrar of the ICC without a need to be a member of the Court. This act gives the court the jurisdiction to try ISIS perpetrators by avoiding the problems of disjointed jurisdictional reach. To date, however, neither Iraq nor Syria has submitted the declaration of acceptance of the jurisdiction of the Court.

It seems unlikely that they would submit a declaration of acceptance. As already decided in the *Laurent Gbagbo* case selective acceptance of the Court’s jurisdiction is not possible. This means that the governments of Iraq and Syria cannot opt to refer the ISIS, leaving themselves or another militant group like Shia militant group out of the jurisdictional reach of the ICC. Though this research paper only dealt with the atrocities committed by ISIS, other parties within the ISIS conflict including Iraq and Syrian governments have also allegedly committed crimes. For that reason, it is unlikely that the two governments will refer themselves to the ICC. As a result, a declaration of acceptance of the jurisdiction of the Court in this scenario seems unlikely.

### 4.3 The Way Forward: Prosecution of ISIS at the ICC or Other Prosecutorial Options?

Accountability for ISIS atrocities is necessary for halting the contemporary and similar future atrocities by a militant group capable of exerting such unprecedented attacks against civilian population, protected groups, and against other protected targets. It is also a mechanism of showing solidarity with the victims and indicating further that atrocities will not be tolerated. Hence, one such a response is the prosecution of the perpetrators.
The ICC has a subject matter jurisdiction over the atrocities committed by ISIS as the conduct by the jihadist militant group constitutes a crime of genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes under the Rome Statute. The ICC has jurisdiction over crimes committed in France, although the complementarity principle poses a challenge. It also has jurisdiction over the ISIS foreign fighters in Iraq and Syria who are national of States Parties. Similarly, the ICC has jurisdiction for the atrocities committed by ISIS in Libya as the country is already under referral of the UNSC.

It should be noted, however, that the ICC inbuilt system in the Rome Statute itself recognises the prosecution at the national level to be the primary avenue for prosecution of atrocities. When the national prosecution fails or is unable to prosecute an offence, international criminal justice should intercede by way of the principle of the complementarity. 300

Therefore, be it France or other States like Germany, United Kingdom and Belgium are ready to prosecute their nationals for war crimes and other crimes in which their nationals have participated in Iraq or Syria. Thus, even if the ICC has jurisdiction over ISIS foreign fighters nationals of States Parties, it can be challenged based on willingness and ability of these States to prosecute their nationals i.e. complementarity principle. In Germany for instance, ISIS members have been prosecuted. 301 The Libyan situation is already under consideration.
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by the ICC. It, however, does not include the major atrocities that were committed in Iraq 
and Syria.

The ICC, as it stands, is in a situation of partial jurisdiction, or it has fragmented jurisdictional 
bases. The complementarity principle poses a challenge to the prosecution of ISIS foreign 
fighters. The jurisdictional basis of the Court is not comprehensive enough to prosecute all 
the ISIS participant and major offenders of the ISIS for all the atrocities which they have 
committed in Iraq and Syria.

To make the ICC a viable prosecutorial avenue, the Court needs to have jurisdiction over all 
the ISIS atrocities. The solution for this is either for the Iraqi and Syrian governments to 
accept the jurisdiction of the Court by ratifying the Statute or by submitting a declaration of 
acceptance, or for the UNSC to refer the situation to the ICC. Neither of these solutions, 
however, seems to materialise anytime soon. As both governments themselves are accused 
of committing war crimes, it is unlikely that they would subject themselves to the Court. A 
referral by the UNSC is also inconceivable since Russia and China have vetoed the referral 
because of their affiliation with Assad government.

In the absence of jurisdiction over the major atrocities committed in Syria and Iraq by 
nationals of non-party states, the ICC cannot be regarded as a viable prosecutorial avenue 
for ISIS atrocities. The numbers of perpetrators are numerous and among them, there are 
militants who were arrested in the battlefield. Accordingly, the ISIS perpetrators need more 
than the ICC effort. For that reason, there is a need for sophisticated and multilayered 
prosecutorial approach to ISIS atrocities. Perpetrators should be prosecuted at the national 
level through the utilisation of the territoriality principle, active and passive personality
principle and universal jurisdiction. Instead of utilising the ICC with its fragmented jurisdictional reach over the perpetrators, it is advisable to establish hybrid tribunals to supplement the prosecution at the national level. Be that as it may, the ICC cannot be regarded as a viable prosecutorial avenue with its limited and fragmented jurisdictional base over ISIS perpetrators.

4.4 Summary

The ICC has a limited and fragmented jurisdictional reach over the ISIS atrocities. Based on the territorial principle the ICC cannot exercise jurisdiction over the major atrocities in Syria and Iraq. Based on the nationality principle, the ICC can exercise jurisdiction over nationals of States Parties participating as ISIS militants. Still, however, the ICC cannot exercise jurisdiction over the nationals of non-party States participating more aggressively in the same ISIS atrocities mostly as commanders and leadership structure of ISIS. Even for the prosecution of perpetrators who are national of States Parties, a challenge based on complementarity principle makes the Court non-preferable to step in for prosecution. If the situation by ISIS is referred to the Court by UNSC or if Iraq and Syria accept the jurisdiction, the officials of the two governments can be prosecuted for war crimes in the same situation as the reports by independent bodies makes such allegation. Accordingly, both possibilities seem unrealistic now. The referral from the UNSC is vetoed by Russia and China to protect the Assad government. Both governments are not accepting the jurisdiction of the Court as it may result in their prosecution as well. As the ICC has a limited and fragmented jurisdictional basis, it cannot be regarded as a viable prosecutorial avenue for ISIS atrocities, while other prosecutorial avenue like national courts and hybrid courts needs to be explored.
5.1 Conclusions

Since its formation, ISIS members have committed crimes under international law. ISIS has become a threat to international peace and security. Therefore, the media and various role players have called for the ICC to intervene.

This research paper accordingly dealt with an issue of whether the Court is a viable prosecutorial avenue for ISIS perpetrators. For the ICC to be a viable prosecutorial avenue, the Court should have subject matter, territorial and personal jurisdiction over the ISIS atrocities. Accordingly, it is concluded that the atrocities by the militant group in Syria and Iraq constitute all of the three core crimes under the Rome Statute i.e. genocide, crimes against humanity, and war crimes. Accordingly, the Court have subject matter jurisdiction over the matter.

It is submitted, however, that the ICC is not a viable prosecutorial avenue. This is because the Court has limited and fragmented territorial and personal jurisdictional reach over the perpetrators. The following has been concluded in relation to the jurisdictional basis of the ICC over the ISIS atrocities.

- **Territorial Jurisdiction**: the ICC lacks territorial jurisdictional base in Syria and Iraq where the major atrocities are occurring. Although the Court has territorial jurisdiction over the atrocities committed by ISIS in the territory of France, the Court’s competence cannot extend to the crimes perpetrated in Syria and Iraq.
- **Nationality Jurisdiction:** ICC has jurisdiction over the perpetrator's nationals of States Parties participating as ISIS militant. If the ICC wants to utilise this jurisdictional base against a national of States Parties a principle of complementarity bars the proceedings as the state are willing and able to prosecute their nationals. However, this jurisdictional base is limited as it cannot reach all perpetrators and nationals of the non-party states who have dominated the leadership of the militant group.

- **United Nations Security Council referral:** UNSC referral of ISIS situation in Iraq and Syria could have extended the jurisdiction of the Court over the ISIS perpetrators. There is no UNSC referral of the situation in Iraq or Syria. Since the governments in both countries are also alleged to have committed core crimes, the possibilities of UNSC referral are dim. The referral from UNSC of the Syrian situation, for instance, is vetoed by Russia and China to guard Assad government.

- **Ad-hoc jurisdiction:** submission of acceptance of the jurisdiction of the ICC by Iraq and Syria could have extended the jurisdiction of the Court over the ISIS perpetrators. Both Countries did not, however, accept the ad-hoc jurisdiction of the ICC. Since it is alleged that the governments of Iraq and Syria have themselves committed international crimes, they do not seem to be ready to accept the jurisdiction of the Court.

As it stands now, the ICC has limited and fragmented jurisdictional bases against ISIS perpetrators and as such the Court cannot be regarded as a viable prosecutorial avenue.
5.2 Recommendations

5.2.1 With Regards to Broadening the Jurisdictional Reach of the ICC

➢ To make the ICC a viable prosecutorial avenue and bring about accountability against ISIS members, the jurisdictional base of the Court should be broadened. For instance, the UNSC can refer the matter to the Court. Syria and Iraq can also be a member of the Court or accept the jurisdiction of the Court.

➢ Provisions dealing with the jurisdictional bases in the Rome Statute need to be renegotiated in order readjust it and broaden the jurisdictional basis to any ISIS-like perpetrators. An example in this regard is the inclusion of universal jurisdiction as a base of jurisdiction against non-state actors like ISIS.

5.2.2 Recourse to other Prosecutorial Avenue

➢ Since arrests of the ISIS perpetrators are already taking place, the already available prosecutorial avenue at the national level needs to be pursued in all countries based on the available jurisdictional bases including territorial principle, active and passive personality principle, the universality principle.

➢ There must be co-operation among States to bring the perpetrators to justice.

5.2.3 Additional Research on the Matter

➢ This research paper only examined whether ICC can currently be regarded as a viable prosecutorial avenue and concluded it is not a viable prosecutorial avenue unless the jurisdictional bases against the group are broadened. Accordingly, additional research need to be conducted to indicate which other prosecutorial avenue under
the international criminal law is a viable prosecutorial avenue currently for atrocities of ISIS and/or ISIS like militant group.
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