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There has been a decline in the global fossil fuel reserves, due to an increasing demand for petroleum. Biofuels can be used as an alternative source of energy whereby biomass is converted to liquid fuels such as bioethanol. There is considerable interest in lipolytic enzymes because of their broad substrate range and for this purpose these enzymes have potential in a variety of biotechnological application. Lipolytic enzymes include esterases (E.C 3.1.1.1) and lipases (E.C 3.1.1.3). Esterases preferentially hydrolyse short chain (<C<sub>10</sub>) ester-containing molecules that are partly soluble in water, while lipases hydrolyse a broad range of substrates preferably water-insoluble fatty acyl molecules (>C<sub>10</sub>). The aim of this study was to express, purify and characterise the lipolytic enzyme present on a fosmid, Try 11, previously isolated from a metagenomic library of a Malawian hot spring, which conferred activity on tributyrin and ethyl ferulate. Bioinformatic analysis of the fosmid insert sequence predicted an open reading frame consisting of 951 bp, designated RHgene34, encoding a 317 amino acid protein with 41 % similarity to the α/β hydrolase fold-3 domain protein of Burkholderia sp. The RHgene34 protein contains conserved motifs of esterases/lipases, such as HGGG (residues 95-98), GxSxG (residues 167 - 171) and the putative catalytic triad composed of Ser157, Asp255 and His285. The gene was cloned and expressed in pET21a(+), and transformed into Escherichia coli Rosetta. p-Nitrophenol (p-Np) fatty acyl esters of different carbon chain lengths were used for kinetic characterisation of RHgene34. Kinetic analysis revealed that RHgene34 had a broad range activity on the p-Np esters, from C<sub>2</sub> - C<sub>14</sub>. RHgene34 operates optimally at 45 ºC, pH 9.0 and has a half-life of 30 mins at 45 ºC. This study demonstrates that functional screening combined with the sequence analysis is a useful approach for isolating novel enzymes from a metagenome.
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### Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>absorbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>°C</td>
<td>Degrees Centigrade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS</td>
<td>Ammonium persulphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>Adenosine triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bp</td>
<td>Base pair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA</td>
<td>Bovine serum albumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPS</td>
<td>3-Cyclohexylamino-1-propanesulphonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>Chloramphenicol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-terminus</td>
<td>Carboxy-terminus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x g</td>
<td>Centrifugal force as a multiple of gravitational acceleration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Da</td>
<td>Dalton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dH2O</td>
<td>Demineralised water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>Deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTP</td>
<td>deoxynucleoside triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>Enzyme Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>et al</td>
<td>et alia (and others)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBr</td>
<td>Ethidium bromide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>Ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>Hill coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hr</td>
<td>hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPTG</td>
<td>Isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&lt;sub&gt;0.5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Hill constant (apparent K&lt;sub&gt;m&lt;/sub&gt;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&lt;sub&gt;cat&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Catalytic turnover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kDa</td>
<td>kilo Dalton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&lt;sub&gt;m&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Michaelis-Menten constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>litre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB</td>
<td>Luria-Burtani</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBA</td>
<td>Luria-Burtani agar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μg</td>
<td>microgram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μl</td>
<td>microlitre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ml</td>
<td>millilitre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mg</td>
<td>miligram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mM</td>
<td>milimolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ms^-1</td>
<td>meters per second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mol</td>
<td>molar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>Molecular Weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MES</td>
<td>2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulphonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ng</td>
<td>nanogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nm</td>
<td>nanometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCS</td>
<td>Multiple cloning site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-terminus</td>
<td>Amino-terminus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMED</td>
<td>N,N,N',N'-Tetramethylethylenediamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORF</td>
<td>Open reading frame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD</td>
<td>Optical density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAGE</td>
<td>Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>Phosphate buffered saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>Polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Np</td>
<td>para-nitrophenyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rpm</td>
<td>revolutions per minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>substrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>Sodium dodecyl sulphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOB</td>
<td>Super optimal broth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC</td>
<td>Super optimal broth with catabolic repression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sec (s)</td>
<td>seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAE</td>
<td>Tris acetic EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>Tris EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris</td>
<td>Tris-hydroxymethyl-aminomethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris-HCl</td>
<td>Tris(hydroxymethyl)methylamine hydrochloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV</td>
<td>Ultraviolet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>Maximum velocity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 1

Ferulic Acid Esterase for Bioethanol Production

1.1 Energy Crisis and Biofuels

Due to increasing populations and ever-expanding industrial economics, fossil fuel reserves are declining (Zecca and Chiari, 2010). Fossil fuels are non-renewable and may be responsible for changing the world’s climate (McMillan, 1996). Fossil fuels, which include coal, crude oil and natural gas, currently provide 80% of the world’s energy, 58% of which is used for transport, heating and other industrial processes (Lynd, 1996; McMillan, 1996). Fossil fuels are a non-renewable resource, which take millions of years to form, therefore the current rate of consumption is unsustainable (Zecca and Chiari, 2010; Demirbas, 2007).

Biofuels is the name given to any solid, liquid or gas fuel, which is derived from plant material, usually referred to as biomass (Demirbas, 2009). Biofuels can, in principle, be synthesised from any biological organic source; however, the most common sources are plants, such as plant oils, sugar beets, cereals, organic waste and the processing of biomass (Jegannathan et al., 2009). The fermentation of biological matter produces secondary energy carriers, such as sucrose and glucose, which can subsequently be used as fuel. Biofuels are a viable substitute for fossil fuels because they reduce greenhouse gas emissions and also contribute to sustainable development by minimising the global dependence on fossil fuels (Gary et al., 2006). There are different types of commercially available biofuels such as biomethanol, biobutanol, biodiesel and bioethanol (Balat et al., 2008).

1.2 Bioethanol

Bioethanol is separated according to the type of the source material used for its production (first generation and second generation) (Figure 1.1).
Figure 1.1: Different sources of biomass for bioethanol production. First generation bioethanol production uses conventional feedstocks such as maize, wheat, sweet sorghum and sugar cane while second generation bioethanol uses lignocellulosic feedstocks such as wood chips, fast growing grass like switch grass, forest/agricultural waste and straw (Adapted from European Renewable Energy Council, 2007).

Fuels that are produced from starchy (high in simple sugars) biomass sources like maize, sugarcane and wheat, produce first generation bioethanol (Figure 1.1). An advantage of first generation bioethanol is the high sugar which facilitates the fermentation process (Cherubini, 2010). The annual production of first generation biofuels is almost 50 billion litres; however the source material which drives such production is causing serious debate (Tan et al., 2010). The diversion of food crops, water and land resources for biofuel production, when food security is already a recognised problem (Srinivasan, 2009), has forced the development of second generation biofuel from agricultural waste, i.e. lignocellulosic biomass (Naik et al., 2010). Second generation biofuel utilises the residual non-food parts of current
crops, such as stems, leaves and husks, that are left behind once the food crop has been extracted, as well as other crops that are not used for food purposes such as switch grass and cereals which bear little grain (Lynd, 1996). Clearly, second generation biofuels are preferable to the first, however second generation bioethanol production requires a hydrolytic pre-treatment to break down the polymeric cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin biomass components into fermentable sugars.

The commercial production of bioethanol has been ongoing since the 1800’s, albeit with fluctuations in popularity (Antoni, 2007). According to Galbe (2002) and Zaldivar (2001), the recent fuel crisis has attracted renewed interest in bioethanol production. In a developing country like South Africa, the production of bioethanol is expensive which is mainly due to investment in feedstocks and downstream processes (Balat et al., 2008). The difficulties are clear when we consider biofuel production in South Africa in comparison with other countries (Table 1.1) (Sanchez and Cardona, 2008).

For a developing country bioethanol offers other advantages such as economic growth and job creation (Mabee and Saddler, 2010). Biofuels are biodegradable and safer to handle than fossil fuels, making spills less dangerous and much easier to remediate compared to oil. During biofuel combustion, there is lower carbon output and fewer toxins are produced, making them a cleaner alternative, which preserves atmospheric quality and lowers air pollution (Naik et al., 2010). Fossil fuels are non-renewable and their continued use is unsustainable, whereas biofuels can be manufactured from virtually any plant material, making it an efficient recycling process (Kantarelis and Zabaniotou, 2009). Biofuels can be significantly less expensive than gasoline and other fossil fuels, particularly as the technology becomes more advanced. As worldwide demand for oil increases and oil resources deplete, oil and gasoline prices are expected to increase (González-Garcia et al., 2010).

Although there are clearly many advantages to the production and use of bioethanol, there are also some disadvantages to consider. Biofuels have a lower energy output than traditional fuels, therefore requiring higher consumption levels in order to produce the same amount of energy. In order to refine current biofuels to increase energy outputs, and to build the necessary manufacturing plants to increase biofuel production, a high initial investment is required (González-Garcia et al., 2010).
Table 1.1: Production of bioethanol on a global scale for 2007 (Sanchez and Cardona, 2008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ranking</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Ethanol (in million litres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>United States of America</td>
<td>18 376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>16 998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>3 849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>1 900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Biofuels are not widely available for consumer purchase and most vehicles are not equipped to run on biofuel products. The current limited availability of biofuels reduces their feasibility as alternative energy sources. Several studies have been conducted to analyze the carbon footprint of biofuels (Naik, et al., 2010). While they may be cleaner to burn, there are strong indications that the process to produce the fuel, including the machinery necessary to transport the crops or plants from source to production plant, has high carbon emissions (Osmont et al., 2010; Vassilev et al., 2010).

However, there is a need to find alternative renewable energy sources. Biofuels are generally agreed to be a viable alternative for the 21\textsuperscript{st} century.

1.2.1 Lignocellulosic Biomass and Main Components

Lignocellulosic biomass is the most abundant material in the world. Its sources range from trees to agricultural residues (Mabee and Saddler, 2010). Lignocellulose provides structure to plants and is found in roots, stalks and leaves. It is made up of three basic polymers: cellulose \((C_6H_{10}O_5)\), hemicellulose \((C_5H_8O_4)\) and lignin (Balat
et al., 2009; Cosgrove, 2001); and together they constitute the plant cell wall. The combination of hemicellulose and lignin provides a protective sheath impervious to microbial attack around the cellulose, which must be modified or removed before the hydrolysis of cellulose can occur (Humelinck et al., 2005). Cellulose microfibrils are linked together by hemicellulosic tethers to form the cellulose-hemicellulose network, which is embedded in the pectin matrix (Figure 1.2) and the composition differ between biomass sources (Table 1.2).

![Plant Cell Wall Diagram](image)

**Figure 1.2**: Lignocellulose model showing cellulose, lignin and hemicellulose molecular structures (Holtzapple, 2003).

Cellulose is a homogenous linear polymer of anhydroglucose linked by β-1,4 glycosidic bonds and is the most abundant polymer on earth (Zaldivar et al., 2001). The secondary and tertiary conformation of cellulose, together with hemicellulose,
lignin, protein and mineral elements, makes cellulose resistant to enzymatic
digestion and gives plants structural strength (Zhu et al., 2008; Chang and
Holtzapple, 2000; Fan et al., 1980).

**Table 1.2**: Chemical composition of lignocellulosic biomass from different second generation
sources (Sun and Cheng, 2002).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lignocellulosic material</th>
<th>Cellulose (%)</th>
<th>Hemicellulose (%)</th>
<th>Lignin (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hardwood stems</td>
<td>40-55</td>
<td>24-40</td>
<td>18-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softwood stems</td>
<td>45-50</td>
<td>25-35</td>
<td>25-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat straw</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>15-20</td>
<td>80-85</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switch grass</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Second to cellulose in abundance is hemicellulose, which is composed of highly branched and linear heterogeneous polysaccharides that are connected via hydrogen bonds to the cellulose microfibrils in the plant cell wall, cross-linking them into a strong network (Shallom and Shoham, 2003). Hemicellulose is composed of polysaccharides of pentoses (D-xylose and L-arabinose), hexoses (D-galactose, L-galactose, D-mannose, L-rhamnose, L-fucose) and a number of sugar acids (D-glucuronic acid). It has a backbone composed of 1,4-linked β-D-hexosyl residues branched at O-2 and/or O-3, with L-arabinofuranose, acetate or 4-O-methyl glucuronic acid moieties. Xylan is the most abundant and important hemicellulose in cell walls (Mosier, et al., 2005; Zaldivar et al., 2001; Fillingham et al., 1999).

Hemicellulose is chemically bound to lignin and serves as an interface between lignin and cellulose. The degradation of hemicellulose requires the synergistic action of many enzymes because of the diverse composition of the plant cell wall (Gírio et al., 2010; Mosier, et al., 2005), and because hemicellulose is randomly fortified with ester bonds (Shallom and Shoham, 2003).

Lignin is a complex aromatic, three-dimensional polymer composed of linked six-carbon phenolic rings with various carbon chains and other chemical functionalities
(Chang et al., 2001). Since it is not easy to dissolve lignin without destroying it, the precise chemical structure has not been established. Lignin is non-crystalline and generally contains three monomeric alcohols (\textit{trans}-\textit{p}-coniferyl alcohol, \textit{trans}-\textit{p}-sinapyl and \textit{trans}-\textit{p}-coumaryl) derived from \textit{p}-cinnamic acid. Lignin is linked to both hemicellulose and cellulose, forming a physical seal around the components, which prevents entry of solutions and enzymes (Zaldivar et al., 2001). Plant cell walls also contain small amounts of structural glycoproteins (hydroxyproline-rich extensions), phenolic esters (mainly ferulic acids and \textit{p}-coumaric acids), and ionically and covalently bound minerals like calcium and boron.

### 1.2.2 Esters and Ester Linkages

Hydroxycinnamic acids are phenolic acids that are directly esterified to lignin surfaces (Jiyama et al., 1994). Lignocellulosic plant cell wall contains a significant amount of hydroxycinnamic esters of which the most abundant is ferulic acid. These acids are linked at different positions on the arabinose sugar of the hemicellulose polymer, and are cross-linked through diferulate bridges to heteroxylan and lignin polymers (Bunzel et al., 2005). The functional roles of ester linkages in plant cells walls have been intensively studied and they are implicated in regulating cellular expansion and plant defence. The cross-linking of ferulic acids are important structural components as they reduce the degradability of cell walls by restricting the accessibility to carbohydrates which reduces enzymatic reactivity (Bunzel et al., 2005; Crepin et al., 2003; Rumbold, et al., 2003).

### 1.3 Bioethanol Processes

#### 1.3.1 Pretreatment

Conversion of raw feedstock to a valuable product (e.g. bioethanol) requires that carbohydrates are first removed from the lignocellulosic complex (Archadi and Sellstedt, 2008). Due to the resistant structure of cellulose and natural composite structures of lignocellulosics, pretreatment technologies are required to increase
efficacy of enzymatic hydrolysis irrespective of the type of biomass used, to make the carbohydrates available for fermentation (Figure 1.3).

![Figure 1.3: Pretreatment renders lignocellulosic biomass easier to hydrolyse using enzymes (Adapted from Wyman and Yang, 2009).](image)

There are various methods available to fractionate lignocellulosic biomass. Steam explosion involves high steam pressure which vaporises water within the biomass, thus increasing surface area and facilitating decomposition. Similarly, ammonium fibre explosion involves contact with ammonia under high temperature and pressure conditions which disrupts the biomass. Both steam explosion and ammonia explosion are considered as suitable chemical pretreatments (Mosier, et al., 2005). A mechanical pretreatment method, such as grinding, creates increased surface area for enzymes to penetrate the biomass. This can be done by a hammer mill, knife mill, inline homogeniser or with air by a vortex grinder. Radiation, although unlikely to be used commercially, subjects the biomass to gamma rays, electron beams or microwaves (Wyman et al., 2005). Fungi such as white rot, brown rot or soft rot can also be used as biological pretreatments, as they naturally attack lignin, rendering the biomass more digestible (Himmel and Bayer, 2009).
1.3.2 Enzymatic Hydrolysis

After pretreatment and mechanical separation, lignocellulosic biomass must be hydrolysed to break the hemicellulose down into simple sugars. Although hydrolysis technologies such as concentrated acid and dilute acid have long industrial histories, recent focus has shifted to enzymatic hydrolysis as a promising method for reducing costs while improving yields. Enzymes catalyse hydrolysis at a multitude of sites therefore a small volume of enzyme can catalyse a large amount of substrate, keeping overall costs low (Vintila et al., 2009). Three main enzyme classes are required for complete lignocellulosic breakdown: cellulases, hemicellulases and ligninases (Lopez et al., 2002).

1.3.2.1 Cellulases

Cellulases are composed of a complex mixture of enzymes with different specificities to hydrolyse glycosidic bonds. They are responsible for the hydrolysis of cellulose and can be divided into three major enzyme activity classes (Robinovich, 2002; Goyal, 1991). There are endoglucanases or endo-1,4-β-glucanases (EC 3.2.1.4), cellobiohydrolases (EC 3.2.1.91) and β-glucosidases (EC 3.2.1.21). Endoglucanases are proposed to initiate randomly at multiple internal sites in the amorphous regions of the cellulose fibre, opening up sites for subsequent attack by the cellobiohydrolases (Wood, 1991). Cellobiohydrolases remove monomers and dimers from the end of the glucose chain. In general, endoglucanases and cellobiohydrolases work in synergy to hydrolyse cellulose. The β-glucosidases hydrolyse glucose dimers and in some cases cello-oligosaccharides to glucose (Robinovich, 2002).

1.3.2.2 Hemicellulases

The complete degradation of hemicellulose requires two groups of enzymes. Firstly, endo-xylanase and β-1,4-xylosidase cleave the xylan backbone and secondly, a variety of accessory enzymes remove side chains and break the cross-links between xylan and other polymers (Figure 1.4) (Topakas et al., 2007). These accessory
enzymes are crucial to breakdown because many hemicellulases, such as endo-
xylanases, do not cleave glycosidic bonds between xylose molecules that are
substituted by other side chains. These side chains must be cleaved off the xylan
backbone by a concert of accessory enzymes before complete hydrolysis can occur
(Saha, 2003; Prates et al., 2001). This process is slow due to the inaccessible and
rigid structure of the plant cell wall and the limited commercial availability of efficient
cellulolytic and hemicellulolytic enzymes. There are two types of catalytic modules in
hemicellulases: glycoside hydrolases (GHs) and carbohydrate esterases (CEs). GHs
target glycosidic bonds while the CEs target the ester linkages of acetate or ferulic
acid side groups. Accessory enzymes include α-L-arabinofuranosidase, α-
glucuronidase, acetyl xylan esterase (AXE) (Wong, 2006) and, the object of this
study, ferulic acid esterase (FAE).

![Figure 1.4](image_url)

**Figure 1.4:** The synergistic action of a variety of hemicellulases responsible for complete
degradation of xylan (Shallom and Shoham, 2003).

1.3.2.3 **Ligninases**

Microorganisms break down lignin aerobically through the use of a family of
extracellular enzymes collectively termed “ligninases”. Two families of ligninolytic
enzymes are widely considered to play a key role in the enzymatic degradation:
phenol oxidases such as laccase, and peroxidases such as lignin peroxidase (LiP)
and manganese peroxidase (MnP) (Krause et al., 2003; Malherbe and Cloete, 2002). Studies on ligninolytic enzymes are still insufficient (de Souza et al., 2005).

### 1.3.3 Production of Bioethanol through Microbial Fermentation

Fermentation is a biological process in which bacterial enzymes catalyse the reduction of simple sugars into lower molecular weight materials such as ethanol. An enormous variety of bacteria, yeasts and fungi are able to ferment 6-carbon sugars (Sanchez and Cardona, 2008; Brown, 2003). Hydrolysates from enzyme degradation contain a cocktail of hexoses and pentoses including glucose, galactose, mannose, D-xylose and L-arabinose. However, not all microorganisms can use hexoses and pentoses for growth, making complete biomass conversion inefficient. Bacteria such as *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella oxytoca* and *Zymonas mobilis* have been modified using genetic engineering to produce ethanol from hexose and pentose sugars. Most bioethanol produced by industrial fermentation use the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, due to its rapid sugar utilisation and tolerance to ethanol (Becker and Boles, 2003).

### 1.4 The role of Thermo-stable Enzymes in Fermentation

One of the major advantages of enzymes over industrial catalysts is their potential for high activity at low temperature. Mesophilic enzymes are important in protecting labile substance from damaging reactions (Wood et al., 1995). Thermostable enzymes, which originate mostly from thermophilic organisms, are industrially important due to stability at high temperatures (Haki and Rakshit, 2003). Thermostable enzymes have optimum activity temperatures of 45 °C to 85 °C (Satpal, 2010), and biotechnological process take advantage of these high temperatures to decrease the risk of contamination by mesophilic organisms which have optimum growth temperatures of 20 °C to 35 °C (Demirijian et al., 2001). Elevated temperatures influence high specific activity of enzyme, which results in decreased enzyme loading and high stability for reuse and extended shelf-life. Additionally, their rate of reaction is higher because of decreased viscosity and an increased diffusion coefficient of substrates. Due to the increased solubility of
substrates, yield also increase. These enzymes also allow processes with improved integration in terms of heat recovery and recycling of process streams (Haki and Rakshit, 2003; van den Burg, 2003).

In terms of current applications, the most industrially important thermophilic enzymes are esterases, which are mainly used in fine chemical applications for the production of pure compounds (Demirjian et al., 2001). Libraries of thermophilic esterases have been constructed together with screening methods. The use of esterases in industry has developed quite rapidly compared with that of other enzyme classes and quick characterisation tools are available for enzyme discovery and activity fingerprinting (Demirjian et al., 2001).

Thermophiles have found ways to adapt to extreme environments. They resist protein denaturation by using chaperons which are specialised proteins involved in refolding proteins to their native form and restoring native function (Kumar and Nussinov, 2001). In addition, saturated fatty acids constitute the plasma-membrane of thermophiles. The fatty acids provide an environment which is hydrophobic in nature and maintains the cell wall to enable life at high temperatures. The DNA of thermophiles has a reverse DNA gyrase that makes positive super coils in the DNA strands, which consequently raises the melting temperature of the DNA (Haki and Rakshit, 2003). Increased interactions such as electrostatic, disulphide bridges and hydrophobic interactions, which non-thermotolerant organisms also have, are used by thermophiles to tolerate high temperatures (Haki and Rakshit, 2003; Kumar and Nussinov, 2001). Therefore, thermophiles are a valuable source of thermostable enzymes for use in bioethanol production.

1.5 Ferulic Acid Esterase (FAE)

Ferulic acid esterases (EC 3.1.1.73) are hemicellulases belonging to a sub-class of the carboxylic ester hydrolase family (EC 3.1.1) (Fazary and Ju, 2008; Topakas et al., 2007; Polizeli et al., 2005). Together, these enzymes are responsible for cleaving the ester bonds between the arabinose substitutions on the polysaccharide main chain of xylans and the ferulic acid moiety (Koseki et al., 2009; Aurilia et al., 2008; Panagiotou et al., 2007; Shallom and Shoham, 2003). Esterases prefer to hydrolyse
short chain (<C\textsubscript{10}) ester-containing molecules that are partly soluble in water as opposed to lipases which hydrolyse long chain (>C\textsubscript{10}) molecules and are insoluble in water (Levisson \textit{et al}., 2009). Esterases (EC 3.1.1.1), lipases (EC 3.1.1.3) and various types of phospholipases are classified as lipolytic enzymes (Rhee \textit{et al}., 2005).

FAEs act synergistically with other hemicellulases, such as xylanase, to degrade plant cell walls. A wide range of bacteria and fungi have been reported to produce FAEs depending on the substrates used for growth (Panagiotou \textit{et al}., 2007; Garcia \textit{et al}., 1998). Due to the varied applications of FAEs, it is essential that they function well under different environmental conditions such as pH and temperature (Table1.3). FAEs with different specificities are required due to the diversity of substrates, which may be important in determining the optimal synergy between FAEs and other hemicellulases. When used in a one step fermentation process, all enzymes would need to be compatible with regards to pH, temperature optima and stability profile. For this reason, the discovery of new FAEs with novel characteristic properties still remains an important research area (Shin and Chen, 2006).

\textbf{1.6 α/β Hydrolase Fold Family Protein}

The three dimensional structure of esterases exhibit a definite order of α-helices and β-sheets, known as the α/β hydrolase fold (Figure 1.5). This is a typical example of a tertiary fold adopted by proteins that do not necessarily have similar sequences nor work on similar substrates, but share structural similarity, a conserved arrangement of the Ser-His-Asp catalytic triad and co-factor independent activity (Shallom and Shoham, 2003; Nardini and Dijkstra, 1999). The conical α/β hydrolase fold consists of 8 β-sheets with the second antiparallel to others. The parallel strands β3 and β8 are connected by α-helices packed on either side of the central parallel β-sheet (Aurilia \textit{et al}., 2008; Jaeger \textit{et al}., 1994). The α/β hydrolase fold family includes proteases, lipases, dehydrogenases, peroxidases and more importantly esterases, making it one of the most versatile and widespread protein folds known.
Figure 1.5: Schematic illustration of the α/β hydrolase fold. α-Helices are shown as red cylinders and β-sheets as blue arrows. Orange circles indicate the topological position of active site residues (nucleophile after β5, Asp/Glu after β7 and His in the loop between β8 and αF) (Bornscheuer, 2002).

The catalytic triad is composed of a nucleophile, located in a sharp turn called the ‘nucleophile elbow’, making it readily accessible by substrates and hydrolytic water molecules. There is a consensus sequence that makes the nucleophile elbow identifiable; Sm-X-Nu-X-Sm (Sm = small residue, e.g Gly, X = any residue and Nu = nucleophile) (Levisson et al., 2009; Nardini and Dijkstra, 1999). The binding site for substrates is located inside a pocket on top of the central β-sheet that is typical of this fold. Substrate specificity is determined by size and the shape of the substrate-binding cleft (Levisson et al., 2009; Aurilia et al., 2008).

1.7 Mode of Action of α/β Hydrolase Fold

The reaction mechanism of hydrolysis of esterase consists of four steps (Figure 1.6) (Aurilia et al., 2008; Bornscheuer, 2002; Jaeger et al., 1994): (i) binding of substrate to the active site serine results in formation of a transient tetrahedral intermediate, stabilised by interaction with the NH-groups of the catalytic His and Asp residues, (ii) the histidine residue donates a proton and the alcohol component of the substrate is released, (iii) nucleophilic attack by water on the carbonyl C atom of the covalent intermediate occurs (deacylation step), (iv) the negatively charged tetrahedral
intermediate is now stabilised by interaction with the oxyanion hole. Histidine donates a proton to the oxygen atom of the active site serine and this releases the acyl component of the substrate, releasing the free enzyme.

Figure 1.6: Mechanism of ester bond hydrolysis by esterase enzymes (Jaeger et al., 1994).

1.8 Classification of FAE

Enzymes are generally classified and named according to the type of reaction catalysed (Enzyme Commission). FAE have been classified as type A or type B, depending on their specificity for aromatic substrates or their ability to release diferulic acids from esterified substrates (Crepin et al., 2004). Recently, a more detailed categorization based on substrate consumption and supported by primary sequence identity has been proposed which consists of four subclasses: type A, B, C and D (Topakas et al., 2007). FAEs that are active on methyl ferulate, methyl p-coumarate and methyl sinapate are classified as type A. They prefer phenolic
moieties of substrate containing methoxy substitutions especially at the meta-position(s). Such FAEs have amino acid sequences very similar to lipases and are able to hydrolyse synthetic ferulate dehydrodimers. An example that falls into this group is Aspergillus niger FAE-A (Topakas et al., 2007). Type B FAEs show complementary activity to type A esterases. Type B esterases also prefer substrates with one or two hydroxyl substitutions such as methyl ferulate, methyl p-coumarate and methyl caffeate, but not methyl sinapate. Type A esterases prefer hydrophobic substrates with bulky substituents on the benzene ring. Type B enzymes do not release diferulic acid and show sequence similarities to 1-acetylxylan esterase (carboxylic esterase family). Examples for type B include Penicillium funiculosum FAE-B and Neurospora crassa FAE-1. Types C and D act on all four synthetic hydroxycinnamic acid methyl esters (ferulic, p-coumaric, caffeic and sinapinic acid), meaning that they possess broad substrate specificity, although with a difference in the ability to release 5-5' diferulic acid (aCrepin et al., 2004; bCrepin et al., 2004). Type C enzymes do not liberate diferulic acids from model and complex substrate, whereas type D is capable of hydrolysing dimers. Type C and D show sequence similarities to chlorogenate esterase and xylanase, respectively. Examples for type D are Piromyces equi EstA and Celluvibrio japonicus esterase D (Faulds, 2010; Koseki et al., 2009; Levisson et al., 2009; Aurilia et al., 2008; Topakas et al., 2007; aCrepin et al., 2004). The phylogenetic tree displaying FAE activity (Figure 1.7) and related sequences suggests an evolutionary relationship between FAE, acetyl xylan esterases and certain lipases (Topakas et al., 2007; aCrepin et al., 2004).
FAEs produced by bacteria are generally secreted into the culture medium. The first reported ferulic acid esterase was from cellulolytic and xylanolytic systems of *Schizophyllum commune* (MacKenzie and Bilous, 1988). The enzyme hydrolysed ester bonds of ferulic acid from the crude hemicellulose preparation of wheat bran. However, a limited number of ferulic acid esterases have been biochemically characterised and the first ferulic acid esterases purified were from *Aspergillus oryzae* and *Streptomyces olivochromogenes*. Both these enzymes had low molecular weights of about 30 and 29 kDa, respectively, and had similar pH optima ranging from 4.5 to 6.0 and 5.5 using methyl ferulate as a substrate (Topakas *et al.*, 2007; Christov and Prior, 1993). Up until now, most FAEs have been isolated from
fungal sources rather than bacterial sources. Other microbial FAE sources together with their characteristic properties are listed in Table 1.3 below.

Table 1.3: Physiochemical characteristics of purified ferulic acid esterases isolated from different microbial and fungal sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>FAE type</th>
<th>Molecular weight (kDa)</th>
<th>Optimal temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Optimal pH</th>
<th>pI</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Microbial Sources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Clostridium thermocellum</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45k</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Blum <em>et al.</em>, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fervidobacterium nodosum Rt17-B1</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yu <em>et al.</em>, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptomyces olivochromogenes</em></td>
<td>Type A</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>Faulds and Williamson, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Vibrio fischeri</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Ranjitha <em>et al.</em>, 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fungal Sources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aspergillus awamori</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Koseki <em>et al.</em>, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aureobasidium pullulans</em></td>
<td>Type B</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>Rumbold <em>et al.</em>, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fusarium proliferatum NRRL 26517</em></td>
<td>Type B</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.5-7.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Shin and Chen, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fusarium oxysporum</em></td>
<td>Type C</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Moukouli <em>et al.</em>, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sporotrichum thermophile</em></td>
<td>Type B</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>55-60</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Topakas <em>et al.</em>, 2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.10 Industrial Application of Ferulic Acid Esterase

Recently, interest has increased in the variety of potential uses for FAEs in the agro-food and energy industries. As already discussed, FAEs play an important role in
biomass degradation and form part of an enzyme system that acts in concert with a variety of other hemicellulase and cellulase enzymes, increasing overall sugar yield following breakdown (Christov and Prior, 1993).

FAE have also been implicated in the paper and pulp industry, where they solubilise lignin-polysaccharide complexes in paper processing. Together with glucanases and oxidases, FAEs also improve bread-making quality and related cereal processing (Topakas et al., 2007; Damirjian et al., 2001). Ferulic acids, as products of FAE, contribute to the importance of these enzymes as they have a potential application in medicine. Ferulic acid and its derivatives are very strong antioxidants and have gel-forming properties which act as potential protective agents against skin damage and wound treatment (Wong, 2006; Wang et al., 2004), as well as the antimicrobial and photo-protectant properties they possess (Aurilia et al., 2008; Topakas et al., 2007). Besides being exploited as a hydrolase, FAE was recently shown to be an excellent catalyst in sugar-phenolic ester production, and could potentially also be used to functionalise sugar polymers by adding phenolic derivatives onto the natural biopolymers (Shin and Chen, 2006).
Project Aims

The focus of this project was to characterise a novel thermophilic hemicellulytic gene and enzyme which could ultimately be applied towards the degradation of various lignocellulose feedstocks to fermentable products for application in biofuels production. In this study, a ferulic acid esterase was isolated from a metagenomic fosmid library constructed with DNA extracted from a Malawian hot spring soil. It is envisaged that this enzyme could be applied on an industrial scale in the biological degradation of plant biomass, thereby enhancing the efficiency of the hydrolytic process.

The specific objectives of this study are summarised below:

- To confirm ferulic acid esterase activity of a fosmid clone, using activity-based screening.
- Obtain gene and protein sequence using Roche 454 sequencer and analyse using bioinformatic tools.
- Design primers and amplify the gene of interest, for cloning and expressing gene into a bacterial expression vector.
- Purify bacterial protein such that it can be used in enzymatic assays.
- Characterise the ferulic acid esterase in terms of pH and temperature optimal range, and determine substrate specificity and enzyme kinetic data.
2.1 Chemicals and Enzymes

The para-nitrophenyl (p-NP)-fatty acyl ester substrates; p-Np-acetate (C\textsubscript{2}), p-Np-propionate (C\textsubscript{3}), p-Np-octanoate (C\textsubscript{8}), p-Np-decanoate (C\textsubscript{10}), p-Np-laurate (C\textsubscript{12}), p-Np-myristate (C\textsubscript{14}) in addition Triton X-100 were purchased from Sigma (South Africa). Methyl esters of ferulic (MFA), \textit{p}-coumaric (MpCA), caffeic (MCA) and sinapic (MSA) acids were purchased from Apin Chemicals (South Africa). Total protein assay solution was purchased from Bio-Rad (South Africa). All other chemicals and solvents used were of analytical grade and commercially available. Biolabs supplied culture media. DNA and PCR purification kit was purchased from Giagen and GE Healthcare (South Africa), respectively. DNA size markers and all DNA modifying enzymes (polymerase and restriction endonuclease) were purchased from Fermentas Life Science (South Africa). The 0.2 nm nitrocellulose filter was purchased at Millipore. Oligonucleotide primers for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) were synthesised by Inqaba Biotec (South Africa).

2.2 Media

Luria-Burtani (LB) broth consisted of 1 % [w/v] tryptone, 0.5 % [w/v] yeast extract and 0.5 % [w/v] NaCl. The LB agar consisted of LB broth with the addition of 1.5 % [w/v] bacteriological agar. Tributyrin agar consisted of 1 % [w/v] tryptone, 0.5 % [w/v] yeast extract, 0.5 % [w/v] NaCl, 1.5 % [w/v] bacteriological agar, 1 % [w/v] tributyrin and 1 % [w/v] gum arabic. After dissolving the ingredients together, the mixture was sonicated for 10X 30 sec interval. SOB broth consisted of 2 % [w/v] tryptone, 0.5 % [w/v] yeast extract, 0.05 % [w/v] NaCl, 0.02 % KCl. All components were mixed together with distilled water and pH was adjusted with 1M NaOH to pH 7.0. Media was autoclaved at 121 °C for 20 min. SOC was prepared from SOB broth with the addition of filter sterilised 0.5 % [w/v] 2M MgCl\textsubscript{2} and 2 % [w/v] 1M glucose. Ethyl ferulate (EF) agar consisted of 1 % [w/v] tryptone, 0.5 % [w/v] yeast extract and 0.5
% [w/v] NaCl and 1.5 % [w/v] bacteriological agar. Once the media was autoclaved and cooled to 55 °C, 0.4 % [w/v] of filter sterilised Ethyl 4-hydroxy-3-methoxycinnamate (EF) (dissolved in dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO)) was added before pouring plates. Olive oil-Rhodamine B lipase agar consisted of 2 % [w/v] olive oil and 0.2 % [w/v] gum arabic dissolved in water and sonicated for 5 cycles at 30 seconds each. This solution was then mixed with filter sterilised 2 % [w/v] MOPS in a separate bottle adjusted to pH 7.0 with NaOH. Three percent agar was added and then autoclaved. Once autoclaved, 2 % [w/v] Rhodamine B dye was added.

All media types were cooled to 55 °C after autoclaving, and when necessary, the appropriate filter sterilised antibiotic and inducer was aseptically added before pouring plates. All agar plates were allowed to solidify and dry at 25 °C for 3 hours before use.

2.3 Bacterial Strains, Plasmids and Growth Conditions

Final concentration of antibiotics was: (unless otherwise stated) 12.5 µg/ml chloramphenicol (cam), 100 µg/ml ampicillin (amp) and 50 µg/ml kanamycin (kan). Chloramphenicol was prepared with 100 % ethanol, ampicillin and kanamycin with distilled water. Arabinose (0.01 %) and Isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (0.5 mM or 1 mM) were prepared using distilled water and filter purified.

Bacterial strains were grown in broth or on solid media supplemented with the appropriate antibiotic. Unless otherwise stated, cultures were incubated at 37 °C. Strains grown in broth were agitated at 150 to 225 rpm. All clones were stored in two forms: (i) as colonies on agar plates and (ii) as glycerol stocks at -80 °C. The plates were stored at 4 °C and were maintained for sub-culturing. The glycerol stocks were prepared by adding 500µl of 50 % glycerol to 500µl of cell culture. The DNA was stored in 1X TE buffer at 4 °C for short term storage and at -20 °C for long term storage.
Table 2.1: Strains and plasmids used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacterial strains</strong></td>
<td>Genotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E. coli</strong></td>
<td>F(^-) mcrA Δ(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Φ80d lacZΔM15 ΔlacX74 recA1 endA1 araD139 Δ(ara, leu)7697 galU galK λ(^-) rpsL nupG trfA tonA dhfr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genehug</strong></td>
<td>F(^-) mcrA Δ(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Φ80d lacZΔM15 ΔlacX74 recA1 araD139 Δ(ara, leu)7697 galU galK rpsL (Str(^R)) endA1 nupG fhuA::IS2 (confers phage T1 resistance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BL21(DE3)pLysS</strong></td>
<td>F(^-), ompT, hsdS(_B) (r(_B) m(_B)(^-)), dcm, gal, (DE3), pLysS, Cam(^R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rosetta(DE3)pLysS</strong></td>
<td>F(^-), ompT, hsdS(_B) (r(_B) m(_B)(^-)), gal, dcm, (DE3), pLysSRARE, (Cam(^R))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasmids/vectors</strong></td>
<td>Chloramphenicol(^R) 12.5 μg/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pUC19</td>
<td>Ampicillin(^R) 100 μg/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pJET1.2</td>
<td>Ampicillin(^R) 100 μg/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET21a(+)</td>
<td>Ampicillin(^R) 100 μg/ml</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4DNA Purification

DNA from the clone (Try 11) showing lipolytic activity on tributyrin agar was purified using the alkaline lysis protocol (Sambrook et al., 1989). DNA concentration was measured using a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer as recommended by the manufacturer (Thermo Scientific). The fosmid DNA was digested with EcoRI and HindIII and then loaded onto a 1 % agarose gel in 1X TAE buffer overnight at 50 V. The DNA fragments were calculated according to their migration in the gel as compared to that of the DNA molecular marker, Lambda DNA which is restricted with.
PstI endonuclease. Visualisation of DNA was carried out using the Alphalmager 2000 digital imaging system (Alpha Innotech, San Leadro, CA).

2.5 Transposon Mutagenesis

An attempt was made to obtain the clone insert’s sequence using mutations at random locations by *in vitro* transposon mutagenesis according to manufacturer’s instructions (GPS®- Mutagenesis system, New England Biolabs, UK).

2.6 Bioinformatics and Analysis

In an effort to identify the origin of the insert DNA, Try 11 clone was end-sequenced with the T7 forward and pCC1Fos reverse primer [Table 2.2] flanking the insert. Sequencing reactions were carried out by the University of Cape Town sequencing service using the Roche 454® GS-FLX sequencing platform of Inqaba Biotechnical Industries.

The fosmid was sequenced using the Roche 454® sequencer. Sequence assembly, contig editing and the end-sequences were aligned against 454 sequences using the CLC Genomics Workbench software. SoftBerry (Salamov and Solovyev, 2000), was used to predict open-reading frames (ORFs) and proteins in the sequence which was compared to other proteins in the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database using BLASTp (Altschul *et al*., 1997). Once the final nucleotide contig was constructed, ExPASY (Gasteiger *et al*., 2005) was used to translate the nucleotide into protein. Multiple sequence alignments using ClustalW (Larkin *et al*., 2007) were used to determine conserved regions in the gene. Pfam was used to find matches to the predicted protein family domains (Finn *et al*., 2008). The PROSITE motif search was also used to identify possible matches based on conserved motifs found in the protein (Hulo *et al*., 2007). PSIPRED was used to predict the secondary structure of the protein (McGuffin *et al*., 2000). TMBETA-NET was used to discriminate outer membrane proteins and predict transmembrane β-strands in an outer membrane protein from the amino acid sequence (Gromiha *et al*., 2005). The signal peptide was predicted using the SignalP 3.0 server (Emanuelsson *et al*., 2005).
2007). Rare Codons Caltor was used to predict rare codon content. The translated nucleotide sequence was used for homology modelling using the Swiss-protein modeller program (Schwede et al., 2003) and Interactive 3D-JIGSAW (Bates et al., 2001). RAMPAGE was used to assess the accuracy of the model by generating a Ramachandran plot (Lovell et al., 2003). The model was superimposed onto the template using the PyMol program. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using MEGA version 4 (Tamura et al., 2007) and were used in the construction of phylogenetic trees based on neighbour-joining analysis. Protein sequences of various enzymes or subunits were extracted from the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (Washington, D.C).

2.7 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) of RHgene34

Primers (RhuF2 and LaniR2) [Table 2.2] were designed with restriction cut sites Ndel and HindIII, respectively. The primers were used to amplify the gene from the original fosmid using PCR. The PCR reaction mixtures were placed in a Gene Amp® Master Cycler Gradient Eppendorf machine and the following cycling conditions were used: initial denaturation at 95 ºC for 1 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95 ºC for 30 sec, annealing at 59 ºC for 1.5 min and extension at 72 ºC for 1 min. A final elongation step of a single cycle was performed at 72 ºC for 5 min and the holding temperature was at 15 ºC for 5 min.

The target DNA was amplified using 0.2 ml thin walled tubes in either Gene Amp® Master Cycler Gradient or ThermoHybaid PCR sprint machines. PCR reactions (20-50 µl) contained 50-100 ng fosmid DNA, 1X PCR buffer (from 10X buffer consisting of 200 mM Tris [pH 8.8], 100 mM KCl, 100 mM [NH₄]₂SO₄, 2 mM MgSO₄ and 1 % [w/v] Triton-X-100), 0.5 µM of RhuF2 and LaniR2 primers [Table 2.2], 0.2 mM dNTPs mixture (dATP, dCTP, dGTP and dTTP) and 0.5 µl labtaq DNA polymerase. For control purposes, forward primer, reverse primer and a negative control (a reaction mixture containing all reagents except template) was routinely included. Reactions were made up to the appropriate final volume using ddH₂O.

Gel electrophoresis of the resulting PCR products showed that a fragment corresponding to the expected size was successfully amplified.
Table 2.2: Primers used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Sequence (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Specific annealing temperatures ( ºC)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T7</td>
<td>GGATGTGCTGCAAGGCAGATTAAGTTGG</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Novagen (USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCC1FOS</td>
<td>TACGCCAAGCTATTAGGTGTTGAGA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Novagen (USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RhuF2</td>
<td>GGCATATGCCATATATTTCACCGAAG</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaniR2</td>
<td>GGAAGCTTTCGCTTTTGAATTAATTG</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pJET1.2</td>
<td>CGACTCAGTAAGGGAGACCGGC</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Fermentas (USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pJET1.2</td>
<td>AAGAACATCGATTTCATGCCAG</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Fermentas (USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET21</td>
<td>TAATAGCTACACTATAGGG</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Novagen (USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET21</td>
<td>GCTAGTTATGCTAGCGG</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Novagen (USA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The restriction sequences for Ndel and HindIII are underlined. The start codon is in bold.

2.8 Cloning of RHgene34

The PCR product was cloned into the CloneJET™ PCR Cloning Kit (Fermentas) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Restriction enzymes, Ndel and HindIII, were used to digest selected clones. Clones showing the expected pattern were sequenced. An *E. coli* pET21a(+), was used to achieve expression of RHgene34 protein. pET21a(+) contains a C-terminal His tag, which will be useful for nickel affinity chromatography purification.
2.9 Preparation of competent *E. coli* cells

2.9.1 Preparation of Electrocompetant Cells

Electrocompetent EPI-300 *E. coli* cells were prepared by inoculating a single freshly streaked colony into 5 ml SOB broth and culturing overnight at 37 °C at 250 rpm. Half of the overnight culture was inoculated into a 1 l sterile flask containing 250 ml SOB broth and the cells were cultured at 37 °C (250 rpm) for 45 - 60 min until OD$_{600\text{nm}}$ was between 0.5 - 0.7. Cells were kept on ice from this point on and transferred to chilled Corning bottles. The cells were harvested at 4000 x g for 15 min at 4 °C. As much supernatant as possible was discarded and the cells were gently resuspended in 1 l of ice-cold sterile 10 % [v/v] glycerol and harvested as before. The cells were centrifuged again then resuspended in 0.5 l ice-cold sterile 10 % [v/v] glycerol and centrifuged as before. Pellets were re-suspended in a total of 250 ml ice-cold 10 % [v/v] glycerol and harvested as before. Ice-cold 10 % [v/v] glycerol (3 – 4 ml) was used to resuspend the cells pellets. The cell suspension was kept on ice and aliquoted (100 µl) into 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes and stored at -80 °C until needed. To test the efficiency of the electrocompetent cells, 1 µl of pUC19 vector DNA (120 ng/µl) was electroporated with 50 µl EPI-300 cells.

2.9.2 Preparation of Competent *E. coli* Cells by CaCl$_2$ Treatment

Glycerol stocks of appropriate *E. coli* cultures were streaked onto the surface of an LB agar plate. The plate was incubated for 24 hrs at 37 °C. Pre-culturing was performed by transferring a single colony into 5 ml LB medium. The culture was incubated overnight at 37 °C in a shaking incubator and 500 µl of the overnight culture was inoculated into 100 ml SOB medium in a 1 l flask. The culture was incubated at 37 °C until an optical density (OD$_{600 \text{nm}}$) of 0.3 - 0.6 was attained. The flask was placed on ice and the culture was split into 4 equal volumes. Cells were kept on ice in all subsequent steps. The cultures were centrifuged at 4 °C for 5 min at 5000 rpm. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended in 100 ml ice cold 0.1 M CaCl$_2$ and held on ice for 1 min. Cells were collected as before and resuspended in 50 ml of ice cold 0.1 M CaCl$_2$ and held on ice for 90 min. The
cultures were centrifuged at 4 ºC at 5000 rpm for 5 min and placed on ice. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended in 10 ml ice cold 0.1 M CaCl$_2$. A volume of 10 ml of ice-cold sterile glycerol was added, the cells were resuspended and aliquots were stored at -80 ºC (Sambrook et al., 1989).

2.10 Transformation of *E.coli* cells

2.10.1 Electroporation

*E. coli* EPI-300 electrocompetent cells (50 µl) were transformed by the addition of 1 µl fosmid DNA then gently mixed. The mixture was cooled on ice for 5 min then transferred into a pre-cooled 0.1 cm sterile electroporation cuvette (Bio-Rad Laboratories, CA, USA). Electroporation was performed under the following conditions: 1.8 kV, 25 µF and 200 Ω. After electroporation, 1 ml of SOC broth, pre-warmed to 37 ºC, was immediately added to the cuvette and mixed. The cells were transferred to a 2 ml sterile Eppendorf tube and incubated at 37 ºC for 30 – 60 min with agitation. Cells were plated in aliquots of 200, 100 and 50 µl onto LB-agar plates.

2.10.2 Chemical Transformation

Plasmid DNA (5 µl) was added directly to 50 µl of competent cells, incubated on ice for 30 min and heat-shocked at 45 ºC for 30 sec. Cells were incubated on ice for a further 2 min and 950 µl of LB broth was added and the cells incubated for 50 min at 37 ºC with agitation. The recovered cells were plated on media.

2.11 Preparation of Cell-Free Extract for RHgene34 Purification

Random transformants with zones of hydrolytic activity were selected for small scale expression. Colonies were grown overnight in 5 ml LB medium. Five hundred microlitre of this culture was used to inoculate 12 ml LB medium until OD$_{600nm}$ reached 0.6 - 0.8. The culture was divided into two 6 ml volumes; one was induced
with 1 mM IPTG, the other uninduced served as the negative control. The tubes were incubated with shaking (150 rpm) at 37°C. Cultures were sampled at 2 hr intervals for up to 8 hrs and overnight for analysis on SDS-PAGE gels. Each sample (1 ml) was harvested by centrifugation at 6000 x g for 10 min. The pellet was stored at -20 ºC and the supernatant at 4 ºC. The volume of sample to be loaded on a 12 % SDS-PAGE gel was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{Volume (μl)} = \frac{180}{\text{OD}_{600nm} \times \text{concentration factor}}
\]

2.11.1 Enzymatic Lysis

Frozen cell pellets were resuspended in BugBuster extraction (5 ml/g of pelleted cells) (Novagen, USA) containing benzonase nuclease (1 μl/ml) (Novagen, USA) and gently agitated at room temperature for 30 min. The lysed cells were centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 10 min and the supernatant was transferred to a sterile tube and stored at 4 ºC.

2.11.2 Mechanical Disruption (Sonication)

Frozen cell pellets were resuspended in 1:50 volume of PBS buffer and sonicated on ice in cycles of 30 sec pulse and 30 sec pause for 5 min per 50 ml of culture volume. The lysed cells were centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 10 min and the supernatant was transferred to a sterile tube and stored at 4 ºC. The cell-free extract was analysed by SDS-PAGE.

2.12 Protein Expression and Purification

Cell cultures were incubated to an OD_{600nm} of 0.7 at 37 ºC. The culture was centrifuged at 13 000 x g and the pellets were resuspended in Bugbuster [5 ml for every 1 g of pelleted cells] (Novagen, USA) and Benzonase nuclease [1 U/ml Bugbuster] (Novagen, USA) and incubated at room temperature for 30 min with
gentle agitation. The lysed cells were centrifuged at 13 000 x g for 5 min and the supernatant was transferred to a sterile Eppendorf tube.

His-Bind resin (Novagen, USA) was completely resuspended by gentle inversion. Three millilitres of the slurry was transferred to a purification column and packed by gravitational flow to a final bed volume of 1.5 ml. To charge and equilibrate the column the following sequence of washes were allowed to flow through the column by gravity flow:

4.5 ml sterile deionised water

7.5 ml 1 x charge buffer (8 x = 400 mM NiSO₄)

4.5 ml 1 x binding buffer (8 x = 4 M NaCl, 160 mM Tris-HCl, 40 mM imidazole [pH 7.9])

After draining of the binding buffer, prepared cell extract was added to the column and allowed to flow through. The column was washed with 15 ml 1 x binding buffer, 9 ml 1 x wash buffer (8 x = 4 mM NaCl, 480 mM imidazole, 160 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.9]), 9 ml 1 x elute buffer (4 x = 4 M imidazole, 2 M NaCl, 80 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.9]) and finally 9 ml 1 x strip buffer (4 x = 2 M NaCl, 400 mM EDTA, 80 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.9]). After use, the column was washed with sterile demineralised water and stored in 1 ml 20 % ethanol at 4 ºC.

All fractions were collected. The eluted fraction was transferred to a dialysis cassette (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and dialysed for 6 hours in 1 l dialysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.0], 10 % glycerol), then overnight against 1 l of fresh buffer. The recovered fraction was stored at 4 ºC and used in subsequent enzyme assays with dialysis buffer as a control.

## 2.13 SDS-PAGE Analysis

Vertical SDS-PAGE gels were cast with a 12 % stacking gel (1.5M Tris-HCl [pH 8.8], 30 % [w/v] acrylamide, 0.8 % [w/v] bis-acrylamide, 20 % [w/v] SDS, 10 % [w/v] ammonium persulphate [Sigma], 0.1 % [v/v] TEMED [Fluka]) and a 4 % stacking gel (0.5 M Tris-HCl [pH 6.8], 30 % [w/v] acrylamide, 0.8 % [w/v] bis-acrylamide, 20 %
SDS, 10 % [w/v] ammonium persulphate, 0.1 % [v/v] TEMED). Samples were mixed with an equal volume of 2 x loading dye (80 mM Tris-HCl [pH 6.8], 10 % [v/v] mercaptoethanol, 2 % [v/v] SDS, 10 % [v/v] glycerine, 0.2 % [w/v] bromophenol blue), vortexed and heated to 95 °C for 5 - 10 min.

Samples of 10 µl were loaded on gels and electrophoresed at 60 V in 1 x running buffer (0.25 mM Tris-HCl, 2 M glycine, 1 % [w/v] SDS) for 30 min through the stacking gel. Electrophoresis continued through the separating gel at 100 V for approximately 2 hours. The gel was stained with Coomassie brilliant blue stain (0.25 % [w/v] Coomassie blue R250, 50 % [v/v] methanol, 10 % [v/v] acetic acid) for 45 min and de-stained overnight with SDS destain (50 % [v/v] methanol, 10 % [v/v] acetic acid) (Sambrook et al., 1989). The size of the proteins was determined according to their migration in the gel as compared to that of the protein ladder used (PageRuler™ stained protein ladder [Fermentas]).

2.14 Enzyme Assays

The His-tag purified protein was used in enzyme assays. Dialysis buffer was used as control. Nine hundred and seventy microlitres of buffer (100 mM NaCl, 100 mM Na₂PO₄), 10 µl of 50 mM substrate (dissolved in acetonitrile) and 10 µl of 1 % Triton X 100 were added to a 1 ml cuvette, mixed thoroughly by inversion and the absorbance measured by monitoring the production of p-nitrophenoxide at 405 nm over a period of 1 min using a Cary 50 Bio UV-Visible Spectrophotometer and Cary Win VU Kinetic Application 3.0 software (Australia), with a water-heated cuvette block at 25 °C. This mixture was used as the blank and a new cuvette was used for each background/blank measurement. After addition of enzyme, the change in absorbance units per minute was measured for each substrate. Activity was calculated using the following equation:

$$A = \varepsilon \cdot C \cdot I$$

Where:

ε is the extinction co-efficient of p-nitrophenol (p-Np) which was experimentally determined by Dr. C. Heath and X.P. Hu as 13 900 Lmol⁻¹cm⁻¹.
A is the absorbance of the enzyme reaction based on $V_{\text{max}}$ and the volume of enzyme used in the 1 ml assay.

C is the substrate concentration of Lmol$^1$ in the enzyme reaction.

$l$ is the path length of light through the cuvette and has a value of 1 cm.

One unit of enzyme activity was defined as the amount of activity required to release 1 μmol $p$-Np per minute under the above condition. For the enzyme assays, pellet extracts were assayed with $p$-NP esters $C_2$ (acetate), $C_3$ (propionate), $C_8$ (octanoate), $C_{10}$ (decanoate), $C_{12}$ (laurate) and $C_{14}$ (myristate).

### 2.14.1 Substrate Specificity

Substrates specificity of the purified RHgene34 protein was performed using $p$-NP esterified with fatty acid of different carbon chain lengths. The release of $p$-NP was measured by determining the absorbance at 405 nm. The assay was incubated at 25 °C.

### 2.14.2 Determining the Effect of pH on Enzyme Activity

To determine the optimum pH of the pure enzyme, the following buffers were used in the reaction: 50 mM Glycine-HCl (pH 1.0 - 3.0), 50 mM sodium acetate (pH 3.0 - 5.0), 50 mM MES (pH 5.0 - 7.0), 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0 - 9.0) and 50 mM CAPS (pH 9.0 - 11.0). $C_{10}$ was used as the substrate.

### 2.14.3 Determining the Effect of Temperature on Enzyme Activity

The optimal temperature of the purified enzyme was determined for a temperature range of 15 to 65 °C in which $C_{10}$ was used as the substrate. Thermostability of the enzyme was determined at 35, 45 and 55 °C. Enzyme samples were incubated for 5, 15, 30, 60 min at each temperature and the residual activities were determined using the standard $p$-Np assay at 25 °C.
2.14.4 Determining Catalytic Efficiency

Enzyme kinetics was determined using p-Np esters at various substrate concentrations ranging from 0 – 2.5 or 3.0 mM). The standard enzyme assay in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) incubated at 25 °C for 1 min was performed. The data was analysed using the Michaelis-Menten plot (Menten and Michaelis, 1913) to determine $K_m$ and $V_{max}$ values. The Hill plot (Hill, 1910) was used to determine $K_{0.5}$ and Hill coefficient ($h$).

2.15 Fast Performance Liquid Chromatography (FPLC) Determination of Quaternary Structure

The His-tag purified protein was dialysed overnight at 4 ºC against 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 1 ml was used for analysis. Albumin (66 kDa), Cytochrome C (29 kDa) and Carbonic anhydrase (12.4 kDa) were used as standards where 0.2 mg was dissolved in 1 ml of the dialysis buffer.

Size exclusion chromatography was performed on the ÄKTA FPLC purifier system (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech Inc., USA) Sephadex™ 75 HR 10/300 resin column with volume of 24 ml. The separation of the proteins was achieved using 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 1 M NaCl as mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.4 ml/min; column pressure limit was at 1.8 mPa. Detection of protein peaks was performed using UV detector. The Unicorn ver. 4.0 software (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech Inc., USA) was used to analyse the data. Selected fractions (1 ml each) were assayed to verify activity of peak.

2.16 High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) Analysis

To evaluate the capacity of RHgene34 gene product to hydrolyze the ester linkages of methyl p-coumarate, methyl caffeate, methyl ferulate and methyl sinapate, the HPLC-based detection system was utilised. Reaction mixtures (1 ml) were prepared with each methyl substrate (50 mM) in phosphate buffer (100 mM sodium phosphate, 100 mM NaCl; pH 7.5), 1 % Triton X-100 and reactions were initiated by the addition of RHgene34 protein (325 mg). The reactions were incubated at 25°C
for 30 min and stopped by incubation for 5 min at 70°C. The reactions were analysed on the Ultimate 3000 HPLC. Methyl substrates were run as controls.

To detect the acid products present after lipolytic hydrolysis, the sample was injected into a reverse phase (C18) column and eluted using 30 % methanol, 1 % acetic acid as a mobile phase, at 48 °C, flow rate of 0.8 ml/min and a pressure of 91.7 bar limited by 120 bar. Samples were injected into a 20 μl super loop. The detection of eluted acids was performed with an ultraviolet detector at 220 nm. Results were analysed with Chromeleon (c) Dionex ver. 6.80 software.
CHAPTER 3
Screening and Sequence Analysis
Results and Discussion

3.1 Metagenomic Fosmid Library Verification

A high molecular weight DNA library was constructed using the fosmid CopyControl pCC1FOS vector in the EPI-300 *Escherichia coli* strain (Hu, X.P., 2010). The metagenomic library was functionally screened for hydrolysing activity on tributyrin indicator plates. The plates were randomly monitored during a two day incubation period at 37 °C for the presence of zones of clearing around the colonies (Figure 3.1). Two clones, Try 11 and Try 12, formed halos on the indicator plates.

![Figure 3.1](image)

*Figure 3.1*: Screening a metagenomic library for tributyrin degrading enzymes (A). The two clones identified were Try 11 and Try 12, shown here depicting zones of clearing, after 2 days of 37 °C incubation (B).
To distinguish between general lipolytic and ferulic acid esterase activity, the clones were streaked on LB plates containing ethyl 4-hydroxy-3-methoxycinnamate (ethyl ferulate), a substrate specifically degraded by ferulic acid esterase. Both clones (Try 11 and Try 12) had the ability to degrade ethyl ferulate (Figure 3.2, results for Try 12 are not shown).

Figure 3.2: Try 11 clone exhibiting ferulic acid esterase activity on ethyl ferulate agar after 2 days incubation at 37 °C.

Fosmid extractions were performed on the clones. Restriction endonuclease digestions were used to assess the insert size of the clones, using EcoRI and HindIII (Figure 3.3).
Figure 3.3: Restriction enzyme digestion profiles of clones Try 11 and Try 12, using EcoRI and HindIII. Lanes 2 and 3: Try 11 and Try 12, respectively. The 8.2 kb fosmid vector backbone is indicated by a red arrow. Lambda DNA digested with the PstI restriction enzyme was used as a molecular weight marker (Lane 1).

The insert size of the halo-forming recombinant fosmid clones was 30.4 kb for both Try 11 and Try 12. The identical restriction profile indicated that these two clones contained exactly the same insert and were duplicate clones. For the purpose of this study, the lipolytic clone Try 11 was chosen for further analysis.

Clone verification by restriction enzyme digestion is a relatively crude method that is dependent on adequate separation of DNA fragments through low percentage agarose gels. Efficient estimates of insert size were obtained by this method and in
the absence of pulse-field apparatus, gel electrophoresis carried out at low voltage for longer time periods proved to be successful for separation of high molecular weight DNA fragments.

3.2 End-Sequencing

End-sequencing of Try 11 showed that the insert cloned into the vector was prokaryotic in origin (Table 3.1). The bacterial classes represented in these sequences are the γ-Proteobacteria (Burkholderia cenocepacia and Tolumonas auensis). Burkholderia cenocepacia are rod-shaped, free-living, motile Gram negative bacteria which are mostly found in soil, water and diseased plants (Miller et al., 2002). Tolumonas auensis inhabits anoxic sediments of freshwater lakes and has optimum temperature and pH for growth at 22 °C and pH 7.2, respectively (Fischer-Romero et al., 1996). Both organisms are associated with soil and water but neither suggest a thermophilic nature. Because the hot spring is an open environment, it is conceivable that it may contain mesophilic contaminants. In addition, one must bear in mind that since this is only the end-sequence of the insert, it is possible that the other genes cloned into fosmid (Try 11) might be of a thermophilic nature.
Table 3.1: Nucleotide end-sequences of fosmid clone Try 11. The nucleotide identity of the closest match is indicated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone Name</th>
<th>Nucleotide end-sequence</th>
<th>Identity (%)</th>
<th>e-Value</th>
<th>ID of nearest match (Accession number)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Try 11 Rev</td>
<td>ATGTCACCTGGATCGTCGTCAACACTCTCGTTCAACCCCCGGGCTACTACGACACCGCTCACTACGACACCTTACAAGGGCGAGTCAGGATGGTTGGGCCTACGACAGGAACCGCGATGCGGGCCTGACGCAGTGCCCAGTGCGCCGGCTATCCGACCTGCCGCCAGTGGTGGAGCGATGGCGGCAATGGCCTGCGTGCGCGGCTACTCGCCGAAGTCGACCCCACCCTGATGAATCGGCTGGCCGGCTGGGCGGGTTCCTGAGTCGCGCCCAGGTTGATGACTCGGTGATCCGTGCGATCGCCTCGCCCGCCAGCAGAAGCTCAACCAGGGCGCGGTCTACTCCGACTACGGCGGTCAGATCGACAGACGCTGCCGAACATCGTCACCCGCGCTGCCGGCGACGTGGGTCTGGCGGTGGGATCGCTGGGCTTCTTCCCGGCCATGGATGTGGTGCGGCAGGCGCTCCCGATGGTGCTGTCACTGCAGAATGGCGCTGGTCATCTGCATCCCGCTGGTGCTTGTGGTCGGTACTTACGACCTGAAGACGGTGGTGACGGTCAGCGTGGTGCAGTTCTCGCTGTTCTTTGTGGACTTCTGGTTCCAGCTCGCCCGCTGGATCGACAGCACCATCCTCGACGCACTCTACGGCTGGGGGTGGGGCTGGAACCGGCCGCACTCGAACTTCGATCCGGTGATGGGGCTGAACAATGCCTTCGGCGACATGGTTGCTGAACTTCGTCATGGCGACGATGTTCTTGATTCTTCCTGCTTTCTGGGTTACTCGCTTGCGTGGACA</td>
<td>651/781</td>
<td>83 %</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Try 11 T7-promoter</td>
<td>ATCGGATTGTTGCTGGGCCAGGAGTGGAGCCGTGACGAGCATTGAGCCTAAGTCTCAACTTGGAGCTCATGAGTGGCGATGCCCGCATCCTGCGAGACGAAGCCAGAGCCCTGCTGGCAAGGGCATCAACCCTCACACCGATCGCAAGCAGAAGCGGCACGCTATCCAGCTTGCGGCGAGCACACCTTCAAGGCGGTCTTCGATGCCTGGGTGGAGCATCGCCGCAAGGAGCTCAAAGGAAGGTCGCCAAAGCACGCTCTCGCAGATCCTGCGGATATTTGACCGTGATGTGCTGCCAGCCTGGGCAAGATGTCGATTTTCGACATCCGCCGCCCCCAACTCTTGGGCGTGCTGGCAAAAGATCGAAGAACGAAAGGCCTTCACCACCGCGAAAAGGTTCGCACCTGGTTCCGGCAATGTTCCGCTACGCCCTGGTAATCGCCGAGGGGATGGAAGTCAATCCGGCTTCGGATCTTGATGTCGTCGCGGCCCCCAAGCCGCCGACTGCTCACAACCCCTATTTGCGCCTGCATGAAATGCCCGACATGCTTCTCAAGCTGCGCGAATACCGTCGGCGGGGGCTGCAGACGCAGCTCGGCATCCGCCTGCTGTTCCTCACCGGCGTACGCACT</td>
<td>674/833</td>
<td>80 %</td>
<td>6e-175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Transposon Mutagenesis

In order to identify the gene conferring lipolytic activity, transposon mutagenesis was used. This is a powerful tool for creating knock-out mutants. The fosmid of lipolytic clone Try 11 was extracted and randomly mutated using the GPS® – Mutagenesis system. Unfortunately, knock-out mutants were not easily distinguished from non-mutated fosmids. Therefore, the fosmid was sequenced by pyrosequencing instead.

3.4 Sequence Analysis

Several bioinformatic tools were used to predict basic structural information based on raw sequence data. Using more than one bioinformatic tool is advantageous as it allows more accurate predictions and comparisons. The complete sequence of Try 11 fosmid insert was assembled from 6 contig sequences using CLC Genomics WorkBench 3. Alignment of the end sequences to the assembled contigs confirmed that the cloned insert was completely assembled (Table 3.1). The full sequence (34929 bp) was obtained, containing an average mol % GC content of 55 % and corresponded well to the size determined by restriction enzyme analysis (Figure 3.3). The ORFs within the insert were predicted using SoftBerry. A total of 40 ORFs, designated RHgene1 to RHgene40, were predicted on the Try 11 fosmid insert (Table 3.2, Figure 3.2). The majority of these ORFs displayed high sequence similarity (>90 %) to sequences deposited in GenBank. A previously uncharacterised α/β hydrolase fold protein (RHgene34) was identified. RHgene34 had the highest sequence similarity (41 %) to proteins of α/β hydrolase fold-3 of Burkholderia sp. H160 (Table 3.2), a thermophilic organism. Considering that the library was constructed from a Malawian hot spring soil sample (temperature 72-78°C), the occurrence of thermophilic species is expected and the similarity to proteins of their thermophilic homologues is not surprising. However, 38 % of proteins from the fosmid clone had high similarity to proteins from Enterobacter, a mesophilic organism. This may be due to the fact that Malawian hot spring is an open environment and remnant DNA may have been sampled, including DNA from dead mesophiles, or that currently, that is it the closest DNA match in the database.
Table 3.2: Predicted ORFs in fosmid Try 11.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genes RHgene</th>
<th>O*</th>
<th>Left end</th>
<th>Right end</th>
<th>Gene length (bp)</th>
<th>AA*</th>
<th>Closest protein match from GenBank (Ids)</th>
<th>Accession number</th>
<th>Organism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>883</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>Integrase family protein (499/643, 78%)</td>
<td>YP_001414722.1</td>
<td>Parvibaculum lavamentivorans DS-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2125</td>
<td>4359</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein Ajs_1568 (252/728, 35%)</td>
<td>YP_985837.1</td>
<td>Acidovorax sp. JS42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4636</td>
<td>5082</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (23/69, 33%)</td>
<td>XP_001368753.1</td>
<td>Monodelphis domestica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5183</td>
<td>5695</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein Acid345_3844 (54/176, 31%)</td>
<td>YP_592918.1</td>
<td>Candidatus Koribacter versatilis Ellin345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6194</td>
<td>9349</td>
<td>1573</td>
<td>1051</td>
<td>Peptidase families S8 and S53 domain protein (123/448, 27%)</td>
<td>YP_003572398.1</td>
<td>Salinibacter ruber M8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9608</td>
<td>9700</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein AURANDRAFT_60578 (15/28 (54 %)</td>
<td>EGB12620.1</td>
<td>Aureococcus anophagefferens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>9845</td>
<td>10021</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9939</td>
<td>10166</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein mlr4028 (19/44, 43%)</td>
<td>NP_104997.1</td>
<td>Mesorhizobium loti MAFF303099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10215</td>
<td>10442</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Siderophore-interacting protein (25/64, 39 %)</td>
<td>ZP_07991351.1</td>
<td>Corynebacterium variabile DSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10589</td>
<td>11374</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>Short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase SDR (197/260, 76 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YP_003258958.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pectobacterium wasabiae WPP163</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11471</td>
<td>12184</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>LysR family transcriptional regulator (125/231, 54 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YP_584546.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cupriavidus metallidurans CH34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12611</td>
<td>14071</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>Putative outer membrane efflux lipoprotein (382/498, 77 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ZP_03825891.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pectobacterium carotovorum subsp. brasiliensis PBR1692</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>14160</td>
<td>14774</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>Regulatory protein, TetR (156/204, 76 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EGH45757.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi str. 1704B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>15067</td>
<td>15963</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>Secretion protein HlyD (234/298, 79 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YP_348215.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pseudomonas fluorescens P0-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>15960</td>
<td>17066</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein SOD_c03250 (319/357, 89 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ZP_06190974.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Serratia odorifera 4Rx13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17026</td>
<td>17463</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>PiIT domain-containing protein (25/77, 32 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YP_920790.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thermofilum pendens Hrk 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17648</td>
<td>17926</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein Bphyt_5853 (45/89, 51 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YP_001889560.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Burkholderia phytofirmans PsJN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>18089</td>
<td>18721</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>Transcriptional regulator protein (89/206, 43 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YP_002541214.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agrobacterium radiobacter K84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>18721</td>
<td>18918</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18851</td>
<td>19963</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>Oxidoreductase (154/366, 42 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YP_003766767.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amycolatopsis mediterranei</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19967</td>
<td>20542</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>Acyl carrier protein phosphodiesterase (91/183, 50 %) YP_001603996.1 Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus PAI 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>20852</td>
<td>21091</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>Putative transcriptional regulator (53/79, 67 %) YP_559233.1 Burkholderia xenovorans LB400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>21236</td>
<td>21439</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>21445</td>
<td>21669</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>21700</td>
<td>22098</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>Transposase IS3/IS911 family protein (58/121, 48 %) YP_001898744.1 Ralstonia pickettii 12J</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>22134</td>
<td>22421</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Transposase (66/97, 68 %) ZP_06686116.1 Achromobacter piechaudii ATCC 43553</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>22490</td>
<td>24070</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>Transposase IS66 (339/521, 65 %) YP_002947819.1 Variovorax paradoxus S110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24087</td>
<td>25007</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>Transporter membrane protein (241/297, 81 %) YP_004353562.1 Pseudomonas brassicacearum subsp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>25134</td>
<td>25448</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein MldDRAFT_3100 (21/59, 36 %) ZP_01291063.1 delta proteobacterium MLMS-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>25445</td>
<td>26008</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>Transcriptional regulator, TetR family (80/181, 44 %) ZP_07031205.1 Acidobacterium sp. MP5ACTX8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>26141</td>
<td>26941</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>Short-chain dehydrogenase (169/263, 64 %) YP_841302.1 Ralstonia eutropha H16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O*</td>
<td>AA*</td>
<td>Start</td>
<td>Stop</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Accession</td>
<td>Species/Strain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>27021</td>
<td>27956</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>Short chain dehydrogenase (124/250, 50 %)</td>
<td>ZP_02355639.1</td>
<td>Burkholderia oklahomensis EO147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27913</td>
<td>28017</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>28011</td>
<td>28964</td>
<td>951</td>
<td>Alpha/beta hydrolase fold-3 domain protein (128/312, 41 %)</td>
<td>ZP_03268234.1</td>
<td>Burkholderia sp. H160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>29102</td>
<td>30241</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>Major facilitator superfamily MFS_1 (206/372, 55 %)</td>
<td>ZP_03544743.1</td>
<td>Comamonas testosteroni KF-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30338</td>
<td>32197</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>Relaxase (464/625, 74 %)</td>
<td>ZP_06845718.1</td>
<td>Burkholderia sp. Ch1-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32394</td>
<td>32594</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32646</td>
<td>33404</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein XCC3118 (192/252, 76 %)</td>
<td>NP_638465.1</td>
<td>Xanthomonas campestris pv. campestris str. ATCC 33913</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>33634</td>
<td>34014</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein Tmz1t_0940 (66/129, 51 %)</td>
<td>YP_002354602.1</td>
<td>Thauera sp. MZ1T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34026</td>
<td>34928</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein XCC3117 (242/300, 81 %)</td>
<td>NP_638464.1</td>
<td>Xanthomonas campestris pv. campestris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

O* - Orientation  
AA* - Amino acid
Figure 3.4: Arrangement of open reading frames identified in insert of Try 11. Arrows indicate the location and orientation of predicted open reading frames. Details of putative protein function and GenBank accession numbers are given in Table 4.2.
The identified lipolytic ORF RHgene34 encoded a protein of 317 amino acids and putative promoter regions (-10 and -35) and ribosomal binding site (RBS) are indicated (Figure 3.5).

```
GCAGTGGACTTTAAACAATTTGATCCCAGACGGCTATTGGTCCAATGATCGAGATCATAGAGAGCAAGGGGG
GCAACTTTAGAATGTTGTCGGAGCTCCTTGTGCGGTCTACCAAAAGCTCAAGCAAAAGTTGGACCT
GAAGGTAGAACCCTATTACAGCAGAAATCGAAATATCGTGCGTGAAGACTCTTGAGGTAGTGAG

1 ATGCCATATATTTCCACGAAGCAAAATAATCTCGCTCTCATGAGTGAAAGCGCCGCG
1 M Y I S T E A K K I L A L M S E S G A

61 CCAGAATTTCGAGCTCAGGGCTATCGGTCTCCGGCAGTCTATGCGAGGCTTGGCCTA
21 PEFGAPPLSVARQVYAGLS

121 AAGTGGGCGGGAGGTATCGAGATGGCTGTCCTGCAGTGGCTGGGCCG
41 K LG E V I E M A S V E D L S M A G P

181 GTATGTACTTTGCCCCATGCCCTATCAGCCCCCCTTTGAAAATCTCTGGTCAAATTGGAGCT
61 GSTLPMRIYRPLENSQNGA

241 TTAATTATTTTTCATCGTGCGGTGAGTTCTGGGGGGCAGATAGACTCATGACAGACTC
81 L Y F H G G W I L G G I E T H D R L

301 TGTCGACACAGGCTTGGCGCGATCGGTGAGGTCTGTCCTGCCAGTTCAGGCTTGGCG
101 CRQIAMRSSCVVISGYRAL

361 CCTGACCCAGCTCAGGCCAGCAGTGCAGTGCAGTGCAGTGCAGTGCAGTGCAGTGCAGT
121 PEHPLPAADDAAIAAVRWWVV

421 GACCAAGCTGATTTCCTGCGAATCTCGGGCGCCCTAGCCGTGGCGGAGTGCAGTGCAGTGCAGT
141 DKADFLRISGALAVGGDSSAG

481 GGAAGGGTTGGCTGATGTCTCGCGCTCGTCGCTCGTGACGAAATTTGCCCCGCTCCGCCGCT
```
Figure 3.5: The nucleotide sequence of the RHgene34 lipolytic gene from the metagenomic library. The predicted amino acid sequence of RHgene34 is given above the nucleotide sequence in the standard one-letter code. The putative promoter regions (-35 and -10 regions) and the ribosomal bind site (RBS) is highlighted in boldface and underlined. RHgene34 is 951 nucleotides in length with an ATG start codon. The asterisk denotes the stop codon (TAG) and the protein encoded a polypeptide with a molecular mass of 34 kDa.
The 951 bp sequence encoded a 317 amino acid polypeptide on the positive strand of the sequence. Multiple sequence alignment of RHgene34 protein to hits generated from UNIPROT BLAST identified the conserved motifs, including the putative pentapeptide active site GxSxG (Figure 3.6). Thus, the catalytic activity of RHgene34 likely involves the catalytic triad consisting of the catalytic nucleophile serine (Ser157), in the centre of GxSxG active site, the aspartate (Asp255) and the highly conserved histidine (His285). In addition, RHgene34 contains a strictly conserved family IV characteristic HGGG motif, which is located 95 amino acids upstream of the active site. It has been reported by Laurell et al. (2000), that hormone-sensitive lipase (HSL) family IV lipolytic enzymes have the HGGG motif which is usually located 70 to 100 amino acids from the active site and is involved in hydrogen bonding interactions for stabilisation which may contribute to the formation of the oxyanion hole that is likely to act in the hydrolysis process.
Figure 3.6: Multiple sequence alignment of the RHgene34 protein sequence with close relatives identified from UNIPROT BLAST. Boxes indicate sequence similarity with a threshold value of 90%. The putative catalytic triad residues composed of Ser157 (S), Asp255 (D) and His285 (H) are indicated with shaded triangles. The family IV most conserved motif, HGGG, is indicated by a shaded circle. The GxSxG lipolytic motif
(PROSITE Accession No. PS00120) is indicated with a shaded star. Accession numbers denote the following; D2UAR1: Putative esterase/lipase/thioesterase family protein [Xanthomonas albilineans], B5WN60: α/β Hydrolase fold-3 [Burkholderia sp. H160], F0BFQ2: Esterase/lipase [Xanthomonas vesicatoria], B8Y564: Lipolytic enzyme [Uncultured bacterium], E6VMR1: Putative lipase/esterase [Rhodopseudomonas palustris DX-1]. Percent identity of RHgene34 to the protein sequences is indicated in the Table. The sequences were aligned using ClustalW (Thompson et al., 1994).

The SignalP server was used to identify whether RHgene34 had an N-terminal signal sequence in the predicted gene. From the prediction, the RHgene34 gene product is a non-secretory protein (Figure 3.7) with a signal peptide probability of 0.001. This indicated the absence of an N-terminal leader peptide.

The rare codons predicted by the Rare Codon Caltor are based on the codon usage observed in the E. coli genome. Codon use differs among microorganisms and even among genes within a single genome (Grocock et al., 2002). The Rare Codon Caltor predicted a total of 35 rare codons (11 %) in the sequence, with the majority (8 %) being arginine (CGA, CGG, AGG and AGA) and glycine (GGA and GGG) (Table 3.3). Further analysis would be required in order to assess and compare the codon bias observed in the lipolytic gene RHgene34 to other microorganisms. The high frequency of rare codons in RHgene34 bears relevance to the expression work which will be discussed in chapter 4 section1.
Figure 3.7: Prediction of N-terminal signal peptide cleavage site in polypeptide RHgene34.

Table 3.3: Rare codons and their frequency in the nucleotide sequence obtained for RHgene34 predicted by rare codon calculator for expression in E. coli.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino Acid</th>
<th>Rare Codon</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arginine</td>
<td>CGA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CGG</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AGG</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AGA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine</td>
<td>GGA</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGC</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoleucine</td>
<td>AUA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucine</td>
<td>CUA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proline</td>
<td>CCG</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threonine</td>
<td>ACC</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.5 Phylogenetic Analysis

To further clarify the phylogenetic relationship of RHgene34 with other lipolytic enzymes, a neighbour-joining phylogenetic tree was constructed using the amino acid sequence of 31 lipolytic enzymes, representing 9 different families (Figure 3.8) (Lee et al., (2006) and Arpigny and Jaeger (1999)). Phylogenetic analysis suggests that RHgene34 forms part of a distinct group of family IV hormone-sensitive lipases. This protein is closely related to a thermophilic carboxylesterase of the strain *Archaeoglobus fulgibus* (accession number AAB89533), carboxylesterase (accession number AY726780) from an uncultured archeon, esterase (accession number EU195805) from uncultured bacterium, and a putative esterase/lipase (accession number AM050333) from an unidentified microorganism, all of which cluster in family IV lipases. These results suggest that the RHgene34 is a new member of the family IV lipases.
Figure 3.8: Phylogenetic analysis of RHgene34 and 31 selected lipolytic enzymes, representing 9 different families. Lipolytic families were defined by Lee et al., (2006) and Arpigny and Jaeger (1999). The phylogenetic tree was constructed using CLC Genomics Workbench 3.0 software with the neighbour-joining method. The numbers at nodes indicate the bootstrap percentage of 1000 resamples. RHgene34, denoted by shaded circle, is shown to belong to family IV lipolytic enzymes.
3.6 Homology Modelling

The ultimate goal of protein modelling is to predict a structure from its sequence with accuracy that is comparable to the best results achieved experimentally. Three dimensional protein structures give some information on molecular organization and function within a protein. When there is no experimentally-determined crystal structure, homology modelling can provide a rational opportunity to obtain a good 3D model to make some predictions on the enzyme’s activity (Messaoudi et al., 2011; Krieger et al., 2003). Homology modelling involves taking a protein sequence with a known structure and mapping it against an unknown structure, in this case RHgene34. It is expected that the proteins would have similar structures as they are of similar origin and function. So, the known structure is used as a template to model the structure of the unknown (Krieger et al., 2003; Hilbert et al., 1993).

PSIPRED is used to predict secondary structure based on the neuronal networks of protein. Figure 3.9 shows the predicted secondary structure of RHgene34. It was found that the core of the enzyme had a definite order of 8 β-sheets connected by α-helices, which relates well to most lipolytic enzymes in literature (Carr and Ollis, 2009; Ollis et al., 1992). This structure corresponded with the secondary structure topology predicted by PDBsum, which is folded into a characteristic α/β hydrolase fold (Figure 3.10). This fold consists of β-sheets, with the second β-sheet antiparallel to the others, and strands β3 and β8 connected to helices packed on either side of the central parallel β-sheet (Jaeger et al., 1999).
Figure 3.9: Secondary structure for the amino acid sequence obtained for RHgene34. Figure generated by PSIPRED (McGuffin et al., 2000; Jones, 1999).
Figure 3.10: Secondary structure topology for the amino acid sequence obtained for RHgene34 using PDBsum (Morris et al., 1992). The elements of the secondary structure shown correspond to the canonical α/β hydrolase fold.
The RHgene34 model (Figure 3.11) does not indicate the presence of a lid structure. Lid structures are usually found at the C-terminal of the polypeptide chain and consist of a single or double helix structure which covers the active site. However, RHgene34 does have an N-terminal loop structure which might be involved in catalysis or used for dimer formations. It is known that esterases (and a few lipases) do not display a lid structure, such as the lipase from *Candida antarctica* B (Uppenberg *et al.*, 1994). RHgene34 could be one of those lipases without a lid structure.
Figure 3.12: RHgene34 homology model built by the 3D JIGSAW model server. The polypeptide spans across the colour spectrum from blue (N-terminal) to red (C-terminal).

The 3D JIGSAW model server is not a reliable model builder as it builds a model according to physiochemical properties of the amino acids, without taking into account the already available models of close identity (Figure 3.12). However, it is still comparable to the Swiss Model because it takes into account other proteins of close identity and the characteristic α/β hydrolase fold is clearly visible. With the 3D JIGSAW the α/β hydrolase fold structure is partly constructed.

The growing knowledge of protein 3D-structures has prompted an attempt to classify proteins according to their fold. Hydrolases are found in the α/β fold group, also called the α/β hydrolase fold. All lipases, as well as the majority of the esterases, share this fold (Fojan, et al., 2000). Esterases and lipases generally display broad substrate specificity. Thus they cannot be classified solely by their function (Fojan, et al., 2000).

Most bioinformatic models built by homology modelling will have errors, for example side chains may be misplaced or even whole loops (Hooft et al., 1996); for this reason it is important to verify the quality of a model by using programs such as RAMPAGE. This program was used to visualize and assess the Ramachandran plots of the predicted protein structures of RHgene34. Ramachandran plots display
the \textit{psi} and \textit{phi} conformation angles for each residue in a protein in order to validate the predicted structure (Lovell \textit{et al}., 2003). For a model to be accurate, 98\% of residues are expected in the favoured region, which are the areas in the plot that show the preferred regions of \textit{psi}/\textit{phi} angle pairs for residues in a protein. The allowed region should have 2\% of residues and a few will be in the disallowed region (Kleywegt and Jones, 1996). The model built by the Swiss model server contained 76.1\% residues in the favoured region, 18.5\% residues in the allowed region and 1.7\% residues in the disallowed region (Figure 3.13). This indicates that the Swiss model was not reliable as a high number of pairs were not in the favoured regions of the plot. This may be due to the fact that RHgene34 protein may be novel and was modelled against 3ainD and 2wirA, which are templates of low identity (35.9\% and 33.7\%, respectively).
Legend:

A - Core alpha  
a - Allowed alpha  
~a - Generous alpha  
L - Core left-handed alpha  
l - Allowed left-handed alpha  
~l - Generous left-handed alpha  
B - Core beta  
b - Allowed beta  
~b - Generous beta  
p - Allowed epsilon  
~p - Generous epsilon

Figure 3.13: Ramachandran plot for model of RHgene34 built by the Swiss model server, showing number of residues in favoured, allowed and disallowed region.
4.1 Cloning of the Lipolytic Gene RHgene34

A Malawian hot spring sediment metagenomic library was constructed where Try 11, a high molecular weight fosmid, was identified having lipolytic activity. RHgene34 was isolated from Try 11 and analysed using various bioinformatic tools. DNAMAN was used to predict restriction enzyme recognition sites occurring in the RHgene34 DNA sequence. It was established that Ndel and HindIII did not cut within the gene sequence and a primer pair [RhuF2 and LaniR2] (Table 2.2) was designed with these sites introduced at the ends of each primer. To obtain the full length gene (951 bp), gradient PCR was performed. Successful cloning was confirmed in three ways: (i) by restriction digestion using Ndel and HindIII (Figure 4.1) and (ii) by sequencing using the T7 promoter and terminator primers, and (iii) by PCR amplification (Figure 4.3). To investigate the property of RHgene34 protein, RHgene34 was expressed as an C-terminal His-tag fusion protein using pET-21a(+) expression system in Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3). As discussed in section 3.4, this was unsuccessful and was attributed to the utilisation of high percentage of rare codons. Instead, E. coli Rosetta was used which have high rare codon usage when compared to BL21 strain. Additionally, the clone designated RH-pET conferred a lipolytic phenotype on the host when transformed.
Figure 4.1: Restriction enzyme digestion of clone RH-pET. Lane 1: DNA molecular marker, lambda-*Pst*I digested DNA. Lane 2: *Nde*I and *Hind*III digested recombinant RH-pET plasmid construct. Lane 3: Uncut recombinant RH-pET plasmid.

Figure 4.2: RH-pET clone harbouring RHgene34 gene with lipolytic activity (A) and control 7-6G clone with no lipolytic activity (B) on tributyrin agar indicator plates.
The tributyrin plate screen relies on the zone of clearing around the lipolytic bacterial colonies. However, tributyrin is not considered to be a true lipid as it can be cleaved by other esterases and disperses easily in water (Samad et al., 1989). For this reason, ethyl ferulate and olive oil-Rhodamine B agar plates were further employed to help distinguish RHgene34. In addition, to confirm that RHgene34 was responsible for the ferulic acid esterase activity conferred by Try 11 fosmid, RH-pET was tested on ethyl ferulate (Figure 4.4). Furthermore, RH-pET was screened on olive oil-Rhodamine B. The orange zone at the centre of the plate indicates the degradation of olive oil within the media (Figure 4.5). The results suggest that RHgene34 is not a true esterase as it showed fluorescence on olive oil-Rhodamine B plates. This enzyme could be a lipase as lipases can degrade tributyrin, ethyl ferulate and olive oil-Rhodamine B (Jaeger et al., 1999).

Figure 4.3: PCR amplification of RHgene34 using gene specific primers (Table 2.2) for confirmation of cloning into the pET vectors. Lane 1: DNA molecular marker Lambda PstI digested DNA; Lane 2: PCR amplified gene of RHgene34; Lane 3 and 4: negative control.
Figure 4.4: RH-pET clone displaying FA activity on ethyl ferulate plates (B). The control (*E. coli* Rosetta pET21a) with no lipolytic activity (A).

Figure 4.5: RH-pET clone displaying lipase activity (A) and control 7-6G clone (B) on olive oil-Rhodamine B lipase agar plates viewed under UV light.
4.2 Expression of RHgene34

Cell extracts of *E. coli* Rosetta(DE3)pLysS RH-pET were analysed on polyacrylamide gels and Coomassie brilliant blue staining in order to determine the expression of RHgene34 gene product and to monitor the degree of purity (Figure 4.6). A protein band migrating at ~34 kDa was present in cells which had been induced with IPTG (Figure 4.6: lanes 4 and 6). The calculated molecular mass of the gene product of RHgene34 is 34 kDa (including the His-Tag). Based on the information of SignalP (chapter 3, section 4), it was assumed that the expression of the RHgene34 gene in *E. coli* would not require co-expression with any flanking genes. This was proved to be the case as RHgene34-encoding gene was successfully expressed in *E. coli* Rosetta without the requirement of flanking sequences or genes. Expression was scaled up to larger volumes. Analysis by SDS-PAGE of the cytoplasmic fraction of RH-pET Rosetta, bound and eluted from His-bind resin, showed no additional protein band (Figure 4.7).

![SDS-PAGE analysis of cell extracts of RH-pET in E. coli Rosetta(DE3)pLysS. The protein band corresponding to a size of 34kDa is indicated. Lane 1: protein molecular weight marker (#SM0671 Fermentas); Lane 2 and 6: IPTG induced total protein extract; Lanes 3 and 7: soluble fraction after overnight induction at 25 ºC and 30 ºC with IPTG, respectively; lane 4 and 8: uninduced total protein extract soluble; lane 5 and 9: soluble fraction of RHgene34 after overnight induction at 25 ºC and 30 ºC with IPTG.](image-url)
Cell extracts of *E. coli* Rosetta(DE3)pLysS RH-pET were prepared by enzymatic methods or sonication and subjected to His-Tag affinity chromatography. The eluted fraction showed a protein band of approximately 34 kDa (Figure 4.7, lane 6). This correlated well with the predicted full length of RHgene34. The purity of the purified protein was more than 99% according to SDS-PAGE analysis.

![Figure 4.7](image)

**Figure 4.7**: SDS-PAGE analysis of His-Tag purification of *E. coli* Rosetta(DE3)pLysS RH-pET. Lanes 1: protein molecular weight marker #S8445 (Sigma), lane 2: Total cell extract of RHgene34; Lane 3: Flow through elute; Lane 4: Elute from binding buffer; Lane 5: Elute from washing buffer; Lane 6: Eluted RHgene34 protein (MW 34 kDa). Lane 7: Elute from strip buffer.

To evaluate the quaternary structure for the RHgene34 protein, the apparent molecular mass was determined by size exclusion chromatography. The molecular weight (MW) of RHgene34 was calculated from the retention time of the peak absorbance by comparison with calibration standards having known molecular weights. The apparent molecular mass for the RHgene34 protein was estimated by extrapolation of the standard curve, using the straight line equation \( y = -8.725 x + 194.7 \). Based on the calculation, the apparent molecular mass for RHgene34 protein is 66.08 kDa (RT: 15.39 min), as determined by SDS-PAGE the actual molecular
mass is 34 kDa. When the apparent mass is divided by actual mass we get a value of 1.8, this indicates that RHgene34 protein exists as a loose dimer, or a very tight monomer.

**Figure 4.8**: FPLC standard curve of the different retention times of albumin, cytochrome C and carbonic anhydrase. *E. coli* Rosetta(DE3)pLysS RH-pET was determined using the straight line equation determined by the line of best-fit.

### 4.3 Enzymatic Characterisation of the RHgene34 Gene Product

The substrate specificities of the RHgene34 gene product were examined with various *p*-Np ester substrates: C₂ (acetate), C₃ (propionate), C₈ (octanoate), C₁₀ (decanoate), C₁₂ (laurate) and C₁₄ (myristate). RHgene34 was able to hydrolyse all esters with the highest activity towards long-chain fatty acids, and the C₁₀ being the most favoured substrate (Figure 4.9). Activity on both short and long chain *p*-nitrophenyl ester substrates indicated a lipase-like activity for RHgene34. A similar substrate specificity profile was observed for a novel lipase isolated from mangrove sediment from the south Brazilian coast (Couto *et al.,* 2010).
Figure 4.9: Substrate specificity of the purified RHgene34 enzyme toward $p$-nitrophenyl esters of varying chain lengths. Relative activity was shown as the percentage of the activity towards $p$-Np decanoate ($C_{10}$).

Qualitative analyses of the methyl ester substrates were performed. RHgene34 was found to be active on methyl $p$-coumarate, methyl caffeate and methyl ferulate however was less specific on methyl sinapate (Figure 4.10). This was indicative of a type B esterase (Wong, 2006).
Figure 4.10: Reverse phase chromatography of reactions performed with purified RHgene34 on methyl p-coumate (A), methyl caffeate (B), methyl ferulate (C) and methyl sinapate (D). The red arrows indicate the product formed after hydrolysis and the green arrow, the substrate remaining.

Activity of the RHgene34 protein was tested over a pH range of 1.0 to 11.0, using C₁₀ as a substrate (Figure 4.11). This enzyme showed activity in a broad pH range of 7.0 to 11.0. The RHgene34 gene product appears to be an alcaliphilic enzyme with an optimum activity at pH 9.0, since activity was observed from pH 7.0 and above and there was almost no hydrolysis of the substrate under extreme acidic conditions.
Parameters such as temperature and pH of an enzyme are important to determine as they will determine the industrial viability of an enzyme. At temperatures higher than the optimal defined for a given enzyme, the protein will become denatured thereby rendering the fermentation process inefficient (Petrov et al., 2007). Fermentations conducted under thermophilic conditions (45 °C to 80 °C) are highly desirable as the risk of contamination by omnipresent mesophiles is reduced. Lipolytic activity of RHgene34 protein was determined from 15 °C to 65 °C with C_{10} as the substrate. The enzyme was active over a broad temperature spectrum (15 °C to 55 °C) and displayed optimum activity at 45°C (Figure 4.12), with complete deactivation at 65 °C.

However, RHgene34 was not stable above 45 °C, losing about 50 % of its activity after 30 min, suggesting that the enzyme is not an ideal candidate for industrial thermophilic fermentations. This may suggest that RHgene34 is either thermotolerant or a facultative thermostable. A similar profile was published for an extracellular lipase from *Mucor hiemalis f. hiemalis*, which had an optimum temperature at 40 °C and at 45 °C had a residual activity of almost 80 % but at 55 °C activity fell below 50 % and at 60 °C was completely inactive (Hiol, *et al.*, 1999).
Figure 4.12: Effect of temperature on purified RHgene34 protein activity using p-NP esterified with fatty acid of ten carbon chain length as the substrate. The activity was determined at different temperatures at pH 7.5 in 100 mM sodium phosphate, 100 mM NaCl buffer. Maximum activity at 45 °C was taken as 100 %.

Figure 4.13: The thermal inactivation profile of RHgene34 at 25°C (♦), 35°C (▲), 45°C (■) and 55 °C (▼).
Esterases and lipases differ both in chain-length substrate preferences and kinetics. While esterases catalyse small ester-containing molecules partially soluble in water, lipases have more affinity towards long-chain substrates insoluble in an aqueous environment. Therefore, esterases will display a typical Michaelis-Menten behaviour, while most lipases show an interfacial activation and therefore display a sigmoidal behaviour (Prim et al., 2006). The preliminary kinetic parameters of recombinant RHgene34 protein were determined. Values of $k_{\text{cat}}$, $K_m$ or $K_{0.5}$ (apparent $K_m$) and $V_{\text{max}}$ and Hill constant are shown in Table 4.1 and 4.2.

![Figure 4.14](image)

**Figure 4.14**: Kinetic parameters of purified RHgene34 gene product towards three $p$-Np ester substrates. **A**: Michaelis-Menten plot of RHgene34 towards $C_2$. **B**: Michaelis-Menten direct linear plot of RHgene34 towards $C_3$. **C**: Michaelis-Menten direct linear plot of RHgene34 towards $C_6$. Kinetic data were fitted using GraphPad Prism software (San Diego, USA).
Table 4.1: Kinetic parameters of the RHgene34 enzyme using Michaelis-Menten p-Np esters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>$K_m$ (mM)</th>
<th>$V_{max}$ (Umg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}/K_m$ (s$^{-1}$/mM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C$_2$</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>128.90</td>
<td>36.52</td>
<td>66.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_3$</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>180.01</td>
<td>51.00</td>
<td>57.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_8$</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>376.31</td>
<td>106.62</td>
<td>62.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The kinetic constants for RHgene34 were calculated (Table 4.1) using the Michaelis-Menten nonlinear regression hyperbola plot. The $K_m$ and $V_{max}$ values for C$_2$ were approximately 3-fold lower than that of C$_8$. A high $K_m$ value implies that the enzyme does not have a high affinity for the substrate and therefore a higher concentration of substrate is required to half-saturate the RHgene34. The catalytic turnover ($k_{cat}$) for C$_2$ was 3-fold lower than that for C$_8$ and, the overall efficiency ($K_{cat}$ and $k_{cat}/K_m$) for C$_8$ is higher than C$_2$. This result indicates that although the enzyme is taking longer to recognise and bind to the C$_8$ substrate, once bound and activated the conversion to product is rapid.

The apparent $K_m$ values for the longer chains (11.48 mM C$_{10}$, 2.75 mM C$_{12}$ and 2.46 mM C$_{14}$) are shown in Table 4.2. Here, it is clear that RHgene34 has a lower substrate affinity for C$_{10}$ as compared to C$_{14}$. However, the $K_{cat}$ and $k_{cat}/K_m$ values show that the enzyme was more efficient in converting C$_{10}$ to product. Similar to the short chain substrates, the enzyme takes longer to recognise and bind the C$_{10}$ substrate, but as soon as it is bound, hydrolysis is rapid and could explain the sigmoidal curve. The variation of $k_{cat}/K_m(0.5)$ towards the short and long chain ester substrates suggests that different reaction mechanisms might be in use when substrates are bound with the enzyme (Ellenby et al., 1999).

The enzyme follows sigmoidal activity on long chain substrates, shown by a quick increase in velocity on the graph, reflecting how the binding of one subunit increases the chance that the other subunits will also bind to a substrate. The Hill coefficient, ($h$), is a quantitative measure of cooperativity in a binding process. This value is estimated from the Hill plot, where the value of 1 indicates independent binding and
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Figure 4.15: Kinetic parameters of purified RHgene34 gene product towards three p-Np ester substrates. A: Sigmoidal dose-dependent plot of RHgene34 with its corresponding B: Hill Plot.
logarithmic Hill plot towards $C_{10}$. **C**: Sigmoidal dose-dependent plot of RHgene34 with its corresponding **D**: logarithmic Hill plot towards $C_{12}$. **E**: Sigmoidal dose-dependent plot of RHgene34 with its corresponding **F**: logarithmic Hill plot towards and $C_{14}$. Kinetic data were fitted using GraphPad Prism software (San Diego, USA).

A value greater than 1 shows positive cooperativity where binding of one ligand increases the binding of subsequent ligands at other sites on a multimeric macromolecule (Weiss, 1997). The Hill coefficient of 2.16 on $C_{10}$ suggests that the reaction of RHgene34 is positively cooperative (Hill, 1910), which correlates with the dimeric nature of this enzyme.

**Table 4.2**: Kinetic parameters of the RHgene34 enzyme using non Michaelis-Menten $p$-Np esters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>$K_{0.5}$ (mM) [apparent $K_m$]</th>
<th>$V_{max}$ (Umg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}/K_{0.5}$ (s$^{-1}$/mM)</th>
<th>$h$ (Hill coefficient)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_{10}$</td>
<td>11.48</td>
<td>1560.82</td>
<td>442.23</td>
<td>38.52</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{12}$</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>488.10</td>
<td>138.30</td>
<td>50.29</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{14}$</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>11.94</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 5

General Discussion and Conclusion

The demand for bioethanol is continuously increasing due to the rapid depletion of fossil fuel reserves. Bioethanol is mainly produced from starchy (high in simple sugars) biomass sources like maize, sugarcane and wheat, known as first generation bioethanol. The source material which drives such production is making bioethanol more expensive than fossil fuel by diverting food crops, water and land resources for biofuel production, when food security is already a recognised problem (Srinivasan, 2009). This has forced the development of second generation biofuel from lignocellulosic biomass (Naik et al., 2010), to lower the production costs. Both depolymerising and side-chain cleaving enzymes, such as ferulic acid esterases (FAEs), are important in the complete degradation of lignocellulose polymers. This process generates a mixture of sugars when pretreated, alone or in combination, with enzymatic action followed by fermentation to bioethanol (Fazary and Ju, 2008). FAEs are inducible extracellular enzymes that hydrolyse the ester bonds between ferulic acid and the xylan backbone, resulting in increased accessibility for enzymatic attack on hemicellulose (Garcia et al., 1998; Mackensie et al., 1987). Due to this important role, the amount of research directed to FAEs has increased over the last decade. This is the result of recent discoveries in the isolation, purification and characterisation of fungal and bacterial FAEs (Fazary and Fu, 2007; Topakas et al, 2007). FAEs are classified into types according to their specificities to hydroxycinnamic acids (Rumbold et al., 2003). Differences in specificity are important in determining the optimal synergy between FAEs and other hemicellulases. When used in a mixed cocktail, the pH, temperature and stability profile of the enzymes would have to be compatible. Therefore, the discovery of novel FAEs with new properties is of importance (Shin and Chen, 2006).

Lipolytic enzymes are widely distributed among all the different bacterial taxa. Microbial lipases are one of the most versatile enzymes and offer a range of bioconversion properties such as esterification, interesterification, hydrolysis,
alcoholysis, acidolysis and aminolysis (Virk et al., 2011; Jeager et al., 1994). They also offer advantages which include stereospecificity, substrate specificity and the ability to hydrolyse substrates at the interface of water, as well as soluble and insoluble substrates. The ester products are used in the food industry to synthesise flavours and aroma constituents (Gandhi et al., 1995). Most industrial processes, such as crude oil refinery, where lipases play a role are required to be at temperatures over 45 ºC. Therefore the lipases need to be stable at temperatures around 50 ºC. Among other desirable characteristics, the most important for industrial lipases are alkali tolerance and thermostability (Haki and Rakshit, 2003; Sharma et al., 2001). Therefore, lipolytic enzymes are a highly sought after class of enzymes. The difference between these enzymes is that FAEs hydrolyse short ester-containing molecules that are partly soluble in water, while lipases preferentially hydrolyse water-insoluble long chain ester substrates (Virk et al., 2011).

Due to the increased demands for enzymes that can function efficiently under industrial conditions, considerable effort has been dedicated to the search for more robust varieties (Rozzell, 1999). Although many enzymes have been discovered, it is still not sufficient to meet the industry’s demands (Madigan and Mars, 1997; Herbert and Sharp, 1992). Enzymes are the desired catalyst for industrial processes and, up to now, most industrial enzymes are of microbial origin. Microorganisms are a source of useful enzymes and are easy to manipulate to increase yields and quality (Price et al., 1995). Thermophiles are habitat-adapted and may therefore be a source of enzymes suited for harsh industrial processes. The valuable properties of such enzymes would be to operate at high temperatures, or solvents and detergents, giving these enzymes considerable potential for many biotechnological and industrial applications. Modern biotechnological processes generally operate at elevated temperatures because this reduces the risk of mesophilic contaminations. In addition, high temperatures increase enzyme reaction rates due to a decrease in viscosity and an increase in the substrate diffusion coefficient (Haki and Rakshit, 2003). This is why this study focused on screening thermophilic novel industrial enzymes.

Metagenomics is a rapidly growing field of research that has been successfully employed as a powerful tool for the discovery of enzymes with novel biocatalytic
activities from unculturable microbial communities (Kakirde et al., 2010; Kennedy et al., 2008). This technique does not require cultivation of microorganisms, which may be impossible to grow and, in addition, it provides genetic information on the overall microbial community from populations containing potentially uncultured members (Voget et al., 2003). The first step is to construct metagenomic libraries from environmental samples, then transform into *Escherichia coli*, and then isolate the gene conferring the desired activity. This method can be problematic as expression in *E. coli* is biased and inefficient. Additionally, it is difficult to develop assays using agar plates supplemented with substrates. The alternative is a PCR-based method, where novel genes are directly isolated from metagenomes. This particular method avoids the difficulties associated with protein expression in host cells but the main limitations of this approach is that homologous regions are required in order to probe the sequences (Uchiyama and Miyazaki, 2009; Rhee et al., 2005). When metagenomics is coupled with highly efficient and low cost high-throughput screening techniques, a large number of new biocatalysts and small molecules can be recorded (Simon and Daniel, 2009; Elend et al., 2006). A metagenomic strategy has previously been used to isolate lipolytic enzymes (Kakirde et al., 2010), such as FAEs and lipases.

Due to the potential that metagenomics offers for the isolation of a novel esterase, a metagenomic functional screening was employed and a large insert fosmid library prepared using sediment from a Malawian hot spring (72 - 78 °C; pH 6.5) was screened in this study. Screening was performed on tributyrin-supplemented agar, a general esterase/lipase screen where positive clones are identified by a zone of clearing (Jarvis and Thiele, 1997). However, tributyrin is not a true lipid as it is soluble in water and cleaved by some esterases, and will therefore result in the isolation of a wide range of lipolytic activities (Litthauer et al., 2010). This screening led to the isolation of a fosmid clone, Try 11, which formed the basis of this study. This clone additionally gave positive activity on ethyl ferulate and olive-oil Rhodamine B, indicating that RHgene34 may be a lipase. To classify the enzyme, sequence comparison was used for preliminary analysis and functional characterisation to confirm activity.
In order to identify the gene sequence conferring the activity, the fosmid was fully sequenced. Annotation of the ORFs revealed that 38% of proteins from the fosmid clone had high similarity to proteins from *Enterobacter*, a mesophilic organism. One of the ORFs (RHgene34) showed ≤41% to known α/β hydrolase fold family protein in the NCBI database. This moderately low identity suggested that this may be a novel biocatalyst.

*p*-nitrophenyl esters of various carbon chain lengths (C$_2$ to C$_{14}$) were used to perform a preliminary biochemical characterisation of the enzyme activity. Maximum relative activity was obtained for the C$_{10}$ chain substrate. The enzyme was found to have a pH optimum of 9, which could be advantageous in many biotechnological applications that require alkaline conditions (such as alkaline pulping) (Sigoillot *et al.*, 2005; Record *et al.*, 2003). The temperature optimum was 45 °C; not surprising considering that it was isolated from a hot spring. However, considering the temperature of the hot spring, it was expected that this lipolytic enzyme would be more thermophilic, instead of having an optimum temperature of 45 °C. This may be due to the isolation of remnant DNA from dead thermotolerant mesophiles during metagenomic extraction. It is important to consider that a hot spring is an open environment and therefore subject to contamination and metagenomics is unbiased to living or dead microorganisms. The enzyme was not very stable at 45 °C, losing 50% of its activity after 30 min, which suggests that the enzyme is not an ideal candidate for industrial thermophilic fermentations, but may be used for application in mesophilic fermentation processes employed in the pharmaceutical industry where enzymatic stability at 30 °C to 45 °C is ideal (Aravindan *et al.*, 2007).

Considering highest relative activity was obtained on C$_{10}$, it suggested that this enzyme would be classified as a lipase. However, based on the activity on several substrates it is suggested that this enzyme has a broad substrate range. While *p*-Np esters aid in basic preliminary characterisation, they are not suitable for specific lipase assays because some esterases can also cleave them, but they do give an idea of the carbon chain length an enzyme can catalyse (Stuer *et al.*, 1986). In addition, *p*-Np esters are easier to use and detect but because they have no substitutions or side chains, methyl substrates were used to give an indication of substrate specificity. RHgene34 displayed activity on methyl esters, namely methyl
ferulate, methyl p-coumarate, methyl caffeate but not as efficient on methyl sinapate (Wong, 2006). Based on this, we can classify RHgene34 as a typical B type esterase. This was not surprising as lipases are perfectly capable of catalysing a broad range of substrates including esterase substrates (Jaeger et al., 1999) such as methyl hydroxycinnamate substrates (Wong, 2006).

Most lipases are known not to follow the Michaelis-Menten model, because they have more than one homogeneous phase. Therefore, the Hill model is proposed to describe the kinetics of lipases. Based on the Hill plot analysis, it is proposed that RHgene34 functions as a dimer, because it describes the cooperativity between subunits of an enzyme. This was further supported by size exclusion, which indicated that it folds as a loose dimer. The RHgene34 was found to be positively cooperative, meaning that the binding of a substrate to one subunit increases the affinity at other subunits (Weiss, 1997). This is an interesting result since all lipases are reportedly monomeric (Aravindan et al., 2007). We may have isolated one of the first bacterial lipases that exist as a dimer. The dimerisation, however, appears to be loose based on the homology analysis, and this may explain the lack of thermostability. It has been found that thermostable proteins form tight dimers, deletion or shortening of loops and fewer or smaller cavities (Kumar and Nussinov, 2001), therefore, the tighter the protein the more thermostable it is.

Homology modelling of RHgene34 clearly identified the characteristic α/β hydrolase fold. The fold consists of 8 β-sheets connected by α-helices, which contain the residues of the catalytic triad (Jaeger et al., 1999). The RHgene34 protein does not appear to have the common lid structure that most lipases possess and the structures are not tightly packed. It should be noted that some lipases, such as that of Candida antarctica B (Fojan et al., 2000) and Streptomyces exfoliatus (Nardini and Dijkstra, 1999), have no lid structure but are still classified as lipases. The mechanism of action however, is not clear. These lipases are of fungal origin, while RHgene34 is of microbial origin, making it a very interesting lipase as there are very few known microbial lipases without a lid, with a broad substrate range and folding as a dimer.
The lid structure is very important in lipases; it is responsible for the unique interfacial activation that allows lipases to catalyse a broad range of substrates. When long chain fatty acids form an emulsion, there is a strong increase in enzyme activity. When the first 3D structures of lipases were first determined, interfacial activation was a clear explanation for this behaviour. The active site of lipases was found to be covered with a C-terminal lid structure which consequently makes the active site inaccessible to substrates i.e., making the enzyme inactive (Wong, 2006; El-Kouhen et al., 2005). However, a conformational change takes place when a lipase is bound to a lipid interface; causing the lid to open and exposing the active site. The lid reveals the hydrophobic residues to the lipid interface increasing the bond between the enzyme and the lipid surface. This phenomenon explains interfacial activation with the lid causing inactivation if no lipid interface is present, and has been used to distinguish between true lipases and esterases (Wong, 2006; Manco et al., 1998).

True lipases are usually defined as enzymes that show interfacial activation in the presence of long chain fatty acids. According to Jaeger et al., (1994), if an enzyme hydrolysing these substrates does not show interfacial activation, it should be classified as an esterase. Based on the predictive model, RHgene34 does not possess a lid. It does however, have an N-terminal loop-like structure which is not associated with the active site. The homology model was not a good representation of the enzyme, as shown by the Ramachandran plot; therefore it might be that the flap may be acting as a lid, covering the hydrophobic residues inside the active site. Additional, RHgene34 hydrolyses both short and long chain substrates demonstrating interfacial activation with long chain substrates. Additional research is warranted to fully characterise the true classification of this enzyme.

Therefore, in this study, metagenomics has proven very useful and successful in identifying a novel lipolytic enzyme, not only at the sequence level, but also at the structural level. Esterases and lipases have a wide range of biotechnological applications, from the food industry to the production of perfumes and fuels and the development of pharmaceuticals (Elend et al., 2006). Due to the ability of RHgene34 to hydrolyse a wide range of substrates, its alkaline pH and thermotolerance, it is an enzyme of value for industrial application, particularly in mesophilic fermentations.
Future work includes triacylglycerol studies for accurate lipase classification, protein crystallisation and hydrolysis trials for bioethanol production.
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