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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{13}$C-NMR</td>
<td>Carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D-NMR</td>
<td>One-dimensional nuclear magnetic resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^1$H-NMR</td>
<td>Proton nuclear magnetic resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D-NMR</td>
<td>Two-dimensional nuclear magnetic resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABB</td>
<td>Annexin binding buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APL</td>
<td>Aplidine$^\circledR$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>Before Christ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>Doublet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>Dichloromethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIW</td>
<td>De-ionized water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMEM</td>
<td>Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>Dimethyl sulfoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>Deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED$_{50}$</td>
<td>Median effective dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESI</td>
<td>Electrospray ionization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET-743</td>
<td>Ecteinascidin-743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOAc</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBS</td>
<td>Foetal bovine serum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gCOSY</td>
<td>Gradient-enhanced correlation spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gHMBC</td>
<td>Gradient-enhanced heteronuclear multiple bond coherence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gHSQC</td>
<td>Gradient-enhanced heteronuclear single quantum coherence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPLC</td>
<td>High pressure liquid chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRMS</td>
<td>High resolution mass spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IARC</td>
<td>International Agency for Research on Cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Half maximal inhibitory concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPP</td>
<td>Initiation, promotion, and progression model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>Infrared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Coupling constant in Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KH</td>
<td>Kahalalide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAA</td>
<td>Mycosporine like amino acids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>Minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNP</td>
<td>Marine natural product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Mass spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADPH</td>
<td>Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (reduced form)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCI</td>
<td>National cancer institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMR</td>
<td>Nuclear magnetic resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Natural product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>Phosphate buffered saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>Propidium iodide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLTX</td>
<td>Palytoxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>Phosphatidylserine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>Quartet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROESY</td>
<td>Rotating-frame overhauser enhancement spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROS</td>
<td>Reactive oxygen species</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
s  Singlet
SD  Standard deviation
SI  Selectivity index
sp.  Species
td  Triplet of doublets
TLC  Thin layer chromatography
TNF  Tumour necrosis factor
USA  United States of America
UV  Ultra violet
WHO  World health organization
WST-1  Sodium 5-(2,4-disulfophenyl)-2-(4-iodophenyl)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)-
2H-tetrazolium inner salt
XTT  Sodium 2,3-bis(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-5-
[(phenylamino)-carbonyl]-2H-tetrazolium inner salt
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CHAPTER ONE
INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

1.1 Introduction

The medicinal use of natural products (NPs) (i.e. secondary metabolites) of terrestrial plants, marine organisms, or any natural source has captured human’s interest throughout the ages. Interestingly, palaeoanthropological (the scientific study of human fossils) studies conducted by Solecki at the cave site of Shanidar (Northern Iraq) were able to uncover several pollen grains which were buried, presumably intentionally, in a grave containing the remains of a Neanderthal dating back to more than 60,000 years ago (Solecki, 1975). These pollen samples are known to have curative properties, as diuretics, stimulants, astringents as well as anti-inflammatory properties (Solecki, 1975). Moreover, the documented use of oils from *Papaver somniferum* (poppy juice) and *Commiphora* species (myrrh) dating back to 2600 BC has been written about in cuneiform scripts from Mesopotamia. These oils are still used today for treatment of coughs, colds and inflammation. Also, the Papyrus Ebers written in 1500 BC describes hundreds of drugs prepared from terrestrial plants in ancient Egypt (Cragg and Newman, 2005). The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that 80% of the population in third world countries depend on folk medicine for medical treatment (Ahmad *et al*., 1998).

However, proper scientific toxicological, microbial, pharmacological and chemical studies are needed to ensure the safe use of these alternative medicines (Nkunya *et al*., 1990). NP chemists have been able to isolate and identify the chemicals or secondary
metabolites responsible for the biological effects of these natural sources. Morphine is the first drug isolated from a natural source, and the first to be sold commercially as well (Ji et al., 2009). Merck started marketing morphine in 1826 as an opioid analgesic drug. The German pharmacist Friedrich Wilhelm Sertürner was the first person to isolate this compound from opium in 1806 (Sertürner, 1806).

Now NPs have become an integral part of modern drug manufacturing. A recent study looking into the sources of novel drugs identified between the period 1981 and 2010, shows that in the category of anticancer drugs alone, nearly half of the drug components used are either entirely from or derived from NPs (Newman and Cragg, 2012). The influence of NPs is even higher in other drug classes such as anti-infective drugs (Newman and Cragg, 2012). Well-known examples of NPs that are part of drugs currently in use include antibiotics (e.g. erythromycin and its derivatives, such as clarithromycin), immunosuppressive agents (e.g. cyclosporin A and FK 506), anticancer agents (e.g. paclitaxel and camptothecin and its derivatives, such as topotecan and irinotecan) and anticholesterolemic agents (e.g. lovastatin and its derivatives) (Hung et al., 1996).

1.1.2 Background on marine natural products

For many years chemists focused mainly on terrestrial plants as a source of NPs, while no attention was given to marine organisms. It was only around the mid 20th century when marine inhabitants were investigated for their unique biological activities (Capon, 2010). Nonetheless, there is some evidence of the use of marine organisms in folk medicine, for
example, seaweed-based remedies were advocated by early Chinese pharmacopeia to treat numerous disorders like, pain, abscesses, menstrual difficulties and cancer (Ruggieri, 1976).

Most of the studies on Marine Natural Products (MNPs) were focused on marine invertebrates. These animals are soft-bodied and sessile, lacking morphological defence mechanisms, such as shells, claws or spines to ward off predators, hence they have adopted more subtle means to safeguard themselves against the extremely hostile marine environment by producing secondary metabolites that act as a chemical defence system to ensure their survival. These secondary metabolites can deter other organisms from feeding on some of the marine invertebrates (Blunt et al., 2008). Moreover, these secondary metabolites can defend the organisms from infections or induce cell growth inhibition to protect the territory from competitors. Also, these chemicals can facilitate organisms’ feeding process by immobilizing prey (Capon, 2010).

In general, the secondary metabolites isolated from marine organisms differ structurally from secondary metabolites present in their terrestrial counterparts (Capon, 2010). These marine secondary metabolites exhibit a unique structural skeleton with unusual carbon and heterocyclic skeletons (Capon, 2010). Of equal importance, marine organisms exhibit higher bioactivity compared to terrestrial organisms. A screening study conducted by the US National Cancer Institute (NCI) evaluating the cytotoxicity of NPs showed that approximately 2% of the tested marine samples exhibited significant cytotoxicity,
whereas less than 0.5% of the terrestrial samples showed similar activity (Munro et al., 1999).

On the other hand, MNPs tend to be highly sensitive to light, temperature, oxygen and pH levels (Capon, 2010). Consequently, special precautions must be taken during their isolation and characterization. Separation methods such as acid and base extraction or steam distillation used to isolate secondary metabolites from terrestrial plants cannot be applied in the isolation of MNPs (Capon, 2010).

Moreover, the presence of MNPs at very low concentrations relative to the net weight of the organisms is a major challenge for MNP chemists. For instance, one metric tonne of the tunicate *Ecteinascidia turbinata* is required to isolate one gram of euteinascidin-743, a promising anti-cancer compound (Mendola, 2000). Also, in order to isolate around 300 mg of potent cytostatic halichondrins, one metric tonne of the sponge *Lissodendoryx sp.* is required (Hart et al., 2000).

There are, however, numerous approaches that can be adopted to overcome the availability issue of the MNPs (Table 1.1). Ecteinascidin-743, for example, was produced through mariculture and semi-synthesis (Cuevas et al., 2000). AplidinR and Kahalalide F were also successfully produced by full chemical synthesis (Jou et al., 1997) (López-Maciá et al., 2001). Furthermore, since microbial symbionts are suggested to be the true source of the secondary metabolites formulated within the organisms, it is possible to control and manipulate their biosynthetic pathways to harvest their metabolites. For
example, the anticancer Salinosporamide A, which was first isolated from the marine-sediment actinomycete strain *S. tropica* (Fenical *et al.*, 2009), was supplied by means of saline-fermentation (Montaser and Luesch, 2011). In addition, the utilization of genetic engineering can also be used to supply MNPs, especially in case of uncultivated marine bacteria. For instance, the gamma-proteobacterium *Candidatus Endobugula sertula*, which is a symbiont of the marine bryozoan *Bugula neritina*, was found to be the true source of the anticancer compound bryostatin 1 (Hildebrand *et al.*, 2004), which was supplied through the costly aquaculture process. The genes responsible for the biosynthesis of bryostatin 1 were successfully identified and sequenced (Sudek *et al.*, 2007). Consequently, the cloning of the biosynthetic genes for bryostatin 1 and its heterologous expression (the expression of a gene or part of a gene in a host organism) can provide a more practical option for the compound’s supply (Molinski *et al.*, 2009).

**Table 1.1:** Possible mechanisms to overcome the low supply of marine products (source: Jimeno *et al.*, 2004).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mariculture</td>
<td>Farming the organism in its natural milieu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquaculture</td>
<td>Culture of the organism under artificial conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemi synthesis</td>
<td>Use of a parent / related compound as the starting point followed by a short / industrially effective synthetic process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbial fermentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic intervention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.1.3 Background on cancer

1.1.3.1 Definition of cancer
Cancer results from the failure of cell cycle control, which allows mutated or injured cells to evade apoptosis (programmed cell death), leading to the uncontrolled accumulation of such cells with these defects (Story and Kodym 1998). These mutations usually occur in proto-oncogenes which can, upon mutation, promote tumour growth. In addition to proto-oncogenes, mutations can also take place in tumour suppressor genes, which in turn would promote uncontrolled cell cycle progression, hence promoting abnormal cell growth (Vermeulen et al., 2003).

1.1.3.2 Cancer statistics
Cancer is considered as one of main causes of death in first-world countries. While in third-world countries it is regarded as the second highest cause of mortality (Jemal et al., 2011).

The GLOBOCAN 2012 (globocan.iarc.fr), which is a statistical report of incidence and fatality rate of cancer worldwide issued by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), stated that in the year 2012 there were nearly 14.1 million new cancer cases worldwide, and around eight million deaths from cancer in the same year (globocan.iarc.fr). More than half of new cases and mortalities caused by cancer occurred in less developed countries. Compared to cervical and colon cancer, breast cancer was more frequent with over 1.6 million new cases worldwide, while colon cancer’s fatality rate was higher with 694,000 deaths (Table 1.2).
Table 1.2: Number of reported cancer cases and deaths worldwide in 2012 according to IARC (globocan.iarc.fr).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Number of new cases*</th>
<th>Number of deaths*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>Breast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World</td>
<td>14090</td>
<td>1677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More developed regions</td>
<td>6076</td>
<td>794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less developed regions</td>
<td>8014</td>
<td>883</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Numbers are in thousands.

There is also an increase in mortality rate caused by cancer in South Africa (SA). According to a report issued in 2014 by Statistics South Africa (Stats SA) (http://beta2.statssa.gov.za/), the annual percentage of deaths caused by neoplasms in SA in 2011 reached 7.3% of total 505,803 deaths registered in the same year. This is a 1.4% increase compared to the percentage registered in 2008 of total 595,624 deaths counted (Figure 1.1).

![Figure 1.1: Death percentages caused by neoplasms in SA reported from the year 2008 to 2011.](chart.png)
1.1.3.3 Progression of cancer

In contrast to single-gene diseases, cancer is considered a multi-gene, multi-step disorder. First, the progression of cancer is ‘initiated’ by a DNA mutation of one somatic cell, followed by another or multiple stages of different mutations leading to the ‘promotion’ of several other cancerous cells until a tumour mass is established (Hejmadi, 2010). The latter step may take months or years before eventually tumour mass ‘progresses’ all over the body (metastasis) contributing to a detectable cancer (Figure 1.2) (Hejmadi, 2010).

![The development of cancer from a single cell by undergoing multi-stage mutations](image)

**Figure 1.2:** The development of cancer from a single cell by undergoing multi-stage mutations (Alison, 2001).

This “initiation, promotion, and progression” (IPP) model was presented to understand the genetic structure of cancer (Kopelovich, 1982; Trosko, 2010). Still, it is difficult to determine the exact number of mutations needed or genes involved for a cancer to become clinically manifested. “The six hallmarks of cancer” was described by Hanahan and Weinberg (2000) to help to discriminate cancer cells from normal or healthy cells. The six major hallmarks of cancer detail the exact sequence of mutations that must occur
for the cells to develop into clinical detectable cancer. These hallmarks include (1) the potential of having unlimited replications, (2) having self-sufficient growth signals, (3) resistance to anti-growth signals, (4) the ability to elude apoptosis, (5) angiogenesis: ability to grow new blood vessels and (6) the capability to metastasize and attack other tissues (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2000).

1.1.3.4 Metastasis of cancer

Metastasis is a fatal process in which the tumour cells invade other parts of the body after they exceed the capacity of their original environment to support their growth. In 2003, Fidler proposed his hypothesis “seed and soil” to explain how metastasis proceeds, where cells or “seeds” abandon their original tumour site, use blood vessels to spread, attack other favourable tissues “soil” (Figure 1.3).

Figure 1.3: The process of metastasis inside the body (Withrow and Vail, 2007).
Firstly, growing of the tumour in size occurs by consumption of nutrients through simple diffusion, followed by manufacturing and secretion of angiogenic factors to build blood vessels, then invasion of the surrounding host stroma takes place, subsequently tumour cells escape into the circulation after detachment, these cells then get entrapped in the vessels beds of the distant organs, eventually extravasation occurs and the metastasis process is completed by the proliferation of the tumour cells within the attacked organ (Fidler, 2003).

1.1.3.5 Aetiology of cancer

Although certain genetic factors (e.g. in retinoblastoma, familial adenomatosis coli, xeroderma pigmentosum and familial carcinoma syndrome) can predispose an individual to cancer (Demant, 2005), there are also environmental factors that can cause cancer. For instance, according to Hejmadi the prevalence of stomach cancer in Japan is 6-8 fold higher compared to the incidence rate in the USA. Yet, young Japanese migrants to USA follow the same incidence rate as the USA population (Hejmadi, 2010). This change in incidence rate can be attributed to the differences in environmental and lifestyle factors and highlight the effect of these factors on the development of cancer (Hejmadi, 2010).

An unhealthy diet can also be a major contributing factor. For example, high fat and low fibre intake is linked to bowel, pancreatic, prostate and breast cancer (Hejmadi, 2010). Moreover, some infectious agents can cause cancer, such as viruses [hepatitis B virus (HBV), human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)] or bacteria like Helicobacter pylori in the case of stomach cancer (Hejmadi, 2010). In addition, exposure to sunlight and ultraviolet rays is also associated with increased risk of skin cancer (Hejmadi, 2010).
1.1.3.6 Marine natural products in cancer treatment

Besides surgical approaches, chemotherapy and radiotherapy are the backbone of cancer treatment. Yet for their many limitations, there is a need to find alternative ways to treat cancer. Chemotherapy possesses narrow therapeutic index associated with significant toxicity and is more often counteracted by the development of resistance (Vanneman and Dranoff, 2012). Furthermore, radiation is limited for many reasons; (1) the resistance of many tumours is sometimes higher than the normal surrounding tissue, (2) lack of clear patho-anatomical borders in some of the tumours, (3) difficulty of adjusting the treatment dose (Orth et al., 2014).

Through the discovery of new agents capable of creating new ways of killing tumour cells by means of altering cell signalling, cell division, energy metabolism, gene expression or affecting angiogenesis, scientists will be able to unveil tumour-specific treatments with minimal side effects and greater efficiency (Nagle et al., 2004).

Many previously isolated MNPs were found to have cytotoxic effects. These effects may be attributed to the ecological evolutionary need that led to the formation of some sort of chemical defence (McClintock et al., 2001) as discussed in section 1.1.2. Currently, more than 30 MNPs and their derivatives are studied in clinical trials as possible anticancer agents (Newman and Cragg, 2014).

The anticancer use of MNPs began in the middle of the last century with the isolation of spongouridine and spongothymidine (Figure 1.4) from the Caribbean sponge Cryptotheca
crypta by the American scientist Werner Bergmann (Jimeno et al., 2004). Unlike most compounds of that class, spongouridine and spongothymidine possessed arabinose residues instead of the ribose and deoxyribose. This discovery was followed by the development of the antiviral [arabinoadenine (Ara-A, Vidarabine)] and anticancer [arabinocytosine (Ara-C, Cytarabine)] agents (Figure 1.4) (Stonik, 2009). Cytarabine is known to affect DNA replication and synthesis by blocking DNA polymerase (Uemura et al., 1985). It is a well-known chemotherapeutic agent and has been in use for the past four decades. Cytarabine is also considered to be a drug of choice for the treatment of Hodgkin’s lymphoma, acute lymphoblastic leukaemia and chronic myelogenous leukaemia (Cohen, 1976). Recently it was found that a high dose of cytarabine can be used in treatment of acute myeloid leukaemia (Löwenberg, 2013).
1.1.3.6.1 Ecteinascidin-743 (ET-743, Yondelis™)

Ecteinascidin-743 (ET-743) (Figure 1.5) is a tetrahydroisoquinoline alkaloid, first isolated from the tunicate *Ecteinascidia turbinate* living in the waters of West Indies coral reefs and mangrove swamps in 1990 (Rinehart *et al*., 1990; Wright *et al*., 1990). ET-743 inhibits activated transcription without showing any effect on the constitutive transcription (Minuzzo *et al*., 2000), which improves the targeting of the compound towards the highly active dividing cancer cells in comparison to the normal cells which exhibit normal rates of transcription and translation. ET-743 also prevents the tumour cells from becoming resistant to chemotherapy as it interferes with the gene that produces
P-glycoprotein (Kanzaki et al., 2002), which is a protein that induces resistance of cancer cells towards other anticancer drugs such as doxorubicin and taxanes. ET-743 does not cause cytotoxic side effects such as, hair loss, mucositis, neurotoxicity or diarrhoea associated with other anticancer drugs (Lopez Martin et al., 2002). The drug was developed by the Spanish marine pharmaceutical company (pharmaMar), and marketed as Yondelis™. In 2014, pharmaMar reported that the net sale of Yondelis™ in the first quarter increased by 18% compared to the same period from the previous year, reaching almost 20 million euro (www.zeltia.com).

![Chemical structure of ET-743.](image)

**Figure 1.5:** Chemical structure of ET-743.

### 1.1.3.6.2 Eribulin mesylate

In 1986, a very potent cytotoxic compound, halichondrin B (Figure 1.6) was isolated from the sponge *Halichondria okadai* (Hirata and Uemura., 1986). The compound was found to bind to tubulin on the same site as vinca-alkaloids applied in clinical treatment (Bai et al., 1991). The low yield of this compound from *H. okadai* limited further clinical evaluation. Moreover, due to the compound’s complex structure, chemical synthesis of this compound was also unattainable (Aicher et al., 1992). It was not until much later
when scientists were able to obtain 310 mg of halichondrin B from the sponge *Lissodendoryx* sp. produced by aquaculture (Munro *et al*., 1999), which enabled the initiation of preclinical studies with halichondrin B. A new simpler derivative, eribulin mesylate (Figure 1.6), was developed by the Japanese Eisai company in 2010, which was approved as anticancer drug and launched onto market under trade name Halaven™ (www.eisai.com). According to the company’s reports, the drug recorded 10.9 billion yen sales in 2011 compared to 0.4 billion yen when it was released in 2010.

Figure 1.6: Chemical structures of halichondrin B (a) and its derivative eribulin mesylate (b).
1.1.3.6.3 Kahalalide F (KF)

Kahalalide F (Figure 1.7) was isolated from the mollusc (sea slug) *Elysia rufescens* gathered from Hawaii (Hamann *et al.*, 1996). Kahalalide F is a C$_{75}$ cyclic tridecapeptide that contains unusual amino-acid residues, including a rare Z-dehydroaminobutyric acid (Molinski *et al.*, 2009). The mollusc feeds on the green algae *Bryopsis* sp., which is believed to be the source of KF (Hamann *et al.*, 1996).

![Chemical structure of kahalalide F.](image)

**Figure 1.7:** Chemical structure of kahalalide F.

KF exhibits both *in vivo* and *in vitro* cytotoxicity against several tumour models, such as colon, breast, non-small cell lung and prostate cancer (Faircloth *et al.*, 2001). Mechanistic studies of KF shows that it acts on lysosomal membranes by disrupting them and in turn forms large vacuoles, this mechanism is considered unique compared to other antitumor agents, which increase acidification of the intra-cellular space leading to apoptosis (Garcia-Rocha *et al.*, 1996).
1.1.3.6.4 Didemnin B

Didemnin B (Figure 1.8) is a cyclic depsipeptide isolated from the Caribbean tunicate *Trididemnum solidum* (Rinehart *et al.*, 1981), it was the first MNP to be subjected to clinical trials. The depsipeptide showed strong antiproliferative effect against several human tumour cell lines *in vitro*. Mechanistically, it was found that it acts as GTP-binding protein elongation factor (Nuijen *et al.*, 2000). Phase I and phase II clinical trials were conducted for the compound. However, the trials were ceased as didemnin B exhibited high toxicity, low solubility, and a short lifespan (Newman and Cragg 2004). More research into marine invertebrates led to isolation of dihydrodidemnin B (Figure 1.8) from the Mediterranean tunicate *Aplidum albicans*. Dihydrodidemnin B showed similar effect to didemnin B, but with lower toxicity and higher solubility. Currently, synthetically derived dehydrodidemnin B is being subjected to phase II clinical studies under trade name Aplidine® (APL) (Menna, 2009).

**Figure 1.8:** Chemical structures of didemnin B (a) and dehydrodidemnin B (b).
1.1.3.7 Cell death mechanisms

Irregular cell death is a common factor in many human diseases such as cancer, stroke and neurodegenerative disorders (Kepp et al., 2011). Hence the regulation of cell death is an important approach for effective therapeutic strategy. For instance, anticancer agents induce cell death (Brown and Attardi, 2005), whereas cytoprotective drugs are used to avoid cell death in case of stroke, myocardial infarction or neurodegenerative disorders (Degterev et al., 2005). Controlled cell death is a vital biological mechanism that takes part in various physiological processes within any living organisms. It sculpts organs or separate fingers and toes (Penaloza et al., 2006). It also eliminates body structures that are no longer needed such as the tail of a tadpole during amphibian metamorphosis (the process of transformation from an immature form to an adult form) (Penaloza et al., 2006).

Generally, there are three types of cell death mechanisms namely apoptosis, autophagy and necrosis. **Apoptosis** (type I cell death) is morphologically characterized by the condensation of both the nucleus and the cytoplasm of the cell in addition to the fragmentation of the DNA. It involves cell shrinkage, blebbing of plasma membrane and formation of apoptotic bodies containing nuclear or cytoplasmic material (Clarke, 1990). **Autophagy** (type II cell death) is defined as an intracellular self-defence mechanism through which the accumulation of damaged or unwanted components of any cell are recycled by their sequestration into autophagic vesicles that subsequently are eliminated through fusion with lysosomes (Amaravadi et al., 2011). **Necrosis** (type III cell death) is described by Penaloza and co-workers as uncontrolled death that occurs as a result of cell
injury, leading to lysis of the cell. In necrosis, the cell membrane burst, allowing the leakage of cellular contents. Unlike apoptosis or autophagy, necrosis triggers inflammatory response due to the release of intracellular material, including the invasion of mast, phagocytes and natural killer cells (Penaloza et al., 2006). Therefore, massive necrosis can be threatening to the organism and can contribute to autoimmune reaction (Penaloza et al., 2006).

1.1.3.7.1 Apoptosis

Apoptosis is Greek word which depicts the process of falling of leaves from trees or petals from flowers. The term was inspired by the release of apoptotic bodies from the apoptotic cells (Reed, 2000). Kerr and co-workers first introduced the term to the scientific community in 1972 (Kerr et al., 1972). Apoptosis is considered to be a programmed cell-suicide functioning to remove unwanted or irreversibly damaged cells. Apoptosis is an important factor in development, taking part in morphogenesis (the sculpting of the form of embryos) and in sexual differentiation (Jacobson et al., 1997). Apoptosis is also involved in the growth of the immune and nervous systems of our body (Ameisen, 2002). Moreover, apoptosis is crucial for tissue homeostasis cells (Ameisen, 2002).

Any alteration in the levels of apoptosis can lead to many disease states. For instance, increased levels of apoptosis can lead to Alzheimer’s disease (Honig and Rosenberg, 2000) and is also implicated in HIV/AIDS (Badley et al., 1997). On the other hand, decreased levels of apoptosis can lead to the development of cancer (Hejmadi, 2010), and
can also contribute to inflammation, persistent infections and autoimmune diseases (Reed and Pellecchia, 2005).

Apoptosis is initiated by highly complex mechanisms (Figure 1.9) which involve several pathways; extrinsic or death receptor, which can be activated by extracellular ligands, intrinsic or mitochondrial, which is activated by various extra and intracellular stresses such as DNA damage, hypoxia and accumulation of undesired proteins (Kumar and Robbins, 2007), and perforin/granzyme (A or B) pathways, which are signalled by the immune system cells (Elmore, 2007). These pathways activate a family of intracellular enzymes known as caspases (Cysteine Aspartyl specific Proteases) which are present as inactive forms in all animal cells (Reed, 2000). A specific initiator caspase (caspase-8, -9 or -10) is activated by each pathway and consequently activate the executioner caspase-3, resulting in DNA fragmentation, degradation of cytoskeleton and nuclear protein of the cell leading to its death. Conversely, the granzyme A pathway acts in a caspase-independent manner which induces single stranded DNA damage (Reed, 2000). The final step of apoptosis is the fragmentation of the nucleus into numerous smaller fragments. These fragments are encapsulated by apoptotic bodies which -in contrast to non-apoptotic cells- have their phosphatidylserines in the outer leaflet of their plasma membrane (Kumar and Robbins, 2007). The relocation of phosphatidylserine to the outer layer of the plasma membrane of the apoptotic bodies acts as a death signal that allow macrophages to recognise the apoptotic cells/bodies resulting in the macrophages engulfing and destroying the apoptotic cell (Ameisen, 2002).
In conclusion, apoptosis plays a key role in the prevention of cancer (Hejmadi, 2010). The ability to elude apoptosis is a common feature in the development of cancer in living cells (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2000). Therefore, the targeted activation of apoptosis in cancer cells is a feasible therapeutic strategy to treat cancer. Many MNPs were found to induce cell death via apoptosis (Nagle et al., 2004). Such therapeutic agents can target cancer with minimal toxicity to normal cells and without the initiation of inflammatory reaction that can be induced by necrosis (Nagle et al., 2004).
1.2 Literature review on *Palythoa tuberculosa*

1.2.1 Taxonomy

**Kingdom:** Animalia  
**Phylum:** Cnidaria  
**Class:** Anthozoa  
**Subclass:** Hexacorallia  
**Order:** Zoantharia  
**Suborder:** Brachycnemina  
**Family:** Sphenopidae  
**Genus:** *Palythoa*  
**Species:** *Palythoa tuberculosa* (Esper, 1791).

1.2.2 Ecological background

Zoanthids (Anthozoa: Hexacorallia: Zoantharia) are common shallow reef benthic organisms. Some zooxanthellate genera, such as *Palythoa* (family: Sphenopidae) and *Zoanthus* (family: Zoanthidae), are aggressive benthic competitors in specific environmental conditions (Suchanek and Green, 1981; Sebens, 1982). Species of the genera *Zoanthus* and *Palythoa* within the suborder Brachycnemina are usually dominant components of live reef cover, particularly on coral reef tops and reef edges (Burnett *et al.*, 1997; Swain, 2010; Irei *et al.*, 2011). Species of the genus *Palythoa* are colonial and commonly found in shallow coral reefs worldwide.
Presently, there are more than 100 nominal species of *Palythoa* cited in the literature (Reimer, 2014). Nonetheless, for the high levels of morphological variation within the species it is believed that the exact number is much lower (Burnett *et al*., 1997; Reimer *et al*., 2004). Morphologically, *Palythoa sp.* have their tentacles arranged in two rows around their oral disk, and are enclosed with sand detritus, with foreign materials constituting up to 65% of their body weight (Haywick & Mueller, 1997), which makes it resistant to strong wave energy (Suchanek and Green, 1981; Irei *et al*., 2011).

The tropical zoanthid *Palythoa tuberculosa* (Esper, 1791) has been shown to form extensive mats in the shallow inter- and intra-tidal zones. Its wide Indo-Pacific distribution includes the reefs of Japan, Saipan (Micronesia), Indonesia, Madagascar, and the Red Sea (Reimer *et al*., 2006). *P. tuberculosa* is an active planktonivore (feeds on planktons) (Fabricius and Metzner, 2004). *P. tuberculosa* also hosts a generalist *Symbiodinium* type (Reimer *et al*., 2006; Hibino *et al*., 2013). Moreover, during bleaching events the species can survive via heterotrophy (Reimer, 1971a, b) which, unlike many other bleaching-susceptible anthozoans, allows them to have low mortality during bleaching events (Jiménez, 2001).

There is an observable increase in the number of *P. tuberculosa* recently in the Gulf of Suez (Red Sea, Egypt), particularly at Ras Za’farana and Ras Muhammed (Sharm El-Sheikh, Egypt), forming extensive rubber mats covering large areas of coral reefs and overgrowing the reef-building corals in these areas (Figure 1.10). The rapidly growing, encrusting form of *P. tuberculosa* causes massive damage to the coral reefs in the Gulf of
Suez and the Egyptian Red Sea coast. This increased coverage of *P. tuberculosa* may be attributed to the recent change in the environmental conditions along the Egyptian Red Sea coast. Ras Za’farana marine environment is characterized by strong wave energy, high turbidity and increased nutrient enrichment (Ali and Hamed, 2006), these stresses may be tolerated by *P. tuberculosa* (Irei *et al.* 2011) and give it a competitive advantage over the true corals. Furthermore, under increased anthropogenic stress, these reef communities may shift from corals-dominated reef to aggressive and rapidly growing benthic zoanthid *P. tuberculosa*. However, there is little information about the factors responsible for the rapid growth of *P. tuberculosa* in the Gulf of Suez in the recent years. Much work is needed to understand the relationship between the increased coverage of the benthic zoanthid *P. tuberculosa* and the changing environmental conditions.

**Figure 1.10:** The zoanthid rubber mat *P. tuberculosa* dominating the benthic substrate and overgrowing the corals at Ras Za’farana, Gulf of Suez, Egypt. Depth ~ 1 to 5 m (A). And at Ras Muhammed, Sharm E-Sheikh, Egypt. Depth ~ 3 to 5 m (B).
1.2.3 Chemical studies on the species *P. tuberculosa*

Even before the chemistry of genus *Palythoa* was studied, it was well-known for its toxicity. In Hawai‘i, the zoanthid was deeply incorporated in the Hawaiian people’s myths and legends, it was known as ‘limy-make-o-Hana’ which can be translated to deadly seaweed of Hana (Uemura, 2010). One of these stories was about a sacred pool containing seaweed which when applied to the soldiers’ spears will bring certain death to foes, the pool became a sacred place and it was told that a curse will fall on anyone who dare to enter the site (Uemura, 2010).

A study conducted between 1965 and 1967 in the same area identified a new species *P. toxica* (Walsh and Bowers, 1971). Subsequently, another study by Moore and Scheuer (1971) on *P. toxica* led to isolation of Palytoxin (PLTX) (Figure 1.11), which is one of the most toxic NP ever discovered (Pettit *et al*., 1982a). PLTX’s intravenous LD$_{50}$ on dogs, rabbits, monkeys, guinea pigs, rats, and mice ranges between 0.033 and 0.45 µg/kg (Wiles *et al*., 1974). By extrapolation, its toxic dose in humans was predicted to be in the range of 2.3 to 31.5 µg (Uemura, 1991). Being a large molecule, PLTX was first reported to have a molecular weight of 3300 and molecular formula of C$_{145}$H$_{264}$N$_{4}$O$_{78}$ with no repetition of sugar or amino acid units (Moore and Scheuer, 1971).

In 1973, a study conducted on the gut content of filefish *Aluterus scriptus* in Japan revealed the presence of *P. tuberculosa* and a compound of similar character to PLTX (Kimura and Hashimoto, 1973). In his article published in 2010, Uemura stated that his group started working on the Okinawan *P. tuberculosa* in 1974 and was successful in
isolating PLTX which was found to be amphipathic (water and fat soluble) and was found to be 50 times more toxic than tetrodotoxin (one of the most powerful marine toxin) (Uemura, 2010). The 263 nm chromophore part (Figure 1.11) of the compound was identified by means of spectroscopy, chemical degradation and synthesis (Moore et al., 1975). A later study showed, using mass spectrometric analysis, that the exact molecular weight of PLTX was 2,681 and its molecular formula is C\textsubscript{129}H\textsubscript{222}N\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{54} with eight double bonds (Macfarlane et al., 1980).

Researchers continued their efforts to elucidate PLTX’s outstandingly large structure. Two different research groups proposed a planar structure for PLTX. A Japanese group studied the PLTX isolated from the Okinawan P. tuberculosa and reported a hydroxyl substituent at C44 and a hemiketal functionality at C47 (Figure 1.11) (Uemura et al., 1981a and 1981b), whereas the chemical study of the compound isolated from a Palythoa sp. collected from Tahiti by Moore and Bartolini (1981) reported a ketal bond between C44 and C47 (Figure 1.11). PLTX’s stereostructure was described a year later (Klein et al., 1982; Ko et al., 1982; Fujioka et al., 1982; Cha et al., 1982).

In 1984, it was shown that PLTX induces both coronary artery contraction and peripheral vessel contraction by easing the absorption of sodium ions by neurons (Muramatsu et al., 1984 and 1988). It was also found that PLTX was not an antagonist to tetrodotoxin, suggesting the existence of a yet unidentified sodium channel (Muramatsu et al., 1984 and 1988). It was later suggested that Na\textsuperscript{+}, K\textsuperscript{+}-ATPase was the target molecule and it was
proposed that a channel structure existed within the ion pump. This channel structure was later confirmed (Artigas and Gadsby, 2003).

The cytotoxic action of PLTX was also studied. PLTX was found to control murine Ehlich ascites carcinoma in mice at a dose of 84 ng/kg (Quinn et al., 1974). The inhibitory effect of PLTX on the tumour was observed at doses as low as 5.25 ng/kg (Quinn et al., 1974), but the extreme toxicity of the compound hindered its usefulness as an anticancer agent.

Further studies on *P. tuberculosa* led to the isolation of several PLTX-like compounds. In 1985, homopalytoxin, bishomopalytoxin, neopalytoxin and deoxypalytoxin were isolated (Uemura et al., 1985). Ostreocin-D (Ukena et al., 2001) and ovatoxin-A (Ciminiello et al., 2012) are also PLTX-like structures which were isolated from the dinoflagellates *Ostreopsis* sp. In 2009, a new PLTX analogue, 42-hydroxypalytoxin (Figure 1.11), was isolated from both *P. toxica* and *P. tuberculosa* collected off the Hawaiian coast. The analogue showed similar biological activity to the PLTX (Ciminiello et al., 2009). Secondary metabolites like PLTX which are derived from marine sources and contain long carbon chains were named “super-carbon-chain compounds” (Uemura, 2006).
Figure 1.11: Chemical structure of PLTX*.

*The figure illustrates a) Chromophore part of 263 nm, b) The part of PLTX reported by Uemura et al. (1981) showing hydroxyl substituent at C44 and a hemiketal functionality at C47, c) The part of PLTX showing the keton bond between C44 and C47 which was reported by Moore and Bartolini (1981), d) The part of PLTX showing the hydroxyl group at C42 in the reported structure of 42-hydroxypalytoxin by Ciminiello et al. (2009).

Several other water-soluble compounds (Figure 1.12) with strong absorption maxima were successfully isolated in several studies conducted on *P. tuberculosa*; mycosporine-Gly (Ito and Hirata, 1977), palythine (Takano et al., 1978a), palythinol and palythene (Takano et al., 1978b). These compounds, named mycosporine like amino acids or MAA,
are wildly spread among marine inhabitants and believed to protect these organisms against UV radiation (Dunlap and Chalker, 1986). MAAs, in particular mycosporine-Gly, were found to have moderate antioxidant activity which may suggest their role as photooxidative stress protection caused by oxygen radicals in photoautotrophic symbiosis (Dunlap and Yamamoto, 1995).

![Chemical structures of mycosporine-Gly (a), palythine (b), palythinol (c), and palythene (d).](image)

**Figure 1.12:** Chemical structures of mycosporine-Gly (a), palythine (b), palythinol (c), and palythene (d).

Further studies on *P. tuberculosa* led to the isolation of two isomeric compounds containing pyrazine rings; palathazine and isopalythazine (Figure 1.13). These compounds were found to be optically active and share the same molecular formula \( \text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_{2}\text{O}_{4} \) (Uemura *et al.*, 1979). The structures of these compounds were confirmed by means of chemical derivatization.
In 1969, Gupta and Sheuer reported the isolation of a mixture of sterols from *P. tuberculosa* (Gupta and Sheuer, 1969). The physical constant of the sterol mixture was found to be similar to previously isolated sterol from *P. mammilosa* by Bergmann and co-workers in 1951 (Bergmann *et al*., 1951). Bergmann’s sterol was different from all other sterols identified at that time, and was thus given the name palysterol (Bergmann *et al*., 1951). The major sterol identified by Gupta and Sheuer was 22,23-dihydrobrassicasterol (24β-methylcholest-5-en-3β-ol) (Figure 1.14), which had never before been identified from marine or natural source (Gupta and Sheuer, 1969). The other minor sterols were 24β-methylcholesta-5,22-dien-3β-ol, cholest-5-en-3β-ol, 24-ethylcholesta-5-en-3β-ol and gorgosterol (Figure 1.14) (Gupta and Sheuer, 1969).
Figure 1.14: Chemical structures of 24β-methylcholesta-5,22-dien-3β-ol (a), cholest-5-en-3β-ol (b), 24β-methylcholest-5-en-3β-ol (c), 24-ethylcholest-5-en-3β-ol (d) and gorgosterol (e).
1.2.4 Chemical studies on the genus *Palythoa*

Several studies conducted on *Palythoa liscia*, collected from Mauritius, were successful in isolating various anticancer agents. Petit and co-worker (1982a) isolated three cell growth inhibitor proteins with a higher mass than PLTX, namely palystatin 1 (mol. wt. 128,000 ± 12,000), palystatin 2 (mol. wt. 5×10^5) and palystatin 3 (mol. wt. > 2×10^7). The three proteins exhibited anticancer activity when tested against murine P388 lymphocytic leukaemia, both palystatin 2 and 3 showed similar results (ED_{50} 0.01 μg/ml) where palystatin 1 exhibited higher potency (ED_{50} 1.3-5.5×10^{-4} μg/ml) (Pettit *et al.*, 1982a).

The same group also reported the isolation of several lower molecular weight peptides from the same species named ‘palystatin A-D’ of molecular weights 4,500, 4,000, 3,300 and 3,000, respectively. The peptides exhibited anticancer activity and with ED_{50} values of 0.0023 (palystatin A), 0.020 (palystatin B), 0.0018 (palystatin C) and 0.022 μg/ml (palystatin D) (Pettit *et al.*, 1982b).

In the same year, Pettit and Fujii (1982) reported the isolation of several glycerol ethers from the same species. Two of these glycerols were pure; 1-\(O\)-hexadecylglycerol (chimyl alcohol) and 1-\(O\)-octadecylglycerol (batyl alcohol) (Figure 1.15). Batyl alcohol, in particular, was reported to have anti-inflammatory effects similar to that of hydrocortisone, to accelerate wound healing and afford protection against radiation sickness (Pettit and Fujii, 1982).
The chemical study of the phospholipids of the Puerto Rican zoanthid *Palythoa caribaeorum* revealed the presence of a new brominated fatty acid; 6-bromo-5,9-eicosadienoic acid (Figure 1.16), along with several $\Delta^{5,9}$ fatty acids; 5,9-octadecadienoic acid, 5,9-eicosadienoic acid, 5,9-docosadienoic acid and 5,9-tetracosadienoic acid. This study proved that phospholipid fatty acids were not only confined to marine sponges as previously suggested (Carballeira and Reyes, 1995).

Also, two new ectasteroids, palythoalones A and B (Figure 1.16), were isolated and identified by spectroscopic and chemical means from the methanolic extract of *P. australiae* collected from Okinawa (Shigemori *et al.*, 1999).
Figure 1.16: Chemical structures of 6-bromo-5,9-eicosadienoic acid (a), palythoalones A (b) and palythoalones B (c).
1.3 Statement of research problem

For many decades the oceans and seas drew the attention of biologists and chemists for the search of marine natural products formulated within the inhabitants of these vast and harsh environments. The chemical and biological nature of marine natural products is expected to be unique and different from natural products isolated from terrestrial sources. This uniqueness can mainly be attributed to the aqueous nature of the surroundings that the marine organisms find themselves in. Sessile creatures such as soft corals, sponges and zoanthids, had to develop alternative ways to protect their existence from predators and diseases. These organisms may therefore produce secondary metabolites with very unique cytotoxic activities. Cytotoxic natural products are important lead compounds for the discovery of new anticancer agents.

Several chemical studies of the genus *Palythoa* (Coelenterata, Zoanthidae) and in particular the species of *P. tuberculosa* led to the isolation of several unique compounds. Many of these compounds have been shown to be extremely cytotoxic. However, no previous studies have been conducted on *P. tuberculosa* collected from Red sea. It is well known that there is a link between geographical location and both bio- and chemodiversity. It is therefore possible that chemical composition of *P. tuberculosa* collected from Red sea could be different from *P. tuberculosa* collected from other locations.
1.4 Problem identification

What type of secondary metabolites exists within the *P. tuberculosa* collected from Red Sea? How can we isolate them? Are these metabolites cytotoxic and if they are cytotoxic can they induce apoptosis in human cancer cells?

1.5 Research aim

The aim of this study is to perform both chemical and biological characterization of the marine zoanthoid *P. tuberculosa*. The selected specimen will be extracted using suitable polar organic solvents. The extract will then be subjected to different chromatographic techniques using both simple [Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) and Open Column Chromatography] and advanced chromatography [High Pressure Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)]. Subsequently, the isolated compounds will be investigated to determine their chemical structures using several spectroscopic techniques including, 1D and 2D NMR and MS. The purified compounds will undergo cytotoxicity evaluations using colorimetric *in vitro* assays on various human cancer cell lines.
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2.1 Abstract

The chemistry of *P. tuberculosa* attracted the attention for its structurally complex and highly toxic content, in particular PLTX. Red Sea is a unique marine source which contains highly diversified marine fauna including *P. tuberculosa*. Neither chemical nor biological studies have been conducted on *P. tuberculosa* growing in the Red Sea. These factors provided the justification to chemically and biologically evaluate the constituents of the *P. tuberculosa* from the Red Sea. The chemical study of *P. tuberculosa* resulted in the isolation of seven polyhydroxlated sterols viz; 24-methylenecholest-5-ene-1α,3β,11α-triol (palysterol A), 24-methylenecholest-5-ene-1α,3β,11α,18-tetrol-1-acetate (palysterol B), 24-methylene-1α,3β,11α-trihydroxycholest-5-en-18-al-1-acetate (palysterol C), cholesta-5,22(23),24(28)-trien-1α,3β,11α-triol (palysterol D), cholesta-5,22(23),24(28)-trien-1α,3β,11α,18-tetrol-1,18-diacetate (palysterol E), cholesta-5,22(23),24(28)-trien-1α,3β,11α,18-tetrol1,18-diacetate (palysterol F), and cholesta-5,22(23),24(28)-trien-1α,3β,11α,trihydroxy-18-al 1-acetate (palysterols G). The presence of polyhydroxlated sterols in *P. tuberculosa* was reported early in 1961 as mentioned in section 1.2.3. In this study, no compounds related to PLTX were detected as indicated by the extensive NMR measurements of the different main fractions obtained from the methanolic extract of *P. tuberculosa*. This chemical difference highlights the effect of the environmental and ecological factors on determining the chemical contents of *P. tuberculosa*. 
2.2 Methodology of chemical isolation

2.2.1 Reagents and solvents

Ethanol...............................Crest Chemicals (SA)

Methanol..............................Crest Chemicals (SA)

Hexane.................................Crest Chemicals (SA)

Ethyl acetate.......................Kimix (SA)

Dichloromethane..................Kimix (SA)

Sulphuric acid.......................Kimix (SA)

Deuterated chloroform............Merck, Germany

Vanillin..............................Merck-Schuchardt, Germany.

2.2.2 Solvent evaporation

Rotavapor model Buchi Rotavapor RE 111 was used for solvent evaporation at 40 °C and 14 mbar.

2.2.3 Chromatography

2.2.3.1 Thin layer chromatography (TLC)

Pre-coated plates of silica gel 60 F_{254} (Merck, Germany) was used for TLC analysis.

Visualization of TLC plates was done by observing the bands “spots” under UV at \(\lambda_{254}\) nm and \(\lambda_{366}\) nm using UV lamp (CAMAG, Switzerland), and by spraying with the vanillin/sulphuric acid reagent according to Wagner et al., (1984).
2.2.3.2 Column chromatography

Glass columns (different diameters) packed with either silica gel 60 (0.063-0.0200 mm) (Merck), or alumina (Aluminium oxide Fluka typ 507C from Fluka AG, Buchs SG, Switzerland) were used for column chromatography. Size-exclusion chromatography was carried out using Sephadex® LH-20 (Pharmacia).

2.2.3.3 High Pressure Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)

Sample purification was carried out using Agilent Technologies 1200 series, equipped with UV detector, manual injector, quaternary pump (G1311A), vacuum degasser (G1322A), column compartment (G1316A) (all experiments were done at room temperature) and reversed phase C_{18} column SUPELCO (25 x 1 cm, 5 μm). The flow rate was set at 1.5 mL/min.

2.2.4 Spectroscopy

2.2.4.1 Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy

NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C, using CDCl₃ as solvent, on a Varian SYSTEM 500 NMR spectrometer (¹H 500 MHz, ¹³C 125 MHz) equipped with a 5-mm HCN cold probe. Chemical shifts of ¹H (δ_H) and ¹³C (δ_C) in ppm were determined relative to tetramethylsilane.

2.2.4.2 Mass spectroscopy (MS)

High resolution mass spectroscopy (HRMS) analysis were conducted using an Agilent 1200 LC binary pump coupled to an Agilent QTOF. Accurate Mass mass spectrometer using electrospray ionization (ESI) interface working in the positive mode.
2.2.4.3 Infrared (IR) spectroscopy

Attenuated total internal reflectance FTIR measurements were carried out using Spectrum 100 (PerkinElmer). Spectra recording were accomplished using the interface “Spectrum”. Dichloromethane was used to dissolve the samples.

2.2.4.4 Optical rotation measurements

Optical activity measurements were conducted using Anton Paar MCP 200 Polarimeter. The following conditions were used: sample concentration: 0.1g/mL in dichloromethane; wavelength: 589 nm; cell temperature: 25 °C.

2.3 Sample collection and identification

The Red Sea *Palythoa tuberculosa* was collected in May 2010, at depth of 2-3 meters from Hurghada, Egypt. The tissue was kept in MeOH. The material was collected by Dr. A. Ali (National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries, Suez City, Egypt) and identified by Dr. S. Parker-Nance (Zoology department, Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University) and Dr. A. Ali. A voucher specimen is kept at Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries (Suez, Egypt).

2.4 Extraction of the marine sample

The fresh sliced bodies of ~1.0 kg of the collected species were blended with MeOH. The extract was filtered using Whatman filter paper, the residue was washed twice with fresh MeOH. The total extract was concentrated under vacuum and partitioned between EtOAc and H₂O. The water fraction was dried and the residue was washed with methanol and combined to the EtOAc fraction.
2.5 Fractionation of the total extract

The extract (20 g) was applied to silica gel column (5 x 30 cm) and eluted using mixture of hexane/EtOAc/methanol (Table 2.1).

Table 2.1: Solvent system used for fractionation of the total extract of *P. tuberculosa*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent volume</th>
<th>Solvent system</th>
<th>Fractions collected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 L</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>1-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 L</td>
<td>Hexane : Ethyl acetate 95:5</td>
<td>5-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 L</td>
<td>Hexane : Ethyl acetate 90:10</td>
<td>9-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 L</td>
<td>Hexane : Ethyl acetate 85:15</td>
<td>13-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 L</td>
<td>Hexane : Ethyl acetate 80:20</td>
<td>17-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 L</td>
<td>Hexane : Ethyl acetate 75:25</td>
<td>25-28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 L</td>
<td>Hexane : Ethyl acetate 70:30</td>
<td>29-36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 L</td>
<td>Hexane : Ethyl acetate 65:35</td>
<td>37-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 L</td>
<td>Hexane : Ethyl acetate 60:40</td>
<td>41-48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 L</td>
<td>Hexane : Ethyl acetate 55:45</td>
<td>49-52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 L</td>
<td>Hexane : Ethyl acetate 50:50</td>
<td>53-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 L</td>
<td>Hexane : Ethyl acetate 40:60</td>
<td>61-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 L</td>
<td>Hexane : Ethyl acetate 30:70</td>
<td>65-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 L</td>
<td>Hexane : Ethyl acetate 20:80</td>
<td>69-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 L</td>
<td>Hexane : Ethyl acetate 10:90</td>
<td>73-76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 L</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate 100%</td>
<td>77-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 L</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate : Methanol 95:5</td>
<td>81-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 L</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate : Methanol 80:20</td>
<td>85-88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 L</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate : Methanol 50:50</td>
<td>89-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 L</td>
<td>Methanol 100 %</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

93 different fractions (volume = 250 mL) were collected. The fractions were concentrated on the rota-evaporator and chromatographed on TLC plates using different solvent systems; hexane:EtOAc 9:1 and DCM:MeOH 5%. The fractions were pooled together according to their TLC profiles (Figures 2.1) and were designated roman numbers (Table 2.2).
Table 2.2: Fractions obtained upon fractionation of the total extract of *P.tuberculosa*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fractions collected</th>
<th>Designated number</th>
<th>Fractions collected</th>
<th>Designated number</th>
<th>Fractions collected</th>
<th>Designated number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>37-38</td>
<td>XVI</td>
<td>77, 78</td>
<td>XXXI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>39-40</td>
<td>XVII</td>
<td>79-81</td>
<td>XXXII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-9</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>41-42</td>
<td>XVIII</td>
<td>82-83</td>
<td>XXXIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>43-45</td>
<td>XIX</td>
<td>84-85</td>
<td>XXXIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>46-47</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>86-88</td>
<td>XXXV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-14</td>
<td>VI</td>
<td>48-49</td>
<td>XXI</td>
<td>89-91</td>
<td>XXXVI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-16</td>
<td>VII</td>
<td>50-51</td>
<td>XXII</td>
<td>92-93</td>
<td>XXXVII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-19</td>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>52-53</td>
<td>XXIII</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-22</td>
<td>IX</td>
<td>54-56</td>
<td>XXIV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-24</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>57-58</td>
<td>XXV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-27</td>
<td>XI</td>
<td>59-63</td>
<td>XXVI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-30</td>
<td>XII</td>
<td>64-68</td>
<td>XXVII</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-32</td>
<td>XIII</td>
<td>69-70</td>
<td>XXVIII</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33-34</td>
<td>XIV</td>
<td>71-72</td>
<td>XXIX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-36</td>
<td>XV</td>
<td>73-76</td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 2.1:** TLC profile of the main fractions after spraying with $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$/vanillin (A, B and C) and under UV light (a, b and c).

*TLC plate (A) of main fractions (I-XII) was developed using hexane:EtOAc 70:30
*TLC plate (B) of main fractions (XII-XXIII) was developed using hexane:EtOAc 50:50
*TLC plate (C) of main fractions (XXIII -XXXVI) was developed using DCM:MeOH 7%.
2.6 Isolation of the pure compounds

2.6.1 Isolation of palysterols C, E and G.

Main fraction XXII (100 mg) was adsorbed on silica gel and fractionated on an open column using gradient solvent system of hexane:EtOAc (80:20 to 0:100). The sub-fractions collected were combined together according to the TLC profile (Figure 2.2).

![TLC of sub-fractions of main fraction XXII using DCM:MeOH 4% (double run) as mobile phase.](image)

Figure 2.2: TLC of sub-fractions of main fraction XXII using DCM:MeOH 4% (double run) as mobile phase.

Sub-fraction XXII₁ (Figure 2.2) was injected to the HPLC and eluted using gradient solvent system of MeOH and de-ionized water (DIW) (90:10 to 100% MeOH in 20 min, then 100% MeOH for 10 min). The peak at 25.5 min (Figure 2.3) was collected and gave palysterol E (1.8 mg). The sub-fraction XXII₂ (Figure 2.2) was also injected to the HPLC and eluted using gradient solvent system of MeOH:DIW (85:15 to 95:5 in 20 min, then 95:5 to 100% MeOH in 15 min). Two quantifiable peaks, at 25.2 and 27.1 min (Figure 2.4), were collected. The first peak gave palysterol G (6.6 mg). While the second peak (XXII₂₁) (41.6 mg) was injected to the HPLC using gradient solvent system of MeOH:DIW (90:10 to 100% MeOH in 10 min). The peak at 16.9 min (Figure 2.5) was collected and gave palysterol C (30 mg).
Figure 2.3: HPLC chromatogram of sub-fraction XXII.

*Conditions:
- **Solvent**: MeOH:DIW 90:10 to 100% MeOH in 20 min
- **Column**: SUPELCO, RP18 (25X2.1 cm)
- **Flow rate**: 1.5 mL/min
- **Detection**: UV at λ220 nm
Figure 2.4: HPLC chromatogram of sub-fraction XXII$^\ast$.  
*Conditions:  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>MeOH:DIW (85:15 to 95:5 in 20 min, then from 95:5 to 100% MeOH in 15 min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Column</td>
<td>SUPELCO, RP18 (25X2.1 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate</td>
<td>1.5 mL/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection</td>
<td>UV at $\lambda$220 nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2.5: HPLC chromatogram of sub-fraction XXII$^\ast$.  
*Conditions:  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>MeOH:DIW (90:10 to 100% MeOH in 10 min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Column</td>
<td>SUPELCO, RP18 (25X2.1 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate</td>
<td>1.5 mL/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection</td>
<td>UV at $\lambda$220 nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.6.2 Isolation of palysterol F

Main fraction XXV (90 mg) was injected to the HPLC and eluted using gradient solvent system of MeOH:DIW (80:20 to 85:15 in 20 min, then to 90:10 in 10 min, then to 100% MeOH in 10 min). The peak (XXV₁) (20 mg), eluted at 32.2 min (Figure 2.6), was re-injected to the HPLC using gradient solvent system of MeOH:DIW (80:20 to 85:15 in 5 min, then to 95:5 in 30 min, then to 100% MeOH in 5 min). The peak eluted at 37.3 min (Figure 2.7) was collected and gave palysterol F (6 mg).

![HPLC chromatogram of main fraction XXV](image_url)

**Figure 2.6: HPLC chromatogram of main fraction XXV*.**

*Conditions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>MeOH:DIW (80:20 to 85:15 in 20 min, then from 85:15 to 90:10 in 10 min, followed by increase to 100% MeOH in 10 min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Column</td>
<td>SUPELCO, RP18 (25X2.1 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate</td>
<td>1.5 mL/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection</td>
<td>UV at λ220 nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.6.3 Isolation of palysterol B

Main fraction XXVI (300 mg) was adsorbed on alumina and fractionated on an open column and eluted using gradient solvent system of DCM/MeOH of increasing polarity (0 to 10% MeOH). The sub-fractions were concentrated and developed in a TLC plate. The sub-fraction XXVI₁ (100 mg) (Figure 2.8) was adsorbed on silica gel and fractionated on a column using solvent system of hexane:EtOAc (55:45). Sub-fraction XXVI₁₋₁ (46 mg) was collected from the column and injected to the HPLC using gradient solvent system of MeOH:DIW (80:20 to 100% MeOH in 30 min). The peaks eluted from 30.0 to 35.5 min (Figure 2.9) were collected and gave Palysterol B (5.3 mg).
**Figure 2.8:** Collective TLC of main fraction XXVI, developed using DCM:MeOH 6%.

**Figure 2.9:** HPLC chromatogram of sub-fraction XXVI$_{1-1}$.

*Conditions:*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solvent</td>
<td>MeOH:DIW (80:20 increasing to 100% MeOH in 30 minutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column</td>
<td>SUPELCO, RP18 (25X2.1 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate</td>
<td>1.5 mL/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection</td>
<td>UV at $\lambda$220 nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.6.4 Isolation of palysterol A and D

Main fraction XXVII (188 mg) was adsorbed on alumina oxide and fractionated on an open column using gradient solvent system of DCM:MeOH (from 0.1% DCM to 3%). The sub-fraction XXVII₁ (35 mg) was collected and then injected to the HPLC using gradient solvent system of MeOH:DIW (80:20 to 100% MeOH in 30 min) to give palysterol D (3.8 mg) at 36.9 min and palysterol A (3.5 mg) at 38.7 min (Figure 2.10).

Figure 2.10: HPLC chromatogram of sub-fraction XXVII₁.

*Conditions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solvent</td>
<td>MeOH:DIW (80:20 to 100% MeOH in 30 min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column</td>
<td>SUPELCO, RP18 (25X2.1 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate</td>
<td>1.5 mL/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection</td>
<td>UV at λ220 nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Scheme 2.1:** A flow diagram for the isolated compounds from *P. tuberculosa*.  
*All HPLC fractionations were done using different mixtures of MeOH:DIW.
2.7 Spectroscopic data of the isolated compounds

**Palysterol A:** white, amorphous powder; IR: 3308, 3054, 2942, 2305, 1640, 1421, 1265, 1051, 896, 739, 705 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\)) and \(^{13}\)C NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): see table 2.3. HRMS \(m/z\) 430.3462 [M]\(^+\) (calcd for C\(_{28}\) H\(_{46}\) O\(_3\), 430.3447).

**Palysterol B:** white, amorphous powder; [\(\alpha\)]\(_{25}^D\) -1.5 (c 0.1, DCM); IR: 3054, 2305, 1422, 1265, 1050, 895, 739, 705 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\)) and \(^{13}\)C NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): see table 2.3. HRMS \(m/z\) 488.3510 [M]\(^+\) (calcd for C\(_{30}\) H\(_{48}\) O\(_5\), 488.3502).

**Palysterol C:** white, amorphous powder; [\(\alpha\)]\(_{25}^D\) -2.5 (c 0.1, DCM); IR: 3944, 3424, 3054, 2962, 2305, 1713, 1421, 1375, 1265, 1049, 896, 739, 705 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\)) and \(^{13}\)C NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): see table 2.3. HRMS \(m/z\) 486.3357 [M]\(^+\) (calcd for C\(_{30}\) H\(_{46}\) O\(_5\), 486.3345).

**Palysterol D:** white, amorphous powder; [\(\alpha\)]\(_{25}^D\) -14 (c 0.1, DCM); IR: 3945, 3691, 3054, 2986, 2305, 1421, 1265, 896, 735, 705 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\)) and \(^{13}\)C NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): see table 2.3. HRMS \(m/z\) 428.3309 [M]\(^+\) (calcd for C\(_{28}\) H\(_{44}\) O\(_3\), 428.3290).

**Palysterol E:** white, amorphous powder; [\(\alpha\)]\(_{25}^D\) -9 (c 0.1, DCM); IR: 3054, 2986, 2305, 1421, 1265, 896, 753, 705 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\)) and \(^{13}\)C NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): see table 2.3. HRMS \(m/z\) 470.3417 [M]\(^+\) (calcd for C\(_{30}\) H\(_{46}\) O\(_4\), 470.3396).

**Palysterol F:** white, amorphous powder; [\(\alpha\)]\(_{25}^D\) 10.5 (c 0.1, DCM); IR: 3944, 3690, 3599, 3054, 2986, 2685, 2410, 2305, 1731, 1604, 1421, 1375, 1265, 1143, 1053, 1019, 896, 735, 705 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\)) and \(^{13}\)C NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): see table 2.3. HRMS \(m/z\) 528.3451 [M]\(^+\) (calcd for C\(_{32}\) H\(_{48}\) O\(_6\), 528.3451).
**Palysterol G**: white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ 18 (c 0.1, DCM); IR: 3463, 3054, 2985, 2305, 1719, 1422, 1374, 1265, 1051, 896, 739, 705 cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$) and $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$): see table 2.3. HRMS $m/z$ 484.3193 [M]$^+$ (calcd for C$_{30}$H$_{44}$O$_5$, 484.3189).

### 2.8 Results and discussion

The isolated palysterols were fully characterized by 1D ($^1$H and $^{13}$C) and 2D NMR experiments, including gCOSY, TOCSY, multiplicity-edited gHSQC and gHMBC. $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR chemical shifts observed are summarized in table 2.3.

#### 2.8.1 Analysis of Palysterol A

The molecular formula of Palysterol A (Figure 2.11) was determined by HRMS as C$_{28}$H$_{46}$O$_3$. The $^1$H NMR spectrum of palysterol A (Figure 2.12) exhibited the signals for five methyls at $\delta$ 1.03 (3H, d, $J = 6.8$ Hz, H-26), 1.02 (3H, d, $J = 6.8$ Hz, H-27), 0.96 (3H, d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, H-21), 1.13 (3H, s, H-19), and 0.67 (3H, s, H-18); an olefinic proton at $\delta$ 5.56 (1H, td, $J = 5.6$, 2.0 Hz, H-6), a methylene terminal group at $\delta$ 4.71 and 4.65 (2H, brd, H-28) and three oxygenated methines at $\delta$ 4.21 (1H, t, $J = 3.3$ Hz, H-1), 4.07 (1H, td, $J = 10.3$, 5.6 Hz, H-11) and 3.97 (1H, tt, $J = 11.6$, 4.7 Hz, H-3). The $^{13}$C NMR spectroscopic data (Figure 2.13) (Table 2.3) together with analysis of its multiplicity-edited gHSQC spectrum (Figure 2.15) indicated 28 carbons, including five methyls, ten methylenes (one olefinic), nine methines (three oxygenated and one olefinic), and four quaternary carbons (two olefinic). From the gHMBC spectrum correlations (Figures 2.16 and 2.17), the structure of this compound was fully established. The relative stereochemistry of palysterol A was mainly assigned by a ROESY spectrum. Relevant correlations showed, mainly between Me-19 ($\delta$ 1.13) and H-1 and H-11, and between H-4a ($\delta$ 2.65) and H-3,
as well as between Me-18 ($\delta$ 0.67) and H-8, H-11 and H-20. These led us to establish the structure as 24-methylenecholest-5-ene-1\(\alpha\),3$\beta$,11$\alpha$-triol. The structure was confirmed by comparing the data with those published by Jagodzinska \textit{et al.} (1985).

\begin{center}
Figure 2.11: Chemical structure of palysterol A.
\end{center}

\begin{center}
Figure 2.12: $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol A.
\end{center}
Figure 2.13: $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol A.

Figure 2.14: gCOSY (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol A.
Figure 2.15: Multiplicity-edited gHSQC (methylene: blue cross peaks; methyl and methine: red cross peaks) (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of palysterol A.

Figure 2.16: Selected gHMBC correlations observed for palysterol A.
Figure 2.17: gHMBC (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of palysterol A.

2.8.2 Analysis of palysterol B

The NMR spectroscopic data (Figures 2.19 and 2.20) of palysterol B (C₃₀H₄₈O₅) (Figure 2.18) showed similar spectra with those of palysterol A. The $^{13}$C NMR data (Table 2.3) together with analysis of its multiplity-edited gHSQC spectrum (Figure 2.22) indicated 30 carbons, including five methyls, eleven methylenes (one oxygenated and one olefinic), nine methines (three oxygenated and one olefinic) and five quaternary carbons (two olefinic and one carbonyl carbons). The COSY spectrum (Figure 2.21) showed correlations between H-2/H-1 and H-3; H-11/H-12; and H-6/H-7. The only differences with palysterol A were the lack of the Me-18 resonance and the presence of an acetoxyl group ($\delta_H$ 2.05 s, 3H; $\delta_C$ 171.64 s and 21.55 q) and a new oxygenated-methylene group.
(δ_H 3.59 s, 2H; δ_C 61.46 t). The gHMBC spectrum (Figures 2.23 and 2.24) showed, in essence, the same relevant correlations of palysterol A (Figure 2.17). New correlations between the acetoxy-carbonyl carbon and H-1 and between the oxygenated-methylene carbon and H-12, H-14 and H-17 were observed. Finally, the ROESY spectrum (Figure 2.25) showed correlations between H-18 (δ_H 3.59) and H-8 (δ_H 1.45), H-11 (δ_H 3.99) and H-20 (δ_H 1.60). From the data above, the structure was identified as 24-methylenecholest-5-ene-1α,3β,11α,18-tetrol 1-acetate. To the best of our knowledge, palysterol B was not isolated before from any other natural source.

Figure 2.18: Chemical structure of palysterol B.

Figure 2.19: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of palysterol B.
Figure 2.20: $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol B.

Figure 2.21: gCOSY (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol B.
Figure 2.22: Multiplicity-edited gHSQC (methylene: blue cross peaks; methyl and methine: red cross peaks) (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol B.

Figure 2.23: Selected gHMBC correlations observed for palysterol B.
Figure 2.24: gHMBC (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of palysterol B.

Figure 2.25: ROESY (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of palysterol B.
2.8.3 Analysis of palysterol C

The $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR (Figures 2.27 and 2.28) spectra of palysterol C (C$_{30}$H$_{46}$O$_5$) (Figure 2.26) was similar to those of palysterol B. The disappearance of the Me-18 resonance and the presence of an acetoxy group ($\delta$$_H$ 2.02 s, 3H; $\delta$$_C$ 171.74 s and 21.53 q). In addition, a new formyl group ($\delta$$_H$ 9.77 s, 2H; $\delta$$_C$ 206.45 d) was observed. The gHMBC spectrum (Figure 2.31) showed the same relevant correlations of those showed for palysterol A (Figure 2.17) as well as some new relevant correlations between the acetoxy carbonyl carbon and H-1 and between the formyl carbon and H-12, H-14 and H-17. The COSY spectrum (Figure 2.29) showed correlations between H-2/H-1 and H-3; H-11/H-12; and H-6/H-7. Finally, the ROESY spectrum (Figure 2.32) showed correlations between the aldehyde proton ($\delta$ 9.77) and H-8, H-11 and H-20. From the data above, the structure was identified as 24-methylene-1α,3β,11α-trihydroxycholest-5-en-18-al 1-acetate. To the best of our knowledge, palysterol C is isolated, in this study, for the first time from nature.

Figure 2.26: Chemical structure of palysterol C.
Figure 2.27: $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysteryl C.

Figure 2.28: $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysteryl C.
Figure 2.29: gCOSY (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol C.

Figure 2.30: Multiplicity-edited gHSQC (methylene: blue cross peaks; methyl and methine: red cross peaks) (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol C.
Figure 2.31: gHMBC (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of palysterol C.

Figure 2.32: ROESY (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of palysterol C.
2.8.4 Analysis of palysterol D

The molecular formula of palysterol D (Figure 2.33) was determined by HRMS as C\textsubscript{28}H\textsubscript{44}O\textsubscript{3}. The \textsuperscript{13}C NMR spectroscopic data (Figure 2.35) (Table 2.3) together with analysis of its multiplity-edited gHSQC spectrum (Figure 2.37) indicated 28 carbons, including five methyls, seven methylenes (one olefinic), twelve methines (three oxygenated and three olefinic) and four quaternary carbons (two olefinic). Its 1D NMR spectral data were similar to those of palysterol A except for the presence of two double bonds in the side-chain. The COSY spectrum (Figure 2.36) showed correlations between H-2/H-1 and H-3; H-11/H-12; H-6/H-7; and H-22/H-23 and H20. Additionally, the ROESY spectrum (Figure 2.40) showed correlations between Me-18/H-11, H-20 and H-8. The key gHMBC (Figure 2.38) correlations of the vinylic protons (H-22) with C-17, C-20, C-21, C-24 and H-23 with C-20, C-24, C-25 and C-28, led to the placement of the two double bonds at C-22 and C-24 of the side-chain, respectively. Thus, the structure of the palysterol D was proposed as Cholesta-5,22(23),24(28)-trien-1\(\alpha\),3\(\beta\),11\(\alpha\)-triol. To the best of our knowledge, palysterol D is isolated, in this study, for the first time from nature.

![Figure 2.33: Chemical structure of palysterol D.](image-url)
Figure 2.34: $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol D.

Figure 2.35: $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol D.
Figure 2.36: gCOSY (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol D.

Figure 2.37: Multiplicity-edited gHSQC (methylene: blue cross peaks; methyl and methine: red cross peaks) (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol D.
Figure 2.38: Selected gHMBC correlations observed for palysterol D.

Figure 2.39: gHMBC (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of palysterol D.
2.8.5 Analysis of palysterol E

$^{13}$C NMR spectra (Figure 2.43) of palysterol E ($C_{30}H_{46}O_4$) (Figure 2.41) displayed 30 carbon signals. The $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR (Figures 2.42 and 2.43) spectra of palysterol E are consistent with those of palysterol D (Table 2.3). The only significant difference was the presence of an acetoxyl group ($\delta_H 2.06$ s, $3H$; $\delta_C 171.71$ s and $21.56$ q). The COSY spectrum (Figure 2.44) showed correlations between H-2/H-1 and H-3; H-11/H-12; H-6/H-7; and H-22/H-23 and H20. Additionally, the ROESY spectrum (Figure 2.47) showed correlations between Me-18/H-11, H-20 and H-8. The gHMBC correlation between the acetoxy carbonyl carbon and H-1 ($\delta_H 5.70$) confirmed the position of the acetyl group on C-1 (Figure 2.46). From the data above, the structure was identified as...
Cholesta-5,22(23),24(28)-trien-1α,3β,11α-triol 1-acetate. To the best of our knowledge, palysterol E was not isolated before from other natural sources.

**Figure 2.41:** Chemical structure of palysterol E.

**Figure 2.42:** $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol E.
Figure 2.43: $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol E.

Figure 2.44: gCOSY (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol E.
Figure 2.45: Multiplicity-edited gHSQC (methylenes: blue cross peaks; methyl and methines: red cross peaks) (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of palysterol E.

Figure 2.46: gHMBC (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of palysterol E.
2.8.6 Analysis of palysterol F

Palysterol F (Figure 2.48) possessed the molecular formula C\textsubscript{32}H\textsubscript{48}O\textsubscript{6}, as determined by its HRMS. The \textsuperscript{13}C NMR spectroscopic data (Figure 2.50) (Table 2.3) together with analysis of its gHSQC spectrum (Figure 2.51) indicated 32 carbons, including six methyls, eight methylenes (one oxygenated and one olefinic), twelve methines (three oxygenated and three olefinic), and six quaternary carbons (two olefinic and two carbonyl carbons). The significant differences with D were the presence of two acetoxyl groups (\(\delta\text{H} 2.06\ s, 3H; \delta\text{C} 171.87\ s\) and 21.58 q) and (\(\delta\text{H} 2.10\ s, 3H; \delta\text{C} 171.29\ s\) and 21.17 q) and of a new oxygenated-methylene group (\(\delta\text{H} 4.02,\ 3.96,\ 2H; \delta\text{C} 63.06\ t\)). Comprehensive analysis of the gHMBC spectra (Figure 2.52) suggested that the two acetoxyl groups were located on C-1 and C-18. In addition, the gHMBC correlations

![Figure 2.47: ROESY (500 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) of palysterol E.](image-url)
between the oxygenated-methylene carbon and H-12/C-18, H-14/C-18 and H-17/C-18, combined with ROESY correlations between the methylene protons H-8, H-11 and H-20, confirmed the structure as Cholesta-5,22(23),24(28)-trien-1α,3β,11α,18-tetrol 1,18-diacetate. To the best of our knowledge, palysterol F was not isolated before from other natural sources.

Figure 2.48: Chemical structure of palysterol F.

Figure 2.49: $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol F.
Figure 2.50: $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysteryl F.

Figure 2.51: Multiplicity-edited gHSQC (methylene: blue cross peaks; methyl and methine: red cross peaks) (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysteryl F.
Figure 2.52: gHMBC (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of palysterol F.

2.8.7 Analysis of palysterol G

The molecular formula of palysterol G (Figure 2.53) was determined by HRMS as C₃₀H₄₄O₅. The ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data (Figure 2.55) (Table 2.3) together with analysis of its multiplity-edited gHSQC spectrum (Figure 2.57) indicated 30 carbons, including five methyls, seven methylenes (one olefinic), thirteen methines (three oxygenated, three olefinic and one formyl carbon) and five quaternary carbons (two olefinic and one carbonyl carbons). The COSY spectrum (Figure 2.56) showed correlations between H-2/H-1 and H-3; H-11/H-12; H-6/H-7; and H-22/H-23 and H20. Additionally, the ROESY spectrum (Figure 2.59) showed correlations between Me-18/H-11, H-20 and H-8. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR (Figures 2.54 and 2.55) spectra of palysterol G are consistent with those of palysterol C (Table 2.3). The only significant difference was
the presence of extra double bond C-22/C-23 at 5.49 dd ($\delta_C$ 133.6) and 5.92 d ($\delta_C$ 130.87). The presence of a formyl group ($\delta_H$ 9.77 s, 2H; $\delta_C$ 206.45 d), combined with the same gHMBC and ROESY correlations that those for palysterol C, confirmed the structure as Cholesta-5,22(23),24(28)-trien-1α,3β,11α,tri hydroxy-18-al 1-acetate. To the best of our knowledge, palysterol G was not isolated before from other natural sources.

![Chemical structure of palysterol G.](image)

**Figure 2.53:** Chemical structure of palysterol G.

**Figure 2.54:** $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol G.
Figure 2.55: $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol G.

Figure 2.56: gCOSY (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol G.
Figure 2.57: Multiplicity-edited gHSQC (methylene: blue cross peaks; methyl and methine: red cross peaks) (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol G.

Figure 2.58: gHMBC (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of palysterol G.
According to the literature, all the isolated compounds are new structures, except palysterol A, which was reported by Jagodzinska et al. (1985). However, those assignments were determined by comparison with other sterols with similar structure and configuration.
Table 2.3: $^1$H (500 MHz) and $^{13}$C (125 MHz) NMR spectral data of palysterols A-G*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{13}$C</td>
<td>$^1$H</td>
<td>$^{13}$C</td>
<td>$^1$H</td>
<td>$^{13}$C</td>
<td>$^1$H</td>
<td>$^{13}$C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>74.49</td>
<td>4.21 (13.1)</td>
<td>78.13</td>
<td>5.70 dd (3.9, 2.2)</td>
<td>78.10</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>74.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.31</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>35.57</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>35.36</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>38.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>66.39</td>
<td>3.97 t (11.6, 4.7)</td>
<td>66.75</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>66.53</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>66.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>42.16</td>
<td>2.39 dd (12.3, 5.0, 2.6)</td>
<td>41.58</td>
<td>2.39, 2.34</td>
<td>41.67</td>
<td>2.34, 2.31</td>
<td>42.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>138.63</td>
<td>137.15</td>
<td>137.21</td>
<td>138.61</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>136.97</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>124.48</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>124.22</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>123.55</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>124.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>32.46</td>
<td>1.99 dtt (15.8, 5.3, 2.7), 1.68</td>
<td>31.51</td>
<td>1.96, 1.51</td>
<td>30.55</td>
<td>2.03, 1.51</td>
<td>32.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>31.75</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>31.79</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>32.96</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>31.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>48.23</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>48.78</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>48.16</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>48.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>41.83</td>
<td>41.42</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>42.95</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>43.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>68.10</td>
<td>4.07 td (10.3, 5.6)</td>
<td>68.68</td>
<td>3.99 td (11.0, 4.2)</td>
<td>68.68</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>68.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>50.72</td>
<td>2.33 dd (11.9, 5.6), 1.26</td>
<td>46.12</td>
<td>2.66 dd (12.3, 4.3)</td>
<td>44.50</td>
<td>2.70 dd (12.4, 4.7)</td>
<td>50.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>42.82</td>
<td>47.52</td>
<td>45.98</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>42.84</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>41.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>55.52</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>55.78</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>55.73*</td>
<td>1.50*</td>
<td>56.40*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>24.27</td>
<td>1.62, 1.06</td>
<td>23.78</td>
<td>1.61, 1.01</td>
<td>24.32</td>
<td>1.85, 1.67</td>
<td>24.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>28.35</td>
<td>1.91, 1.30</td>
<td>28.19</td>
<td>1.93, 1.43</td>
<td>29.14</td>
<td>2.18, 1.61</td>
<td>28.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>58.63</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>56.12</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>55.64*</td>
<td>1.60*</td>
<td>55.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>12.53</td>
<td>0.67 s</td>
<td>61.46</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>206.45</td>
<td>9.77</td>
<td>12.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19.24</td>
<td>1.13 s</td>
<td>18.63</td>
<td>1.26 s</td>
<td>18.54</td>
<td>1.12 s</td>
<td>19.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>35.61</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>35.90</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>36.34</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>40.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td>0.96 d (6.6)</td>
<td>19.25</td>
<td>1.07 d (6.4)</td>
<td>18.16</td>
<td>0.92 d (6.5)</td>
<td>20.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>34.57</td>
<td>1.54, 1.15</td>
<td>34.66</td>
<td>1.52, 1.16</td>
<td>34.52</td>
<td>1.53, 1.13</td>
<td>135.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>30.87</td>
<td>2.09, 1.87</td>
<td>30.59</td>
<td>2.08, 1.88</td>
<td>31.20</td>
<td>2.02, 1.83</td>
<td>129.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>156.65</td>
<td>156.77</td>
<td>156.09</td>
<td>152.89</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>152.92</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>33.79</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>33.88</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>33.75</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>29.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>21.85</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>21.86</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>21.77</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>22.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>21.99</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>21.97</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>21.89</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>22.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>106.04</td>
<td>4.71, 4.65</td>
<td>105.95</td>
<td>4.71, 4.65</td>
<td>106.24</td>
<td>4.71, 4.62</td>
<td>109.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAc-1</td>
<td>21.55</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>21.53</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>21.56</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>21.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAc-18</td>
<td>171.64</td>
<td>171.74</td>
<td>171.71</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>171.87</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>171.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Measured in CDC3 at 25°C. Chemical shifts in ppm and coupling constants in Hz.
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CHAPTER THREE

ANTICANCER EVALUATION OF *Palythoa tuberculosa*

3.1 Introduction

The literature indicates that *P. tuberculosa* possesses several highly toxic compounds to both animals (Wiles *et al*., 1974) and humans (Uemura, 1991), with marked cytotoxic effects (Quinn *et al*., 1974). Based on this, the study aims to evaluate the anticancer activity of this zoanthid on several human cell lines which can be later exploited in treatment of cancer. This chapter entails evaluating the cytotoxic effects of three of the isolated novel compounds; palysterols B, C and G, and the known compound palysterol A isolated from *P. tuberculosa*. The anticancer evaluation was done on three cancer cell lines namely; MCF-7 (human breast adenocarcinoma), HeLa (human cervical carcinoma), HT-29 (human colon adenocarcinoma) and one non-cancerous human cell line KMST-6 (fibroblast) *via* colorimetric *in vitro* assay. Although several compounds were isolated from *P. tuberculosa* in this study, yet the anticancer evaluation was limited to those compounds isolated in significant amounts. The continuous understanding of the apoptotic pathways, and its relation with the progression of cancer in the body generated the drive to identify therapeutic agents that specifically induce cell death *via* apoptosis. Pro-apoptotic agents are expected to act specifically on tumour cells with minimal toxicity on normal cells (Nagle *et al*., 2004), and consequently induce less side effects. Thus, this chapter also discusses preliminary work towards understanding the mode of action of the cytotoxic agents, by evaluating the potential of the compounds to induce apoptosis in cultured human cancer cells.
3.2 Materials

3.2.1 General reagents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C2-Ceramide</td>
<td>Calbiochem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM)</td>
<td>Lonza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foetal bovine serum (FBS)</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin-streptomycin</td>
<td>Lonza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate buffered saline (PBS)</td>
<td>Lonza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypan blue stain 0.4%</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>Gibco</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.2 Commercial kits

Apoptosis Kit – Annexin V Alexa Fluor® 488                   | Molecular probes
Cell proliferation reagent (WST-1)                          | Roche                      |

3.2.3 Instruments

12 well multiwell culture plates                             | Nest biotechnology
24 well multiwell culture plates                             | Sigma-Aldrich
96 well multiwell culture plates                             | Sigma-Aldrich
Cell Culture flasks 25 cm²                                    | SPL Life Sciences
Centrifuge 5417R                                             | Eppendorf AG
3.3 Cell culture

All cells (Table 3.1) were cultured in DMEM medium containing foetal bovine serum (10% v/v) and antibiotic (1% v/v).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Line</th>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>Species Origin</th>
<th>Growth Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hela</td>
<td>Cervical adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>DMEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT-29</td>
<td>Colon adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>DMEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KMST-6</td>
<td>Fibroblast</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>DMEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCF-7</td>
<td>Breast adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>DMEM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cryogenic vials containing the cells were taken from the -150°C fridge and slowly thawed to 37°C. The cells were plated into 25 cm² flasks and cultured in humidified CO₂ incubator (5% CO₂) at 37°C until confluency was reached. The culture medium was replaced every 48 hr.
3.3.1 Trypsinization of cells

After reaching 90% of confluency, the culture medium was discarded and cells were washed with 5 mL PBS and 2 mL of pre-warmed trypsin was added for the purpose of trypsinization. The flasks were incubated at 37°C until cells detached from the bottom of flasks. Two volumes of growth medium were then added to deactivate the action of trypsin and the mixtures were transferred into sterile 15 mL centrifuge tubes. The tubes were then centrifuged at 2500 rpm for three minutes using Sorvall TC6 centrifuge at room temperature and the cell pellets were recovered after discarding the supernatant. The cell pellets were either re-suspended in growth medium or growth medium containing 10% DMSO depending on whether the cells were going to be seeded into new flasks or whether the cells are going to be stored at -150°C.

3.3.2 Storage of cells

After attaining the cells pellets after trypsinization as mentioned in section 3.3.1, the cells pellets are re-suspended in growth medium containing 10% DMSO. The suspension was then transferred to labelled cryogenic vials and stored at -150°C.

3.3.3 Counting of cells

Cell counts were performed as per the manufacturer’s instructions using Countess™ automated cell counter (Invitrogen).

3.4 Preparation of stock solutions of the compounds

The compounds were weighed and dissolved in DMSO. Then the compounds were diluted in complete growth medium to reach concentration of 1000 μg/mL of each
compound in growth medium. The final concentration of DMSO after dilution was 1% in 100 μl.

### 3.5 Evaluation of the effects of treatments on the morphology of cells

All cells (listed in Table 3.1) were monitored for their confluence using Nikon inverted light microscope. In order to document the morphological characteristics of treated and untreated cells, cells were cultured in 24 well multiwell culture plates to 90% confluence. The cells were treated with palysterols B and G at a concentration equivalent to their IC<sub>50</sub> values. The negative control cells were left untreated. The plate was incubated at 37 °C in a humidified CO<sub>2</sub> incubator. After 24 hours, the cells were photographed at 20X magnification using Leica EC3 digital camera.

### 3. 6 Cell proliferation assay (WST-1) method

The growth inhibitory effects of the methanolic extract of <i>P. tuberculosa</i> and some of the isolated compounds were evaluated using the tetrazolium salt WST-1 colorimetric assay, as recommended by the manufacturer (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) (Liu <i>et al.</i>, 1995). The cells were cultured to 90% confluence and trypsinized as described in sections 3.3 and 3.3.1. The cells were seeded in a 96-well multiwell culture plates at a density of 2.0 x 10<sup>4</sup> cells/100μL per well. The plates were incubated at 37 °C in a humidified CO<sub>2</sub> incubator for 24 hours, then the culture medium was removed and the cells were treated with increasing concentrations (12.5, 25, 50, 75 and 100 μg/mL) (The micro molar concentrations for the isolated compounds could not be calculated, since the molecular weights of the compounds were not yet known at the time of anticancer evaluation) of the total extract or the isolated compounds. As a positive control, the cells
were treated with 200 µM C2-Ceramide, a known inducer of apoptotic cell death (Obeid et al., 1993). Since DMSO is known to be toxic to cells (Da Violante et al., 2002), an additional control was set up to evaluate its toxicity. The cells were therefore also treated with 1% DMSO. Untreated cells were used as a negative control. All treatments were done in triplicate. After 24 hours, WST-1 reagent was added (10 µL) to the wells, and the plates were incubated at 37 °C for another four hours. The optical density/absorbance of the wells was determined using a BMG Labtech POLARstar Omega microplate reader, the plates were read at 430 nm, and 630 nm was used as a reference wavelength. The percentage of cell viability was calculated by comparing the absorbance of the test samples with the absorbance of the control (untreated) samples using the following calculation:

\[
\text{% Cell Viability} = \frac{\text{sample absorbance} - \text{cell free sample blank}}{\text{control absorbance}} \times 100
\]

The selectivity index (SI) of the compounds was calculated according to the following:

\[
\text{SI} = \frac{\text{The half maximal inhibitory concentration of KMST-6}}{\text{The half maximal inhibitory concentration of any cell line}}
\]
3.7 Annexin V apoptosis assay

This assay was done as described by the manufacturer (Invitrogen). In brief, the cells were seeded in 24-well multiwell culture plate at concentration of $2.0 \times 10^5$ cells/500μL per well, then the plate was incubated at 37 °C in a humidified CO$_2$ incubator for 24 hours. After removing the media from the wells, the cells were then treated with a concentration equivalent to the $IC_{50}$ values for the selected compounds for 24 hours. The cells were also treated with 100 μM C2-ceramide as positive control, while negative control cells were left untreated. Following the 24 hours treatment, the cells were recovered by gentle trypsinization as described in section 3.3.1. The cell pellet was re-suspended in 100 μL 1X Annexin binding buffer (ABB) and transferred to 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes, then 5 μL of Annexin V was added to each sample and mixed well. The cell suspensions were incubated in the dark. After 20 minutes, the samples were centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 3 min using Centrifuge 5417R, the cell pellets were re-suspended in 100 μL of 1X Annexin binding buffer. Propidium iodide (1 μL) was added to each sample and mixed. The cells were incubated for 5 min and 25 μL of each sample was transferred to Tali® cellular analysis slides. Fluorescence resulting from either Annexin V or PI uptake was analyzed by Tali® Image-Based Cytometer using apoptosis settings.

3.8 Statistical analysis

The data presented are means ± SD obtained from at least three independent experiments. Differences between the means were considered to be significant if $p < 0.05$ according to Prism’s two-way ANOVA. All calculations were performed using MS Excel 2007.
3.9 Results

3.9.1 Evaluating the cytotoxic effects of the total extract of *P. tuberculosa*

Four human cell lines (KMST-6, MCF-7, HeLa and HT-29) were treated for 24 hours with the total methanolic extract of *P. Tuberculosa* as described in section 3.6. The cell viability following treatment was determined using WST-1 assay. The results (Figure 3.1) show a dose dependent decrease in the viability of the cells. The viability of all cell lines was unaffected at 12.5 μg/mL, while no viability was observed at the highest dose of 100 μg/mL. KMST-6 cells showed more resistance to the effect of the lipophilic extract as its viability was only affected at the highest concentration (100 μg/mL). Evidently, at concentration of 75 μg/mL the KMST-6 cells showed significant (p<0.001) viability compared to other cancerous cell lines. At concentrations of 25 and 50 μg/mL, HeLa and MCF-7 cells appeared to be more susceptible to the effect of the lipophilic extract. HeLa was most affected (p<0.001) compared to other cell lines at concentration 25 μg/mL, while MCF-7 was most susceptible (p<0.001) at 50 μg/mL compared to KMST-6 and HT-29.
3.9.2 Evaluating the cytotoxic effects of the isolated compounds

Although several compounds were isolated from P. Tuberculosa in this study only four palysterols A, B, C and G were selected to assess if these compounds have any cytotoxic effects on KMST-6, MCF-7, HeLa and HT-29 cells. The cells were treated for 24 hours with the four compounds as described in section 3.6 and cell viability was assessed using the WST-1 assay. The IC₅₀ and SI values for these compounds were determined (Table 3.2). Palysterols A and C did not demonstrate any cytotoxicity effects in the four cell lines used in this study. Palysterol G, however, was the most toxic compound with 40, 59, 61, and 62 μg/mL (= 82, 122, 126 and 128 μM) for MCF-7, HT-29, HeLa and KMST-6 cells, respectively. Palysterol B demonstrated selective toxicity towards HT-29 and MCF-
7 cells with IC$_{50}$ values of 83 and 87 μg/mL (170 and 178 μM), respectively. This compound was not toxic towards HeLa and KMST-6 cells and therefore the SI for palysterol B in MCF-7 and HT-29 could not be accurately determined.

**Table 3.2:** IC$_{50}$ (μg/mL) and SI values for palysterols A, B, C and G as determined by WST-1 assay.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>MCF-7</th>
<th>HeLa</th>
<th>HT-29</th>
<th>KMST-6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IC$_{50}$</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$</td>
<td>SI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palysterol A</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palysterol B</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>&gt;1.1</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palysterol C</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palysterol G</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.9.3 Evaluating the apoptotic activity of the isolated compounds

Only palysterols B and G were selected for this assay as they showed higher cytotoxic activity compared to palysterols A and C. The lowest IC$_{50}$ concentrations as determined by the WST-1 assay of both compounds were used i.e. 82 μM for palysterol G and 170 μM for palysterol B. These concentrations were used to determine if both compounds can induce apoptosis in cancer cells. The four cell lines were treated with the two compounds and the level of apoptosis was assessed using the Annexin V apoptosis assay as described in section 3.7. The result showed that only palysterol G was able to induce significant levels of apoptosis (Figure 3.2). The compound significantly (p<0.001) induced apoptosis in MCF-7 cells compared to the other cell lines used. Palysterol G induced apoptosis in about 75% of MCF-7 cells. Also, palysterol G exhibited low cytotoxic activity on
KMST-6 cells (p<0.05) when compared to the negative control. Apoptosis induction was also observed under the microscope for both compounds. Notably, palysterol G was showing high count of apoptotic cells in MCF-7 cells (Figure 3.3).

**Figure 3.2:** Percentage of live, dead and apoptotic cells induced by palysterols B and G on KMST-6, MCF-7, HeLa and HT-29 cell lines.

a*: statistical significance (p<0.05) of palysterol G compared to negative control in KMST-6.

b***: statistical significance (p<0.001) for palysterol G compared to palysterol B, negative and positive controls.
Figure 3.3: Photographs showing the apoptotic effect of the two compounds, palysterols B and G on MCF-7 cells showing apoptotic cells (yellow arrows). Notably, apoptotic cells covering the field of MCF-7 when treated with palysterol G. The morphological appearance of the labelled cells depicts the description of apoptotic cells by Lawen (2003), who stated that cells during early apoptosis become rounded up, shrink and lose contact with their neighbouring cells (Lawen, 2003).
3.10 Discussion

Polyhydroxysterols or oxysterols are suggested to be potent cell replication inhibitors, and may have a role in regulation of cell proliferation, thus, are considered to have the potential to treat cancer (Sarma et al., 2009). In this study, palysterols B and G were found to be the more cytotoxic amongst the compounds tested. Palysterol G showed high activity against breast adenocarcinoma cells (MCF-7) with an IC$_{50}$ value of 82 μM, while palysterol B demonstrated highest cell death on colon adenocarcinoma cell line (HT-29) with IC$_{50}$ = 170 μM. Palysterol G exhibited some degree of selectivity against cancerous cell lines, showed by results obtained by both WST-1 and Annexin V apoptosis assays.

The WST-1 assay measures cell viability as a function of the cell’s metabolic activity. There are several different types of cell death that can lead to loss of cell viability as discussed in section 1.1.3.7. Apoptosis is one of these types of cell death and plays a key role in the prevention of cancer. One of the characteristics of cancer cells is their resistance to apoptosis (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2000). The targeted activation of apoptosis in cancer cells is therefore a feasible therapeutic strategy to treat cancer. It is for that reason that we also investigated if the cytotoxicity of these compounds was due to the activation of apoptosis. We found that palysterol B did not induce significant apoptosis levels in any of the cell lines tested in this study, while palysterol G induced significant (P<0.001) levels of apoptosis in MCF-7 cells, but not in any of the other cell lines.
There are many assumptions with regards to structural activity relationship of oxysterols. The nature side chain is suggested to play a key role in determining the activity of the oxysterols (Liu et al., 2013). This comes in agreement with our findings, as the unsaturation at \( \Delta^{22} \) which is present only in palysterol G may greatly contribute to its activity, in fact, it is the only structural difference between palysterol G and the inactive palysterol C. Besides the side chain effect, the nature of substituent in C18 may also has an effect on the cytotoxicity [CHO in palysterol G > CH\(_2\)OH (palysterol B) > CH\(_3\) (palysterol A)]. In addition, the acetylation of 1-OH may also play role in the cytotoxicity [OAc (palysterol G) and (palysterol B) > OH (palysterol A)], which was also suggested by Liu and co-workers (2013).

Many oxysterols were found to act as pro-apoptotic in various *in vitro* systems, yet a universal mechanism by which these metabolites use to induce apoptosis remains to be clarified (Lordan et al., 2009). In their article, Lordan and co-workers, stated that the apoptotic studies of the polyhydroxylated sterols revealed that apoptosis was mainly triggered using intrinsic pathways, though little proof of activation of the death receptor pathway was established (Lordan et al., 2009). Morrissey and Kiely (2006) suggested that pro-apoptotic action of oxysterols might be due to an increase in intracellular levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS), altering several signalling pathways and gene expression or by the induction of cell proteins modification. Furthermore, Olkkonen and Hynynen (2009) stated that common oxysterols are mostly known to interfere with cell membrane structure and cellular receptors. From the above, it is recommended that more mechanistic assays, such as Intracellular ROS, caspase 3/7 and 9 measurements and
topoisomerase inhibition determination, should be done in order to determine which apoptotic pathway is activated by palysterol G.


