





















































































































































































































### **APPENDIX 3: INTERVIEW PROTOCOL FOR UNIVERSITY ANAGEMENT**

#### **KEY INFORMANT**

1. What is your view on the traditional way scholarly communication/ research output is disseminated currently?
2. How do you think the digital libraries have influenced scholarly communication? Do you think it has had a positive or negative effect on scholarly communication generally?
3. What is your view on making all scholarly communication available to the public?
4. Has an institution or group of academics influenced your thinking in this regard?
5. What is the current view of management towards institutional repositories?
6. What further plans are envisaged for the wider dissemination of [your] scholarly communication, using the internet, specifically through an institutional repository (IR)?
7. In your opinion, what kind of information should be part of an IR?
8. What factors, would you say, make up a successful IR?
9. What factors are currently hampering [your institution's] plans for an IR?
10. Where will the funding come from for an IR?
11. What role should the library play in establishing and maintaining an IR?
12. How do you envisage changing the traditional mindset of the way academics view the digital dissemination of scholarly communication?
13. Do you envisage establishing collaboration with another higher education institution for the setting up and/ or maintenance of the IR?



## REFERENCES

- Academy of Science of South Africa (ASSAf). 2006. *Report on a strategic approach to research publishing in South Africa*. Pretoria: Academy of Science of South Africa.
- Allard, S; Mack, T. & Feltner-Reichert, M. 2005. The librarian's role in institutional repositories: a content analysis of the literature. *Reference services review*, 33(3): 325-336.
- Anuradha, K. 2005. Design and development of institutional repositories: A case study. *The international information & library review* 37: 169-178.
- Bailey, C.W. 2006. *Institutional repositories spec kit 292*. Washington, DC: Association of Research Libraries.
- Bailey, C.W. 2005. The role of reference librarians in institutional repositories. *Reference services review* 33(3): 259-267.
- Barton, M. & Waters, M. 2004. Creating an institutional repository: LEADIRS workbook. Massachusetts: MIT libraries. Available at: <http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/26698> [online] [accessed on 8 July 2007].
- Basefsky, S. 2009. The end of institutional repositories & the beginning of social academic research service: An enhanced role for libraries. Available at: <http://www.llrx.com/node/2177/print> [online] [accessed 30 June 2009].
- Bell, J. and Lewis, S. 2006. Using OAI-PMH and METS for exporting metadata and digital objects between repositories. *Program: electronic library and information systems* 40(3): 268-276.
- Bell, S. Fried Foster, N. & Gibbons, S. 2005. Reference librarians and the success of institutional repositories. *Reference services review*, 33(3): 283-290.
- Brainin, J. 2004. Institutional repositories. *Encyclopaedia of library and information science*. Marcel Dekker, pp 1-11. Available at: <http://www.dekker.com/servlet/product/DOI/101081EELIS120020335> [online] [accessed on 28 March 2008].
- Bruce, C. 1997. *The seven faces of information literacy*. Adelaide: Auslib Press.
- Budapest Open Access Initiative. 2002. *FAQs*. Available at: [www.earlham.edu/~peters/fos/boaifaq.htm](http://www.earlham.edu/~peters/fos/boaifaq.htm) [online] [accessed on 8 July 2007].
- Buehler, M. & Boateng, A. 2005. The evolving impact of institutional repositories on reference librarians. *Reference services review*, 33(3): 291-300.
- Cape Higher Education Consortium (CHEC): <http://www.chec.ac.za/index.asp> [accessed on 19 August 2008].
- Cape Library Consortium (CALICO): <http://www.calico.ac.za/index.asp> [accessed on 19 August 2008].

- Carr, L. & Harnad, S. 2005. *Keystroke economy: A study of the time and effort involved in self-archiving*. (Unpublished). Available at: <http://eprints.ecs.soton.ac.uk/10688/> [online] [accessed on 28 March 2008].
- Chan, D.L.H.; Kwok, C.S.Y. & Yip, S.K.F. 2005. Changing roles of reference librarians: the case of the HKUST institutional repository. *Reference services review*. 33(3): 268-282.
- Correia, A.R. & Teixeira, J.C. 2005. Reforming scholarly publishing and knowledge communication: from the advent of the scholarly journal to the challenges of open access. *Online information review*. 29(4): 349-364.
- Creswell, J.W. 2007. *Qualitative inquiry & research design: choosing among five approaches* (2nd ed). Thousand Oaks, London, New Delhi: Sage publications.
- Creswell, J.W. 2003. *Qualitative inquiry & research design: choosing among five traditions*. Thousand Oaks, London, New Delhi: Sage publications.
- Crow, R. (2002a). The case for institutional repositories: a SPARC position paper. Washington, DC: Scholarly Publishing and Academic Resources Coalition. Available at: [http://www.arl.org/sparc/IR/IR\\_Final\\_Release\\_102.pdf](http://www.arl.org/sparc/IR/IR_Final_Release_102.pdf) [accessed on 8 June 2007].
- Crow, R. (2002b). SPARC institutional repository: Checklist and resource guide. Washington, DC: The Scholarly Publishing & Academic Resources Coalition. Available at: [http://www.arl.org/sparc/IR/IR\\_Guide.html](http://www.arl.org/sparc/IR/IR_Guide.html) [accessed on 8 June 2007].
- Davis, P. & Connolly, M. 2007. Institutional repositories: evaluating the reasons for non-use of Cornell University's installation of DSpace. *D-Lib magazine*, March/April 2007, 13(3/4). Available at: <http://www.dlib.org/dlib/march07/davis/03davis.html> [online] [accessed on 28 April 2007].
- Dowler, L. (editor). 1997. *Gateways to knowledge: the role of academic libraries in teaching, learning, and research*. Cambridge: The MIT press.
- Ferreira, M.; Baptista, A.; Rodrigues, E & Saraiva, R. 2008. Carrots and sticks: some ideas on how to create a successful institutional repository. *D-Lib magazine* January/ February 2008 14(1/2). Available at: [www.dlib.org/dlib/january08/ferreira/01ferreira.html](http://www.dlib.org/dlib/january08/ferreira/01ferreira.html) [accessed on 31 March 2008].
- Foster, N. & Gibbons, S. 2005. Understanding faculty to improve content recruitment for institutional repositories. *D-Lib magazine* January 2005 11(1). Available at: [www.dlib.org/dlib/january05/foster/01foster.html](http://www.dlib.org/dlib/january05/foster/01foster.html) [accessed on 28 April 2007].

- Fullard, A. 2007. South African responses to open access publishing: a survey of the research community. *South African journal of library and information science*, 73(1): 40-50.
- Gadd, E., Oppenheim, C. & Proberts, S. 2003. The intellectual property rights issues facing self-archiving. *D-Lib magazine*, September 2003 9(9). Available at: <http://www.dlib.org/dlib/september03/gadd/09gadd.html> [online] [access on 31 March 2008].
- Hart, G. 2010. Job satisfaction in a South African academic library in transition. *Journal of academic librarianship*, 36(1): 53-62.
- Hart, G. 2005. *The readiness of public libraries in South Africa for information literacy education. The case of Mpumalanga Province*. Unpublished PhD dissertation, University of Cape Town.
- Horwood, L.; Sullivan, S.; Young, E. & Garner, J. 2004. OAI compliant institutional repositories and the role of library staff. *Library management*, 25(4/5): 170-176.
- Jenkins, B.; Breakstone, E. & Hixson, C. 2005. Content in, content out: the dual roles of the reference librarian in institutional repositories. *Reference services review*, 33(3): 312-324.
- Joint, N. 2006. Institutional repositories, self-archiving and the role of the library: editorial. *Library review*, 55(2): 81-84.
- Jones, R.; Andrew, T. & MacColl, J. 2006. *The institutional repository*. Oxford: Chandos Publishing.
- Klein, H K & Myers, M D. 1999. A set of principles for conducting and evaluating interpretive field studies in information systems. *MIS quarterly*, 23(1): 67-94.
- Lavoie, B. 2004. The open archival information system reference model: Introductory guide (DPC technology watch series report 04-01). New York: OCLC and DPC. Available at: [http://www.dpconline.org/docs/lavoie\\_OAIS.pdf](http://www.dpconline.org/docs/lavoie_OAIS.pdf) [online] [accessed on 28 March 2008].
- Leedy, P.D. & Ormrod, J.E. 2001. *Practical research: planning and design* (7th ed). Upper Saddle River: Merrill Prentice Hall.
- Lynch, C. 2003. Institutional repositories: Essential infrastructure for scholarship in the digital Age. *ARL*, 226 (February 2003): 1-7. Available at: <http://www.arl.org/resources/pubs/br/br226/br226ir.shtml> [online] [accessed on 10 July 2007].
- Mark, T. & Shearer, K. 2006. *Institutional repositories: A review of content recruitment strategies*. Paper presented at the World Library and Information

- Conference, Seoul. Available at: [http://www.ifla.org/IV/ifla72/papers/155-Mark\\_Shearer-en.pdf](http://www.ifla.org/IV/ifla72/papers/155-Mark_Shearer-en.pdf) [online] [accessed on 10 July 2007].
- Markey, K.; Rieh, S. Y.; St. Jean, B. Kim, J. & Yakel, E. 2007. *Census of institutional repositories in the United States: MIRACLE project research findings*. Washington DC: Council on Library and Information Resources. Available at: <http://www.clir.org/pubs/reports/pub140/pub140.pdf> [online] [accessed on 10 July 2008].
- MacGregor, K. 2008. *Africa: New generation of scholars needed*. University World News. Available at: <http://www.universityworldnews.com/article.php?story=20081214092057738> [online] [accessed on 16 February 2010].
- Moustakes, C. 1994. *Phenomenological research methods*. Thousand Oaks, London, New Delhi: Sage publications.
- New Zealand Government. 2008. Digital strategy. Available at: <http://www.digitalstrategy.govt.nz/> [accessed on 19 August 2008].
- Olivier, E. 2007. The efficacy of the institutional repositories: Reflections on the development of a personalised collection on UPSpace. *Perspectives of education*, 25(1): 123-128.
- Open Society Institute. 2004. *A guide to institutional repository software* (3<sup>rd</sup> ed). Available at: [http://soros.org/openaccess/software/OSI\\_Guide\\_to\\_Institutional\\_Repositories.html](http://soros.org/openaccess/software/OSI_Guide_to_Institutional_Repositories.html) [online] [accessed on 25 March 2008].
- Palmer, C; Tefteau, L & Newton, M. 2008. *Identifying factors of success in CIC institutional repository development: final report*. Available at: <http://www.educause.edu/Resources/IdentifyingFactorsofSuccessinC/163301> [online] [accessed on 5 June 2008].
- Pinfield, S. 2005. A mandate to self archive? The role of open access institutional repositories. *Serials*, 18(1): 30-34. Available at: <http://serials.uksg.org/openurl.asp?genre=article&issn=0953-0460&volume=18&issue=1&spage=30> [online] [accessed on 15 April 2008].
- Ramsey, S. 2006. The purpose of institutional repositories in UK higher education: A repository manager's view. *International journal of information management*. 26: 181-186 [online] [accessed on 10 July 2007].
- Research Libraries Consortium (Universities of Cape Town, KwaZuluNatal and Witwatersrand). 2007. *Summary Information Sheet* (unpublished).
- Sale, A. 2006. Comparison of content policies for institutional repositories in Australia. *First Monday*, 11(4), (April 2006). Available at:

[http://www.firstmonday.org/issues/issue11\\_4/sale/index.html](http://www.firstmonday.org/issues/issue11_4/sale/index.html) [online]

[accessed on 15 April 2008]

- Seadle, M. 2005. Copyright in the networked world: author's rights. *Library hi tech* 23(1): 130-136.
- Shearer, K. 2003. Institutional repositories: Towards the identification of critical success factors. *Canadian journal of information and library science*, 27(3). Available at: <https://dspaceucalgaryca/handle/1880/381> [online] [accessed on 10 July 2007].
- Smith, I. 2008. University of Pretoria: digital institutional research repository – business plan. Unpublished Paper.
- Soong, S. 2006. Building and sustaining digital repositories in support of global information access and collaboration. *Journal of library and information science*, 32 (1): 25-34.
- Stake, R.E. 2005. Qualitative case studies. In Denzin, N K & Lincoln, Y S. (eds). *The SAGE handbook of qualitative research*, 3rd edition. Thousand Oaks, London, New Delhi: Sage publications, 443-466.
- Stake, R E. 1995. *The art of case study research*. Thousand Oaks, London, New Delhi: Sage publications.
- Stake, R E. 1994. Case studies. In Denzin, N K & Lincoln, Y S. (eds). *Handbook of qualitative research*. Thousand Oaks, London, New Delhi: Sage publications: 236-247.
- Study South Africa: *The guide to South African higher education, 2007* (7<sup>th</sup> ed). Durban: International Education Association of South Africa with Higher Education South Africa.
- Suber, P. 2005. Open access overview: Focusing on open access to peer-reviewed research articles and their preprints. Available at: [www.earlham.edu/~peters/fos/overview.htm](http://www.earlham.edu/~peters/fos/overview.htm) [online] [accessed on 8 July 2007].
- Suleman, H. 2006. Reflections on three years of archiving research output. In de Villiers. C. (ed). *Proceedings of Conference on Information Technology in Tertiary Education (CITTE 2006), 18-20 September*. Pretoria: Central University of Technology, pp. 1-13. Available at: [http://www.husseinspace.com/research/publications/citte\\_2006\\_reflections.pdf](http://www.husseinspace.com/research/publications/citte_2006_reflections.pdf) [online] [accessed on 5 June 2008].
- Thomas, G. 2007a. Evaluating the impact of the institutional repository, or positioning innovation between a rock and a hard place. *New review of information networking*, 13(2): 133-146.

- Thomas, G. 2007b. Academic libraries and the second decade of democracy: critical issues and challenges. In Bothma, T, Underwood, P & Ngulube, P (eds). *Libraries for the future: progress & development of South African libraries* Pretoria: LIASA: 71-88.
- UNESCO. 2005. *Towards knowledge societies: UNESCO world report*. Paris: United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization. Available at: <http://www.unesco.org/publications> [online] [accessed on 28 June 2007].
- van Westrienen, G. and Lynch, C. 2005. Academic institutional repositories: Deployment status in 13 nations as of mid-May 2005. *D—Lib magazine*, 11(9) September. Available at: [www.dlib.org/dlib/september05/westrienen/09westrienen.html](http://www.dlib.org/dlib/september05/westrienen/09westrienen.html) [online] [accessed on 15 July 2007].
- Westell, M. 2006. Institutional repositories: proposed indicators of success. *Library hi tech* 24(2): 211-226.
- Wheatley, P. 2004. *Institutional repositories in the context of digital preservation*, New York: Digital Preservation Coalition, and DPC technology watch series report 04-02. Available at: <http://www.dpconline.org/docs/DPCTWf4word.pdf> [online] [accessed on 25 March 2008].
- Wolcott, H F. 1994. *Transforming qualitative data: description, analysis, and interpretation*. Thousand Oaks, London, New Delhi: Sage publications.